Appendix J: Surface Water Drainage – Attenuation (04/06/13)

From: Simon Lewis
Sent: 04 June 2013 08:41
To: Cathy Molloy (<u>cathy.molloy@richmond.gov.uk</u>)
Cc: Georgina Atkinson
Subject: Re. Twickenham - Sustainable Drainage

Hi Cathy,

I have spoken to our Drainage Consultant on the point you raised regarding Policy DM SD7 and our sustainable drainage proposals. The following response has been prepared.

- It is noted that the LB Richmond's Policy DM SD 7 requires all development proposals to follow the sustainable drainage hierarchy, and any discharge should be reduced to greenfield run-off rates wherever feasible. It is also noted that the drainage strategy which forms part of the Flood Risk Assessment shows how the Mayor's essential standard for achieving 50% of the pre-development peak flows is to be met, but the locally adopted policy requires the applicant to provide justification to demonstrate that not all surface water run-off can be dealt with on site by SUDS.
- The greenfield run-off rates for the Site based on the Interim Code of Practice Mean Annual Flood method is attached. The greenfield run-off rates are as follows:
 - 1 in 1 year (Q1) = 5.7 l/s 1 in 2.33 years (Qbar) = 6.7 l/s 1 in 30 years (Q30) = 11.6 l/s 1 in 100 years (Q100) = 13.4 l/s
- Surface water from the Site currently discharges via three outfalls. A 300mm diameter and a 225mm diameter outfall discharge directly to the River Crane (and not via public sewers) and a 150mm diameter outfall discharges to a public surface water sewer. The attached preliminary drainage design drawings show how it is intended to re-use these three connections.
- It is proposed that the sewer connection will be attenuated to the greenfield run-off rates noted above using a complex control i.e. two hydro-brakes, which will ensure that the flows for the 1 in 1 year, 1 in 30 year and 1 in 100 year + 30% are not exceeded. The catchment discharging to the sewer is relatively small comprising part of the community building roof and the section of road south of the community building.
- The drainage hierarchy has been followed in the design of the drainage:
 - 1) Water butts are to be used in order to store rainwater for later use.
 - 2) Infiltration techniques are to be used although these have had to be kept shallow to keep at least 1m above the ground water table. The upper terraces of the Apartment Block are to be Green Roof, covering an area of 466m2. Planting is also to be used on the podium deck. This is currently being designed and when the areas are known this will be factored into the drainage design as it will further reduce surface water entering the drainage system and attenuate the flow rates. All of the external car parking areas and part of the access road are to be porous paving construction. All of the houses are to drain to soakaways and to the permeable car parking areas.
 - 3) The flow to the existing 300mm diameter outfall will be attenuated by using a hydrobrake. The flow to the 225mm diameter outfall will be attenuated by using pumps. The storage available within the permeable paving and the piped network are sufficient to prevent any flooding during a 1 in 100 year + 30% critical storm event.

- 4) As mentioned above, the flow to the public sewer is to be restricted to greenfield flow rates using a complex control and a small storage tank will be used to prevent flooding during the 1 in 100 year + 30% critical storm.
- In summary, greenfield flow rates will be used for the discharge to the public surface water sewer. Most of surface water will drain to soakaways and permeable paving with green roofs reducing and attenuating flows. Excess flows, which will be attenuated, will discharge directly to the river.

I trust the above clarifies our position.

Kind regards

Simon

Simon Lewis

Development Director



St James Group Limited Berkeley House | 15b St George Wharf | London | SW8 2LE DDI 020 70912523 | Mobile 07500 096213

www.stjames.co.uk





	es of MH's. Size, gradient and direction. Distance and drop es of MH's. INN INN INN ITH SIZE, gradient and direction. Distance and drop es of MH's. INTH INVERT LEVEL - ANNOTATED WITH CIPC WHERE e CAP. NOTE ALL FWDP'S 150% UNLESS NOTED CAP. CAP. CAP. CAP. CAP. CAP. CAP. CONCRETE RING MANHOLE WITH SIZE, COVER AND CONCRETE RING MANHOLE WITH SIZE, COVER AND
<section-header></section-header>	FIGURE C. 2.2000 ● FOUL WATER POLYPROPYLENE INSPECTION CHAMBER WITH SIZE, COVER C. 1.350 C. CAR PARK WATER POLYPROPYLENE INSPECTION CHAMBER WITH SIZE, COVER AND INVERT LEVELS C. 1.350 C. 1.350 C. L. 3.155 C. CAR PARK WATER POLYPROPYLENE INSPECTION CHAMBER WITH SIZE, COVER AND INVERT LEVELS C. 1.350 FOUL & CAR PARK WATER POLYPROPYLENE INSPECTION CHAMBER WITH SIZE, COVER AND INVERT LEVELS FOUL & CAR PARK WATER POLYPROPYLENE WITH INVERT LEVEL OF FOUL & CAR PARK WATER POLYPROFYLENE STATION FUL RETENTION PETROL INTERCEPTOR FUL RETENTION PETROL INTERCEPTOR FUL RETENTION PETROL INTERCEPTOR FUC NAMAGE CHANNEL PRE TO RAMPED GULLY TO CONNECT TO CAR PARK DRAINAGE SYSTEM DRAINAGE CHANNEL PRE TO RAMP TO INVERT USING LONG RADIUS BENDS.

JSA Consulting Engineers		Page 1
34 Candler Mews	TWICKENHAM SORTING	
Amyand Park Road	OFFICE	
Twickenham TW1 3JF	GREENFIELD RUNOFF	Therefore a
Date 22/05/2013 17:57	Designed By domonitan	Denner
File	Checked By	
Micro Drainage	Source Control W.12.2	1

ICP SUDS Mean Annual Flood

Input

Return Period	(years)	1	Soil	0.300
A	rea (ha)	1.182	Urban	0.750
SI	AAR (mm)	600	Region Number	Region 6

Results 1/s

QBAR Rural	1.8
QBAR Urban	6.7
Q1 year	5.7
Q1 year	5.7
Q30 years	11.6
Q100 years	13.4