

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 18/0549/FUL

Address: Chalkers Corner Junction At Junction Of Lower Richmond Rd South Circ And Clifford Avenue Richmond

Proposal: APPLICATION C: Reconfiguration of Chalkers Corner traffic junction, to include existing public highway and existing landscaped and informal parking area associated to Chertsey Court, to facilitate alterations to lane configuration, a new cycle lane, works to existing pedestrian and cycle crossing, soft landscaping and replacement boundary treatment to Chertsey Court.

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs Kate Woodhouse

Address: 8 Richmond Park Road East Sheen London SW14 8JT

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: The area immediately surrounding Mortlake Brewery has the river and the railway as its longer boundaries, with Clifford Avenue and Barnes at either end. The traffic is already bad, the roads often gridlocked, all these problems increased by the proximity of the Sheen Lane level crossing.

This proposal affects the residents of Chertsey Court more than anyone else. This is unreasonable and unacceptable. The traffic will move nearer to their flats, the noise and the pollution will increase. They will lose the mature trees that border the estate, newly planted saplings are no substitute. The residents have not been consulted to date, a shocking omission showing complete disregard by the landlords for the welfare of their tenants. Repositioning the land lost on to the main development is no substitute for its loss here.

The changes proposed do not address the fundamental problem of the increased volume of traffic that the development will generate. They will allow just a few more cars to turn left as the lights change. This will not solve the problems caused by the level crossing in Sheen Lane, the increased number of cars or the traffic that will be entering and exiting the site. Any small improvement does not merit taking land from

Chertsey Court and adding to the already high level of pollution in the area.

This scheme is tinkering with the problem. It will not lessen the problems of either present traffic or the increase in traffic that the development will bring. Only two possibilities present themselves: a less dense development and a no-car policy with increased public transport.

Please reconsider this scheme without taking land or felling trees.