

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 18/0549/FUL

**Address:** Chalkers Corner Junction At Junction Of Lower Richmond Rd South Circ And Clifford Avenue Richmond

**Proposal:** APPLICATION C: Reconfiguration of Chalkers Corner traffic junction, to include existing public highway and existing landscaped and informal parking area associated to Chertsey Court, to facilitate alterations to lane configuration, a new cycle lane, works to existing pedestrian and cycle crossing, soft landscaping and replacement boundary treatment to Chertsey Court.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Ms Francine Bates

**Address:** 17 Shalstone Road Mortlake London SW14 7HP

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that it will not necessarily improve the traffic flow in the area and the disastrous impact this will have on the quality of life of the residents of Chertsey Court. I refer to the Mortlake Brewery Community Group who clearly demonstrate in their comments, that the proposal to widen Chalkers' Corner is based on a set of assumptions that are not proven.

The widening of the junction will cause trees to be lost and valuable open space in Chertsey Court to be taken away. This is not acceptable to the residents both at Chertsey Court but also those who live on the Lower Richmond Road. The increase of traffic, noise and pollution will have a detrimental impact on the residents, particularly those who live on the lower floors of Chertsey Court whose homes directly face the road. I am concerned about the health impact for older people and children who live on the estate and would urge the council to probe this when they consider the health impact assessment accompanying the wider hybrid application. The loss of green space, which is much used by Chertsey Court families, in the warmer months is not acceptable.

I feel very strongly that the residents of Chertsey Court, many of whom are social housing tenants, deserve better than this proposal. In a post Grenfell era, it is simply not acceptable for the developers to ride rough shod over the needs of the residents who are very unlikely to benefit from the new development. Should this proposal unfortunately go ahead, the Council will need to place stringent conditions on Richmond Housing Partnership who own the land, to ensure that all profits from the sale of the land are invested in improving the estate.