

Not before 7/8

**PLANNING REPORT**

Printed Date: 3 July 2006

**Application reference: 06/2027/HOT**  
**HAMPTON WARD**

<b>Date application received</b>	<b>Date made valid</b>	<b>Target report date</b>	<b>8 Week date</b>
23.06.2006	30.06.2006		25.08.2006

**Site:**

24 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex

**Proposal:**

~~Replace 3 windows with PVCU double glazed to match adjacent flats.~~

Retention of 3 replacement PVC u double glazed windows to match adjacent flats

**Present use:**

1st floor flat

**Status:** Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

**APPLICANT NAME**

David Fowler  
24 Kings Paddock  
Park Close  
Hampton  
Middlesex  
TW12 2EF

**AGENT NAME**

**Consultations:**

*Internal/External:*

Consultee	Expiry Date
-----------	-------------

**Neighbours:**

- 21 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006
- 22 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006
- 23 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006
- 25 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006
- 26 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006
- 40 Kings Paddock, Park Close, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 2EF, - 03.07.2006

**History:**

Ref No	Description	Status	Date
03/3525	• Removal Of Original Windows And Replacement With Matching Double Glazed Windows	WNA	04/03/2004
06/2027/HOT	• Replace 3 windows with PVCU double glazed to match adjacent flats.	PCO	

**Constraints:**

Conservation area 12 Advertised  
BLT 2 11, 15 or 16

Professional Comments:

Site History and Proposal

King Paddock is a 1960s development of 2 or 3 storey flat blocks with 21 Haining Villa & CA. The application is for a 1st floor flat.

An application was made in Winter 2003 for replacement of 3 windows which had apparently been carried out in October 2003. This application ref 03/03/3525/HOT was never accepted as valid as insufficient information was submitted. An enforcement notice was carried out and it was not considered expedient to take enforcement action in this instance.

This application seeks replacement permission for the 3 windows which are double glazed UPVC, 2 to the front elevation, a bathroom window and a kitchen window, and one to the rear elevation or slight living room window.

Public and Other Representations

None noted.

Professional Comments

At least 10 of the flats in this development have had replacement PVCU windows installed mostly manufactured and carried out

by a replacement under maintenance and  
install approval by the insurance company  
through piece evidence at No 211 and not  
withheld by this company and open differently.  
These small appearance does not in any way  
defect from the original appearance of the block of  
flats as a whole on the point of the construction  
area.  
I therefore recommend approval.

**Recommendation:**

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES / NO

**I therefore recommend the following:**

- 1. REFUSAL
- 2. PERMISSION
- 3. FORWARD TO COMMITTEE

Case Officer (Initials) *AB*

Dated: *25/7/06*

**I agree the recommendation**

*[Signature]*

Team Leader/Development Control Manager

Dated: .....

This application has been subject to representations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The Development Control Manager has considered those representations and concluded that the application can be determined without reference to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.

Development Control Manager: .....

Dated: .....

<b>REASONS:</b>
<b>CONDITIONS:</b>
<b>INFORMATIVES:</b>
<b>UDP POLICIES:</b>
<b>OTHER POLICIES:</b>

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform

<b>CONDITIONS:</b>	
<b>INFORMATIVES:</b>	

**ADDITIONAL NOTES CONTINUED FROM ABOVE:**