

PLANNING REPORT

Printed Date: 21 July 2006

Date

Status

Application reference: 06/1975/CON HAM, PETERSHAM, RICHMOND RIVERSIDE WARD

Description

Ref No No History Dummy UPRN

Constraints:

			O Minak data
Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
		14.08.2006	14.08.2006
19.06.2006	19.06.2006	14.00.2000	

Date application received		44.00.0000		14.08.2006
19.06.2006	19.06.2006	14.08.2006	1	14.00.2000
Site: Hollywood, Kingston Vale, Lo Proposal: Amendment to planning perm		ction of two storey rear extens	sion).	
Present use: ()	f status = HOLD please che	eck that all is OK before you p	orocee	ed any further with this
application) APPLICANT NAME Royal Borough Of Kingston Development Control Guildhall 2 Kingston KT1 1EU		AGENT N		
Consultations: Internal/External: Consultee				Expiry Date
Neighbours:				
History:		St	atus	Date

CONSULTATION/REFERRAL REPORT.

Site Address: Hollywood, Kingston Vale, London.

Council: Kingston Upon Thames

Application No: 02/06162/FUL

Site/Surroundings: The site contains a two-storey detached house is located on the north western side of Kingston Vale and adjoins Richmond Park which is designated MOL, conservation area, public open space and a site of special scientific interest.

Proposal: The application is for an amendment to planning permission 02/06162/FUL (erection of single storey rear extension). The application involves the addition of a first floor balcony at the rear, an increase in roof height to rear extension and associated elevational changes.

Relevant History: N/A

Representations: None.

Professional comments:

There would be no substantial increase in overlooking as there already exists a rear facing first floor balcony and the area of the Park, which may be viewed from the balcony is to be minimal considering the isolation from public walkways and views.

Therefore, I consider that due to the amendments to the application 02/06162/FUL the addition of a first floor balcony at the rear, and increase in roof height to rear extension would result in very little impact to the appearance of the building from the original proposal and therefore would cause no harm upon the character and appearance of the property or adjoining Richmond Park.

Recommendation: Raise no objection.

ecommendation: he determination of this application falls within the	e scope of Officer delegated powers YE9 / NO
therefore recommend the following:	
1. REFUSAL 2. PERMISSION 3. FORWARD TO COMMITTEE 1. TO STEEL ON S	Case Officer (Initials): RIA Dated: 71/7/06
agree the recommendation:	24/7/06
eam Leader/Development Control Manager	24/7/06
Dated:	
This application has been subject to representat Development Control Manager has considered the De determined without reference to the Planning C	tions that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The ose representations and concluded that the application car Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.
Development Control Manager:	
Dated:	
REASONS:	
CONDITIONS:	
INFORMATIVES:	
UDP POLICIES:	
OTHER POLICIES:	
The following table will populate as a quick chec Uniform SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INF	ck by running the template once items have been entered in
CONDITIONS:	
U10614 U10614 - No objections	
INFORMATIVES:	

ADDITIONAL NOTES CONTINUED FROM ABOVE: