

Garside, James

From: Thatcher, Lucy
Sent: 17 December 2018 12:57
To: Garside, James
Subject: Fwd:

Can you add to the addendum

Thanks

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Elengorn, Martin (Cllr) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 12:21
To: Thatcher, Lucy
Subject: Fwd:

Fyi

Martin Elengorn
Liberal Democrat Councillor for Teddington
Cabinet Member for Environment, Planning and Sustainability
London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

From: Sandra Laville <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 12:16 pm
To: Elengorn, Martin (Cllr)
Subject:

Dear Councillor Elengorn,

I and others are making a last ditch plea as residents and users of Marble Hill park for yourself and other councillors to object to the English Heritage proposals for the park.

You have it in your powers to ask EH to modify their proposals for the woodland quarters in particular, to reduce the number of trees that are to be felled from 277 plus to a much lower figure.

At a time when biodiversity is in crisis, (<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/oct/30/humanity-wiped-out-animals-since-1970-major-report-finds>) is Richmond Council going to approve the destruction of woodland dating back to the late 1800s, a habitat for song thrushes and an area of rich biodiversity in favour of bonded gravel paths and a flower garden?

The replanting scheme will be new young trees which will take decades to mature. It is bizarre in the extreme for EH to destroy woodland dating back to the 1800s in the process of trying to create an 18th Century garden - why not keep the trees which were there in the first place?

The felling of more than 277 trees is shocking - and the removal of 5 mature trees to build the cafe even more appalling.

Surely this can be modified - the council's own tree officer is opposed to the scheme because of the significant loss of trees - more can surely be done to stop this?

EH will listen if the council tells them to modify these proposals. What is wrong with creating woodland walks in the four woodland areas, with soil paths and keeping the majority of the trees?

A newly planted orchard and a flower garden do not in any way make up for the felling of 277 broad leaved woodland trees.

I wonder the response of the public when they hear that the Lib Dem council has nodded through a plan to fell 277 plus trees in the face of objections to the scheme from the council's own tree officer.

best

Sandra Laville

resident

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396

Garside, James

From: Thatcher, Lucy
Sent: 17 December 2018 12:57
To: Garside, James
Subject: Fwd:

Can you add to the addendum

Thanks

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Elengorn, Martin (Cllr) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 12:21
To: Thatcher, Lucy
Subject: Fwd:

Fyi

Martin Elengorn
Liberal Democrat Councillor for Teddington
Cabinet Member for Environment, Planning and Sustainability
London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

From: Sandra Laville <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 12:16 pm
To: Elengorn, Martin (Cllr)
Subject:

Dear Councillor Elengorn,

I and others are making a last ditch plea as residents and users of Marble Hill park for yourself and other councillors to object to the English Heritage proposals for the park.

You have it in your powers to ask EH to modify their proposals for the woodland quarters in particular, to reduce the number of trees that are to be felled from 277 plus to a much lower figure.

At a time when biodiversity is in crisis, (<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/oct/30/humanity-wiped-out-animals-since-1970-major-report-finds>) is Richmond Council going to approve the destruction of woodland dating back to the late 1800s, a habitat for song thrushes and an area of rich biodiversity in favour of bonded gravel paths and a flower garden?

The replanting scheme will be new young trees which will take decades to mature. It is bizarre in the extreme for EH to destroy woodland dating back to the 1800s in the process of trying to create an 18th Century garden - why not keep the trees which were there in the first place?

The felling of more than 277 trees is shocking - and the removal of 5 mature trees to build the cafe even more appalling.

Surely this can be modified - the council's own tree officer is opposed to the scheme because of the significant loss of trees - more can surely be done to stop this?

EH will listen if the council tells them to modify these proposals. What is wrong with creating woodland walks in the four woodland areas, with soil paths and keeping the majority of the trees?

A newly planted orchard and a flower garden do not in any way make up for the felling of 277 broad leaved woodland trees.

I wonder the response of the public when they hear that the Lib Dem council has nodded through a plan to fell 277 plus trees in the face of objections to the scheme from the council's own tree officer.

best

Sandra Laville

resident

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396