

Mr Simon Graham-Smith

London Borough of Richmond Upon

Thames

Civic Centre, 44 York Street, Twickenham

TW1 3BZ

Our ref: Cl Your ref: 16

CLO21064 16/2647/FUL

Telephone

Email

0207 973 3242 laura.o'gorman@

HistoricEngland.org.uk

30 August 2016

Dear Mr Graham-Smith

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED) NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK 2012

2 High Street Teddington TW11 8EW

Demolition of the existing office (B1a) building (395 sq.m) and the erection a part six / five-storey mixed-use building with a ground floor office / commercial unit (300 sq.m) and 23 (12 x 1 and 11 x 2 bed) affordable 'shared ownership' apartments above with 10 car parking provided at basement level including associated works.

Recommend Archaeological Condition(s)

The above application was noted on the planning lists.

The Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) provides archaeological advice to boroughs in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and GLAAS Charter.

The National Planning Policy Framework (Section 12) and the London Plan (2011 Policy 7.8) emphasise that the conservation of archaeological interest is a material consideration in the planning process. Paragraph 128 of the NPPF says that applicants should submit desk-based assessments, and where appropriate undertake field evaluation, to describe the significance of heritage assets and how they would be affected by the proposed development. This information should be supplied to inform the planning decision. If planning consent is granted paragraph 141 of the NPPF says that applicants should be required to record and





advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) and to make this evidence publicly available.

The site lies on the edge of the Teddington Archaeological Priority Area which defines an area of possible early and late medieval settlement of Teddington. The existing building including the existing basement would have impacted archaeological survival within the site however there is likely to be some additional impact from the new basement where it extends outside the existing footprint and from any new foundations.

Appraisal of this application using the Greater London Historic Environment Record and information submitted with the application indicates the need for field evaluation to determine appropriate mitigation. However, although the NPPF envisages evaluation being undertaken prior to determination, in this case consideration of the nature of the development, the archaeological interest and/or practical constraints are such that I consider a condition could provide an acceptable safeguard. A condition is therefore recommended to require a two-stage process of archaeological investigation comprising: first, evaluation to clarify the nature and extent of surviving remains, followed, if necessary, by a full investigation. The archaeological interest should therefore be conserved by attaching a condition as follows:

Condition

No demolition or development shall take place until a stage 1 written scheme of investigation (WSI) has been submitted to and approved by the local planning authority in writing. For land that is included within the WSI, no demolition or development shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed WSI, and the programme and methodology of site evaluation and the nomination of a competent person(s) or organisation to undertake the agreed works.

If heritage assets of archaeological interest are identified by stage 1 then for those parts of the site which have archaeological interest a stage 2 WSI shall be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority in writing. For land that is included within the stage 2 WSI, no demolition/development shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed stage 2 WSI which shall include:

- A. The statement of significance and research objectives, the programme and methodology of site investigation and recording and the nomination of a competent person(s) or organisation to undertake the agreed works
- B. The programme for post-investigation assessment and subsequent analysis, publication & dissemination and deposition of resulting material. This part of the condition shall not be discharged until these elements have been fulfilled in accordance with the programme set out in the stage 2 WSI.

Informative

Written schemes of investigation will need to be prepared and implemented by a suitably qualified professionally accredited archaeological practice in accordance with Historic England's Guidelines for Archaeological Projects in Greater London. This condition is exempt from deemed discharge under





schedule 6 of The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

I envisage that the archaeological fieldwork would comprise the following:

Evaluation

An archaeological field evaluation involves exploratory fieldwork to determine if significant remains are present on a site and if so to define their character, extent, quality and preservation. Field evaluation may involve one or more techniques depending on the nature of the site and its archaeological potential. It will normally include excavation of trial trenches. A field evaluation report will usually be used to inform a planning decision (pre-determination evaluation) but can also be required by condition to refine a mitigation strategy after permission has been granted.

The aim of the evaluation should be to determine the nature, significance and extent of survival of any archaeological remains which may be impacted by the proposed development, and should aim to inform the requirements for any further archaeological mitigation strategy which may comprise either full archaeological excavation and recording or an archaeological watching brief during all groundworks including demolition.

Further information on archaeology and planning in Greater London including Archaeological Priority Areas is available on the Historic England website.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require further information or assistance. I would be grateful to be kept informed of the progress of this application.

Please note that this response relates solely to archaeological considerations. If necessary, Historic England's Development Management or Historic Places teams should be consulted separately regarding statutory matters.

Yours sincerely

Laura O'Gorman

Archaeology Advisor Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service Planning Group: London



