



Greggs Bakery / Twickenham

Flood Risk Assessment



Greggs Bakery, Twickenham

Flood Risk Assessment

February 2019

Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited

Pickfords Wharf, Clink Street, London, SE1 9DG
www.watermangroup.com



Client Name: London Square
Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA
Project Number: WIE12357

Quality Assurance – Approval Status

This document has been prepared and checked in accordance with Waterman Group's IMS (BS EN ISO 9001: 2015, BS EN ISO 14001: 2015 and BS OHSAS 18001:2007)

Issue	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
First	01.02.19	Connor Henderson	Donal O'Donovan	Peter O'Flaherty

Comments

Second	18.02.19	Connor Henderson	Donal O'Donovan	Peter O'Flaherty
--------	----------	------------------	-----------------	------------------

Comments

Third	21.02.19	Connor Henderson 	Donal O'Donovan 	Peter O'Flaherty 
-------	----------	---	--	---

Comments



Disclaimer

This report has been prepared by Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited, with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporation of our General Terms and Condition of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to us by agreement with the client.

We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.

This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at its own risk.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Planning Policy and Guidance	4
3. Sources of Potential Flooding.....	8
4. Surface Water Drainage	13
5. Foul Water Drainage.....	18
6. Impact on Existing Drainage Infrastructure.....	19
7. Conclusions	20
8. References	21

Figures

Figure 1: Site Location Plan.....	2
Figure 2: Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning	8
Figure 3: Environment Agency’s Risk of Flooding from Surface Water Mapping.....	10
Figure 4: Environment Agency’s Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs Mapping	12

Tables

Table 1: Environment Agency’s Modelled Water Levels	8
Table 2: Site Geology	11
Table 3: Existing Drainage	13
Table 4: SuDS Devices Constraints	14
Table 5: SuDS Maintenance Schedule.....	17

Appendices

- A. Topographic Survey
- B. Development Proposals
- C. Environment Agency Consultation
- D. Existing and Proposed Offsets from the River Crane
- E. Environment Agency’s Risk of Flooding from Surface Water – Medium Risk Scenario
- F. London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Mapping
- G. Thames Water Consultation
- H. Soakaway Test Results

Contents



- I. Proposed Drainage Layout
- J. Proposed Permeable Area
- K. Surface Water Calculations
- L. Foul Water Calculations

Contents

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham
Project Number: WIE12357

Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA

Executive Summary

Waterman has been commissioned by London Square to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment in relation to the proposed development located at the former Greggs Bakery Site, located at Gould Road, Twickenham TW2 6RT.

Environment Agency mapping indicates that the Site is located predominantly within Flood Zone 1, denoting a low probability of flooding, with a small area along the northern boundary with the River Crane located within Flood Zone 2, denoting a medium probability of fluvial flooding.

Interrogation of the Environment Agency's modelled flood levels against the existing ground levels at the Site has shown that levels along the River Crane are generally above 10.30m AOD indicating that the banks would not be overtopped up to and above the 1 in 1000 year event. However, a small, low lying area to the east of the site, just upstream of the railway bridge, would be at risk of flooding to a depth of 0.075m during the 1 in 1000 year event. This flooding would be contained to a small area and would not affect the rest of the Site. It is therefore considered that the Site is at low risk of fluvial flooding.

The risk of flooding from tidal, pluvial, groundwater and artificial sources has also been assessed and found to be low.

Infiltration testing confirmed the ground conditions are not suitable for discharge to ground. However, further testing would be undertaken at more locations across the site at the detailed designs stage to confirm this. Surface water runoff would therefore discharge to the River Crane via a pumped discharge as a gravity connection is not feasible to levels.

The existing runoff rate for the site has been calculated to be 145.4 l/s. It is proposed to restrict runoff to the greenfield 100 year rate of 10.8 l/s, providing a 93% reduction in flows.

To restrict surface water runoff to this rate, 406m³ of storage has been provided in the sub-base layer of the proposed permeable paving located below the access road and car parking areas. In addition to the pumped discharge some of the sub-base would be unlined to allow some slow infiltration to ground.

The pollution hazard level of surface water runoff from the development is classified as 'very low' for the residential roofs and 'low' for the car parking areas and access road. A level of treatment appropriate to the pollution hazard level of the development would be provided by the green roof and permeable paving to ensure that the quality of surface water discharged to ground and the River Crane is acceptable.

The on-site drainage network and SuDS would be managed and maintained for the lifetime of the development by an appropriate managing body, ensuring they remain fit for purpose and function appropriately.

Foul flows from the Site would discharge by gravity to the Thames Water combined sewer network. The existing and proposed peak foul discharge rates have been calculated using the water consumption method to be 1.0 l/s in both cases.

This report demonstrates that the proposed development has a low probability of flooding from fluvial, tidal, groundwater and artificial sources, and confirms that surface water runoff can be managed sustainably to ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. It is considered that the information contained within this report satisfies the requirements of the NPPF and Local Policy.


1. Introduction

- 1.1. Waterman has been commissioned by London Square to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) in relation to the proposed development at the former Greggs Bakery Site (hereafter referred to as 'the Site'), located at Gould Road, Twickenham TW2 6RT.

Site Description

- 1.2. The Site is approximately 1.13 hectares (ha) in size and currently comprises a former Greggs Bakery factory and an end of terrace house (No.2 Gould Road) (Figure 1). The Site is bounded by the River Crane to the north, existing residential properties to the east and west, and Edwin Road to the south.

Figure 1: Site Location Plan



 Site Boundary

Source: Google Maps

- 1.3. The topographic survey (Appendix A) indicates that ground levels across the Site are relatively flat, generally ranging between 10.0 to 10.5m AOD for the majority of the Site. However, there is a slight downward gradient of approximately 1:190 from south to north, towards the River Crane. The high point of 10.79m AOD occurs to the southwest of the Site, adjacent to the southern boundary with Edwin Road. The low point of 9.81m AOD occurs to the northeast of the Site, adjacent to the railway embankment.

Development Proposals

- 1.4. The development proposals (Appendix B) comprise the demolition of the existing warehouses and buildings on-site, with the exception of the end of terrace house, and the construction of a new residential led development providing 116 units and 175m² of commercial floorspace.

Scope of report

- 1.5. This report assesses the potential effects of tidal, fluvial, pluvial (surface water), groundwater and artificial sources of flooding upon the proposed development, in line with local and national planning policy. The management of surface water runoff has also been assessed, and a strategy to effectively manage runoff whilst working within site specific constraints is proposed, so as not to increase flood risk elsewhere. An assessment has also been made of the existing and proposed foul discharge from the Site, and a proposed strategy developed.

2. Planning Policy and Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework

- 2.1. The National Planning Policy Frameworkⁱ (NPPF, paragraphs 148 to 165) states that inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere.
- 2.2. The NPPF states that when determining planning applications, Local Planning Authorities (LPA) should ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. Where appropriate, applications should be supported by a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment. Development should only be allowed in areas at risk of flooding where it can be demonstrated that:
 - Within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk, unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location;
 - The development is appropriately flood resistant and resilient;
 - It incorporates Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate;
 - Any residual risk can be safely managed; and
 - Safe access and escape routes are included where appropriate, as part of an agreed emergency plan.
- 2.3. Major developments should incorporate SuDS unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The systems used should:
 - Take account of advice from the lead local flood authority;
 - Have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;
 - Have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation for the lifetime of the development; and
 - Where possible, provide multifunctional benefits.

Planning Practice Guidance

- 2.4. The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)ⁱⁱ provides additional guidance to LPAs to ensure effective implementation of the planning policies set out within the NPPF regarding development in areas at risk of flooding.
- 2.5. The PPG states that developers and LPAs should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area and beyond through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of SuDS. Referencing information provided by the EA, the PPG provides advice on taking account of climate change, setting out recommended contingency allowances for net sea level rise and peak rainfall intensities, which should be increased by between 5% and 105% from now until the year 2115. It also advises on flood resilience and resistance measures when dealing with the residual risks remaining after applying the sequential approach and mitigating actions.
- 2.6. The PPG also includes advice on flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility. The following flood zones refer to the probability of river and sea flooding, without the presence of defences:
 - Zone 1 - low probability: less than 1 in 1000 annual probability of river or sea flooding (<0.1%) in any year;

- Zone 2 - medium probability: between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding (1% to 0.1%) or between a 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of sea flooding (0.5% to 0.1%) in any year;
 - Zone 3a - high probability: 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1%) or a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability flooding from the sea (>0.5%) in any year; and
 - Zone 3b - the functional floodplain: where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood; identification should take account of local circumstances but would typically flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 (5%) or greater in any year or is designed to flood in an extreme 1 in 1,000 (0.1%) flood.
- 2.7. Flood risk vulnerability is split into five classifications in Table 2 of the PPG, as follows, and the compatibility of these within each Flood Zone is set out in Table 3 of the PPG:
- Essential Infrastructure, e.g. essential transport and utility infrastructure, wind turbines;
 - Highly Vulnerable, e.g. emergency services (those required to be operational during flooding), basement dwellings;
 - More Vulnerable, e.g. residential dwellings, hospitals, schools, hotels, drinking establishments;
 - Less Vulnerable, e.g. retail, offices, storage and distribution, leisure, restaurants; and Water-Compatible Development, e.g. docks, marinas, wharves.

Sequential and Exception Test

- 2.8. The aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest risk of flooding. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower risk of flooding.
- 2.9. If it is not possible for development to be located in zones with a lower risk of flooding (taking into account wider sustainable development objectives), it may be necessary to demonstrate through the Exception Test that:
- The development would provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh the flood risk; and
 - The development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall.
- 2.10. As the Site is located within Flood Zone 1 the Sequential Test is passed by default and the Exception Test is not required.

London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames Local Plan

- 2.11. The London Borough of Richmond upon Thames (LBRT) Local Planⁱⁱⁱ was adopted in July 2018. The Local Plan sets out policies and guidance for development in the borough over the next 15 years and replaces previous policies within the Core Strategy and Development Management Plan.
- 2.12. Policy LP18 of the Local Plan concerns river corridors. Under this policy, all development proposals alongside or adjacent to any of the boroughs river corridors should:
- a. *Retain existing public access to the riverside and alongside the river; and*
 - b. *Enhance existing public access to the riverside where improvements are feasible; or*
 - c. *Provide new public access to the riverside where possible, and maintain existing points of*

access to the foreshore subject to health and safety considerations. There is an expectation that all major development proposals adjacent to the borough's rivers shall provide public access to the riverside.

d. Provide riparian life-saving equipment where required and necessary."

- 2.13. Policy LP21 of the Local Plan deals with Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage. This policy states that all development within the borough should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere.
- 2.14. It also states that LBRT will require Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to be used in all development proposals. Applicants will have to demonstrate that their proposals comply with the following:
- 1. A reduction in surface water discharge to greenfield run-off rates wherever feasible.*
 - 2. Where greenfield run-off rates are not feasible, this will need to be demonstrated by the applicant, and in such instances, the minimum requirement is to achieve at least a 50% attenuation of the sites surface water runoff at peak times based on the levels existing prior to the development."*

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment

- 2.15. The LBRT Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA)^{iv} was published in May 2011 and provides a high-level summary of significant flood risk, based on available and readily driveable information, describing the probability and harmful consequences of past and future flooding.
- 2.16. The scope of the PFRA was to consider flooding from surface runoff, groundwater, sewers and ordinary watercourses and the interaction these sources have with main rivers and the sea.

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

- 2.17. LBRT's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)^v was published in March 2016 as an update to the 2010 SFRA, which was in turn an update to the 2008 SFRA. The 2008 SFRA aimed to provide a robust assessment of flood risk across the borough, and provided a set of maps and GIS data delineating fluvial and tidal flood zones to meet planning policy at the time. The subsequent updates to the 2008 SFRA reflect the intention to review and update the SFRA on a regular basis as it is a 'Living Document'.
- 2.18. The updates carried out in 2016 incorporated updates to local and national policy, inclusion of more recent data on flood risk and climate change, among other things.

Non-statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems

The Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems^{vi} was published in March 2015 and is the current guidance for the design, maintenance and operation of SuDS.

- 2.19. The standards set out that the peak runoff rates should be as close as is reasonably practicable to the greenfield rate, but should never exceed the pre-development runoff rate.

- 2.20. The standards also set out that the drainage system should be designed so that flooding does not occur on any part of the Site for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event, and that no flooding of a building (including basement) would occur during a 1 in 100 year rainfall event.

It is also noted within the standards that pumping should only be used when it is not reasonably practicable to discharge by gravity.

Water Industry Act

- 2.21. Thames Water is the local Sewerage Undertaker and provides sewerage services under the guidance of the Water Industry Act 1991.

Under Section 106 of the Water Industry Act, the developer currently maintains the automatic right to 'communicate' with the public foul water sewer system.

3. Sources of Potential Flooding

Tidal and Fluvial

- 3.1. The nearest waterbody to the Site is the River Crane, located adjacent to the Site's northern boundary and is fluvial at this location. The nearest tidal waterbody to the Site is the River Thames, located approximately 840m to the southeast. Therefore, it is considered that the primary source of flood risk is fluvial.
- 3.2. The EA's Flood Map for Planning (Figure 2) shows that the Site is predominantly located within Flood Zone 1, denoting a low probability of flooding, however, there is a small area to the north of the site, adjacent to the River Crane, located within Flood Zone 2, indicating a medium probability of fluvial flooding.

Figure 2: Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning



Source: <https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk>

- 3.3. The EA have provided modelled water levels for the River Crane for a number of return periods up to the 1 in 1000 year event (Appendix C). The modelled water levels are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Environment Agency's Modelled Water Levels

Node Label	1 in 100 year	1 in 100 year plus climate change (35%)	1 in 1000 year
C536	9.645	9.759	9.915

- 3.4. Ground levels along the northern boundary of the Site fluctuate around 10.30m AOD for the majority

of the area that fronts on to the River Crane, indicating that the majority of the bank would not be overtopped during the 1 in 1000 year event. However, there is a low point of 9.84m AOD to the east of the Site, just upstream of the railway bridge. This area could be at risk of flooding to a maximum depth of 0.075m during the 1 in 1000 year event.

- 3.5. The flooding would be contained to a small, low lying area of approximately 34m² to the northeast of the Site. In order to ensure no impact on floodplain compensation levels in this area would remain as existing. The extent of Flood Zone 2 shown on the Flood Map for Planning is therefore smaller in reality. This confirms that the rest of the Site is located within Flood Zone 1 with a low probability of flooding from fluvial and tidal sources.

Offsets to River Crane

- 3.6. To preserve access to the River Crane for maintenance by the EA, a minimum offset of 8m would be provided from the top of bank (which appears to be a reinforced concrete wall) to any proposed buildings (Appendix D). Offsets to the River Crane would be in excess of 8m for the majority of the length of the River throughout the Site. All street furniture that falls within this offset would be removeable to allow access as and when required.
- 3.7. This would provide betterment over the existing situation, where offsets to the River are below 3.6m for a large part of the length of the River throughout the Site (Appendix D).


Pluvial

- 3.8. Pluvial flooding, also known as surface water flooding, occurs when natural and engineered drainage systems have insufficient capacity to deal with the volume of rainfall and are overwhelmed resulting in flooding. Pluvial flooding can occur in urban areas during extreme, high intensity, short duration summer rainfall events. This flood water would then be conveyed via overland flow routes dictated by local topography.

Overland Surface Water

- 3.9. The EA's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping (Figure 3 overleaf) indicates that parts of the Site are at low to high risk of surface water flooding, and areas surrounding the Site, namely the intersection of Gould Road and Crane Road, are at low risk (0.1% to 1% annual chance) to high risk (greater than 3.3% annual chance) of flooding.

Figure 3: Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water Mapping



 Site Boundary

Source: <https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk>

- 3.10. To further interrogate the EA's detailed Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping, it was overlaid on topographic survey of the Site (Appendix E). This indicated that during the 'medium risk' scenario (1% to 3.3% annual probability of flooding), the intersection of Gould Road and Crane Road could be subject to a maximum flood level of approximately 10.16m AOD.
- 3.11. Ground floor Finished Floor Levels (FFLs) across the Site would be set at a minimum 10.20m AOD, this would provide protection against the potential surface water flood risk. The ground levels at the Site entrance at the intersection of Gould Road and Crane Road would also be maintained to prevent the creation of new overland flow routes onto the Site.
- 3.12. Based on the above assessment, the risk of surface water flooding from overland flows to the Site is considered to be low.

Sewer

- 3.13. Figure I of the LBRT Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) (Appendix F) indicates that the Site is located in an area with between 1 and 5 records of sewer flooding.
- 3.14. Thames Water have confirmed (Appendix G) that there have been no incidents of flooding due to surcharging public sewer within the vicinity of the Site.
- 3.15. Based on the above assessment, the risk of sewer flooding to the Site is considered to be low.

Groundwater

3.16. The Sites underlying geological profile has been established through a Geo-environmental Investigation (GI)^{vii} carried out by AP Geotechnics in September 2017. Table 2 presents a summary of the Sites underlying geology.

Table 2: Site Geology


Stratum	Estimated Thickness
Made Ground	0.15m to 1.70m (0.40m to 1.70m bgl)
Superficial Clay (pockets encountered throughout the Site)	0.30m to 1.10m (1.30m to 2.00m bgl)
Kempton Park Gravel	5.10m to 7.75m (6.90m to 9.15m bgl)
London Clay	Over 13.10m (to base of borehole at 20.00m bgl)

- 3.17. Based on borehole records from the GI, groundwater has been observed at a minimum depth of 2.4m below ground level (bgl) towards the centre of the Site, adjacent to the south-eastern corner of the existing factory building. The maximum depth of groundwater encountered was 4.2m bgl in the north-eastern corner of the Site. Across the majority of the Site groundwater was encountered at depths between 2.70m and 4.0m bgl.
- 3.18. Figure E of the SFRA (Appendix F) indicates that the Site is located within an area with 'potential for groundwater flooding to occur at surface'.
- 3.19. Figure 1 of the SFRA (Appendix F) confirms that there have been historic incidents of groundwater flooding within the vicinity of the Site. however, the nearest incident was approximately 1.3km to the southeast of the Site.
- 3.20. As groundwater was encountered at a minimum of 2.4m bgl during the GI, it is unlikely that groundwater flooding would be an issue at the Site.
- 3.21. There are no proposed basements within the scheme that could impact the flow of groundwater beneath the Site, and therefore there is unlikely to be an effect on groundwater levels in the vicinity of the Site as a result of the scheme.
- 3.22. The risk of groundwater flooding to the Site itself and the impact of the development on groundwater flooding to others is therefore considered to be low.

Artificial Sources

- 3.23. The EA's online Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs mapping (Figure 3 overleaf) shows the maximum extent likely to be affected in a reservoir breach scenario. This map indicates that the Site would remain free of flooding if a reservoir were to fail.
- 3.24. The LBRT SFRA does not identify any other artificial sources of flood risk in the area, therefore, the risk of flooding from artificial sources is considered to be low.

Figure 4: Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs Mapping



Source: <https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk>

Summary

- 3.25. Based on the above assessment, the Site is considered to be at low risk of flooding from tidal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater and artificial sources of flooding. The potential for the development to increase flood risk through increasing surface water runoff is considered in Section 4.

4. Surface Water Drainage

Existing Drainage

- 4.1. Thames Water records (Appendix G) indicate several sewers within the vicinity of the Site. These are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Existing Drainage

Location	Sewer
Edwin Road	225mm diameter surface water sewer
Edwin Road	225mm diameter combined sewer
On-site	375mm diameter combined sewer
On-site	914mm diameter combined sewer
Crane Road	300mm diameter combined sewer
Crane Road/On-site	225mm diameter combined sewer
Gould Road	225mm diameter combined sewer
Gould Road	Unknown diameter surface water sewer

Proposed Drainage

- 4.2. The proposed drainage system would be designed to convey surface and foul water separately. The design would be in accordance with BS EN 752 – Drain and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings^{viii}, BS EN 12056 – Gravity Drainage Systems Inside Buildings^{ix} and Approved Document H of Building Regulations^x.
- 4.3. In accordance with Building Regulations and the PPG, the following hierarchy of surface water disposal would be adhered to, in decreasing order of preference.
- I. Discharge to ground;
 - II. Discharge to surface water body;
 - III. Discharge to a surface water sewer; and
 - IV. Discharge to a combined sewer.

Discharge to Ground

- 4.4. The Sites underlying geology comprises Kempton Park Gravel with pockets of Clay found throughout the Site. Therefore, discharge to ground may be possible. Soakage tests were undertaken in one location in the northern area of the Site (access to other areas was not available), the resultant infiltration rates were poor and given to be between 4.47×10^{-6} to 4.62×10^{-6} m/s (Appendix H). Solely discharging runoff to ground would therefore not be feasible, therefore discharge to the River has also been considered. Further soakage tests would be carried out at the detailed design stage to confirm infiltration rates across the wider Site.

Discharge to Surface Water Body

- 4.5. The River Crane is located adjacent to the Site's northern boundary. In addition to discharging to ground an outfall would be provided to the River Crane in the event that further infiltration testing (undertaken at the detailed design stage) does not have positive results.

Sustainable Drainage Systems

- 4.6. The most sustainable way to drain surface water runoff is through the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS), which need to be considered in relation to site-specific constraints.
- 4.7. SuDS mimic the natural drainage system and provide a method of surface water drainage which can decrease the quantity of water discharged, thereby reducing the risk of flooding. In addition to reducing flood risk, SuDS can improve water quality, and provide biodiversity and amenity benefits.
- 4.8. A variety of SuDS devices are available to reduce or temporarily attenuate the discharge of surface water runoff. The potential for SuDS was considered throughout the development. Table 4 outlines the potential SuDS devices and their constraints and opportunities at the Site.

Table 4: SuDS Devices Constraints

Device	Description	Constraints/Comments	✓/✗
Green/brown roofs (source control)	Provide soft landscaping at roof level which reduces surface water runoff	There are no constraints to the incorporation of green roofs into the development	✓
Infiltration devices & Soakaways (source control)	Store runoff and allow water to percolate into the ground via natural infiltration	There are no constraints to the incorporation of infiltration devices into the development	✓
Pervious surfaces (source control)	Storm water is allowed to infiltrate through the surface into a storage layer, from which it can infiltrate and/or slowly discharge into sewers	There are no constraints to the incorporation of pervious surfaces into the development	✓
Rainwater harvesting (source control)	Reduces the annual average rate of runoff from the site by reusing water for non-potable uses e.g. toilet flushing or water butts	There are no constraints to the incorporation of rainwater harvesting into the development	✓
Swales (permeable conveyance)	Broad, shallow channels that convey/store runoff, and allow infiltration (ground conditions permitting)	There are no constraints to the incorporation of swales into the development. However, as permeable paving with an unlined area for infiltration is proposed, these are not considered necessary	✗

Filter drains & perforated pipes (permeable conveyance)	Trenches filled with granular materials (which are designed to take flows from adjacent impermeable areas) that convey runoff while allowing infiltration (ground conditions permitting)	There are no constraints to the incorporation of filter drains into the development. However, as permeable paving with an unlined area for infiltration is proposed, these are not considered necessary	×
Filter strips (permeable conveyance)	Wide, gently sloping areas of grass or dense vegetation that remove pollutants from runoff from adjacent areas	Due to the limited free external space available on-site, filter strips are not considered feasible	×
Infiltration basins (end of pipe treatment)	Depressions in the surface designed to store runoff and allow infiltration through the base	Due to the limited free external space available on-site, infiltration basins are not considered feasible	×
Bio-retention systems/ rain garden (end of pipe treatment)	A shallow landscaped depression which allows runoff to pond temporarily on the surface before filtering through vegetation and underlying soils	There are no constraints to the incorporation of rain gardens into the development. However, as permeable paving with an unlined area for infiltration is proposed, these are not considered necessary	×
Dry ponds (end of pipe treatment)	Depressions in the surface designed to store runoff without infiltration through the base	Due to the limited free external space available on-site, dry ponds are not considered feasible	×
Underground attenuation (end of pipe treatment)	Oversized pipes or geo-cellular tanks designed to store water below ground level	Sufficient storage would be provided within the permeable paving. Therefore, underground attenuation is not considered to be necessary	×

Green Roof/Brown Roofs

- 4.9. Green/brown roofs would provide a bio-diverse habitat in addition to capturing rainwater and naturally slowing the rate of runoff. Green roofs are proposed on the flat areas of roof on Blocks A and F (Appendix B).

Pervious Surfaces

- 4.10. Pervious surfaces allow for the infiltration of runoff through otherwise impermeable surfaces such as roads and pavements. Pervious paving is proposed within the access road and car parking areas to the east and north of the Site (Appendix I). Pervious surfacing is currently not proposed within the access from Gould Road/Crane Road and the car parking area between Blocks F, G and H due to the presence of surface water sewers at an unknown depth.
- 4.11. It is proposed to leave a section of the sub-base of the pervious paving unlined to allow infiltration through the base (Appendix I). In line with Building Regulations a 5m offset from buildings to soakaways is required, therefore the first 5m of subbase would be lined to prevent infiltration.

Rainwater Harvesting

- 4.12. The inclusion of rainwater harvesting would reduce the demand on potable water as recycled water could be used for washing down external areas and watering plants. However, it cannot be guaranteed that there would always be sufficient demand for recycled water to ensure an empty tank is available prior to a high intensity rainfall event, when the storage is most needed. Therefore, rainwater harvesting has not been taken into consideration within the surface water runoff calculations presented later in the drainage strategy. Water butts would be included for the residential units for irrigation of private gardens.

Proposed Surface Water Drainage Strategy

- 4.13. The total Site area within the red line boundary is 1.13ha, however, 0.23ha of this would be impermeable (Appendix J). Therefore, the attenuation calculations are based on an impermeable area of 0.90ha.
- 4.14. The existing discharge rate for the Site has been calculated for the 100 year 60 minute event based on a Site area of 1.13ha. Using the Modified Rational Method (Appendix K) this gives an existing runoff rate of 145.4 l/s.
- 4.15. The potential to discharge to ground has been considered throughout the design process, however, soakage tests carried out in January 2018 indicated infiltration rates of between 4.47×10^{-6} and 4.62×10^{-6} m/s. These rates indicate infiltration could be poor at the Site and therefore a combination of infiltration and a pumped discharge (gravity connection would not be possible due to levels) to the River Crane is proposed.
- 4.16. In line with the requirements of the London Plan, it is proposed to restrict discharge from the Site to the 100 year greenfield rate. This has been calculated using the IoH 124 methodology to be 10.8 l/s, providing a 93% reduction in flows.
- 4.17. MicroDrainage Source Control module has been used to undertake preliminary calculations of the attenuation required at the Site. Source Control considers all storm durations for the 1 in 100 year event and includes a 40% allowance for the effects of climate change. Results show (Appendix K) that to restrict discharge to the River Crane to a total of 10.8 l/s, 406m³ is provided. This storage volume is calculated from the cellular storage area multiplied by the depth and porosity ($2255 \times 0.6 \times 0.3 = 406$) found on page 7 of Appendix K.
- 4.18. This storage would be provided in the sub-base layer of the permeable paving proposed within the access road and car parking areas (Appendix I). The permeable paving would cover an area of 2819m², an allowance of 20% has been given for utilities trenches (to be confirmed at the detailed design stage) which brings the useable area to 2255m². To allow for infiltration, 892m² of this area

would be unlined and a 5m offset would be provided from all buildings to the unlined areas of sub-base, in line with Building Regulations.

- 4.19. The pollution hazard level of surface water runoff from the development is classified as 'very low' for the residential roofs and 'low' for the car park and highway. A level of treatment appropriate to the pollution hazard level of the development would be provided by the green roof and permeable paving to ensure that the quality of surface water discharged to ground and the River Crane is acceptable.

Sustainable Drainage Systems Management Plan

- 4.20. The PPG sets out the requirement for developers to consider the operation, management and maintenance of all SuDS proposed. The on-site drainage network and SuDS would be privately managed and maintained for the lifetime of the development, ensuring that they remain fit for purpose and function appropriately.
- 4.21. Post-construction, an on-site management company would be responsible for the SuDS included within the scheme. Table 5 presents an indicative maintenance schedule of the anticipated maintenance and repairs, in line with guidance from the CIRIA SuDS Manual.

Table 5: SuDS Maintenance Schedule

SuDS Device/Task	Frequency
Green/Brown Roofs	
Inspect system to replace dead plants as required and ensure plants are sufficiently watered (during establishment period)	As required
Inspect system to replace dead plants (post establishment period)	Annually (in autumn)
Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation, including weeds	Six monthly or as required
Inspect system to ensure substrate is not eroded and inlet / outlet drains are not blocked	Annually or as required (after severe storms)
Pervious Surfacing	
Inspection of main structure, pipework and filtration devices and remove any sediment/debris	Quarterly or as required
Jetting of main structure to remove any sediment build-up	Annually or as required
Rainwater Harvesting	
Inspect system for debris and sediment build-up	Annually (and following poor performance)
Cleaning of tank, inlets, outlets, gutters, and roof drain filters of silts and other debris	Annually (and following poor performance)

5. Foul Water Drainage

- 5.1. The proposed foul drainage system would be designed in accordance with BS EN 752 – Drains and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings^{viii}, BS EN 12056 – Gravity Drainage Systems Inside Buildings^{ix}, and Approved Document H of Building Regulations^x.
- 5.2. The proposed foul flows from Town Houses A to D, Building E and the eastern side of Building F would connect into the 375mm diameter combined sewer running south to north through the Site, and flows from the western part of Building F, and Buildings H and G would connect into the 300mm diameter combined sewer crossing the site access from Crane Street.
- 5.3. The existing and proposed foul discharge rates have been calculated using the water consumption method to be 1.0 l/s in both cases (Appendix L). Therefore, there would be no increase in foul flows in the proposed situation.
- 5.4. If new connections are required, these would be made to the public sewer system through a S106 agreement with Thames Water, under the Water industry Act 1991.

6. Impact on Existing Drainage Infrastructure

- 6.1. As part the proposed surface water drainage strategy, surface water runoff would discharge directly to ground through infiltration where possible and to the River Crane via a pumped discharge if infiltration is slow or not possible. Therefore, providing betterment by reducing the amount of flow discharging into the public sewer network.
- 6.2. The proposed development would provide a 1.5m minimum offset from proposed buildings to the 375mm diameter combined sewer and 914mm diameter combined trunk sewer passing through the Site. This provides betterment over the existing situation as currently access is provided only to part of the 375mm diameter sewer and no access is provided to the 914mm diameter combined trunk sewer.
- 6.3. The consultation process to build near a sewer has been started with Thames Water and negotiations are ongoing. Further work would be required post-planning.

7. Conclusions

- 7.1. EA mapping indicates that the Site is located predominantly within Flood Zone 1, denoting a low probability of flooding, with a small area along the northern boundary with the River Crane located within Flood Zone 2, denoting a medium probability of fluvial flooding.
- 7.2. Interrogation of the EA's modelled flood levels against the existing ground levels at the Site has shown that levels along the River Crane are generally above 10.30m AOD indicating that the banks would not be overtopped up to and above the 1 in 1000 year event. However, a small, low lying area to the east of the site, just upstream of the railway bridge, would be at risk of flooding to a depth of 0.075m during the 1 in 1000 year event. This flooding would be contained to a small area and would not affect the rest of the Site. It is therefore considered that the Site is at low risk of fluvial flooding.
- 7.3. The risk of flooding from tidal, pluvial, groundwater and artificial sources has also been assessed and found to be low.
- 7.4. Infiltration testing confirmed the ground conditions are not suitable for discharge to ground. However, further testing would be undertaken at more locations across the Site at the detailed designs stage to confirm this. Surface water runoff would therefore discharge to the River Crane via a pumped discharge as a gravity connection is not feasible due to levels.
- 7.5. The existing runoff rate for the Site has been calculated to be 145.4 l/s. It is proposed to restrict runoff to the greenfield 100 year rate of 10.8 l/s, providing a 93% reduction in flows.
- 7.6. To restrict surface water runoff to this rate, 406m³ of storage has been provided in the sub-base layer of the proposed permeable paving located below the access road and car parking areas. In addition to the pumped discharge some of the sub-base would be unlined to allow some slow infiltration to ground.
- 7.7. The pollution hazard level of surface water runoff from the development is classified as 'very low' for the residential roofs and 'low' for the car parking areas and access road. A level of treatment appropriate to the pollution hazard level of the development would be provided by the green roof and permeable paving to ensure that the quality of surface water discharged to ground and the River Crane is acceptable.
- 7.8. The on-site drainage network and SuDS would be managed and maintained for the lifetime of the development by an appropriate managing body, ensuring they remain fit for purpose and function appropriately.
- 7.9. Foul flows from the Site would discharge by gravity to the Thames Water combined sewer network. The existing and proposed peak foul discharge rates have been calculated using the water consumption method to be 1.0 l/s in both cases.
- 7.10. This report demonstrates that the proposed development has a low probability of flooding from fluvial, tidal, groundwater and artificial sources, and confirms that surface water runoff can be managed sustainably to ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. It is considered that the information contained within this report satisfies the requirements of the NPPF and Local Policy.

8. References

-
- ⁱ Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, February 2019. *National Planning Policy Framework*.
- ⁱⁱ Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, March 2014. *Planning Practice Guidance*.
- ⁱⁱⁱ London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, July 2018. *Local Plan*.
- ^{iv} London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, May 2011. *Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment*.
- ^v London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, March 2016. *Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Update*.
- ^{vi} Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, March 2015. *Non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems*.
- ^{vii} AP Geotechnics, September 2017. *Former Greggs Bakery: Phase II Geo-environmental Investigation*.
- ^{viii} British Standards Institution, April 2008. *BS EN 752:2008 – Drain and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings*.
- ^{ix} British Standards Institution, September 2000. *BS EN 12056-2:2000 – Gravity Discharge Systems Inside Buildings*
- ^x HM Government, 2010. *The Building Regulations: H, Drainage and Waste Disposal*.



APPENDICES

A. Topographic Survey







B. Development Proposals

Appendices

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham

Project Number: WIE12357

Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA



General notes

All setting out must be checked on site
 All levels must be checked on site and refer to
 Ordnance Datum Newlyn unless alternative Datum given
 All fixings and weatherings must be checked on site
 All dimensions must be checked on site
 This drawing must not be scaled
 This drawing must be read in conjunction with all other
 relevant drawings, specification clauses and current design risk
 register
 This drawing must not be used for land transfer purposes
 Calculated areas in accordance with Assael Architecture's
 Definition of Areas for Schedule of Areas
 This drawing must not be used on site unless issued for
 construction
 Subject to survey, consultation and approval from all statutory
 Authorities


Revision Status:
 P-Preliminary
 C-Contract

© 2019 Assael Architecture Limited
 Assael Architecture Limited has prepared this document in
 accordance with the instructions of the Client under the agreed
 Terms of Appointment. This document is for the sole and specific
 use of the Client and Assael Architecture shall not be responsible
 for any use of its contents for any purpose other than that for
 which it was prepared and provided. Should the Client require to
 pass electronic copies of the document to other parties, this
 should be for co-ordination purposes only, the whole of the file
 should be so copied, but no professional liability or warranty shall
 be extended to other parties by Assael Architecture in this
 connection without the explicit written agreement thereto by
 Assael Architecture Limited.

Drawing notes

Electronic file reference

Status R:	Revision	Date	DRN	CHK	CDM
20	Planning submission	15/02/19	CS	ES	



Purpose of information

The purpose of the information on this drawing is for:

Planning
 Information
 Comment
 Client approval
 Construction

All information on this drawing is not for construction unless it is marked for construction.



Client

London Square

Project title

Greggs Bakery Site Twickenham

Drawing title

Site Location Plan

Scale @ A1 size Date

1:1250 Oct '16

Drawing N°

A2871 001

Status & Revision

R20



Assael Architecture Limited
 123 Upper Richmond Road
 London SW15 2TL

+44 (0)20 7736 7744
 info@assael.co.uk
 www.assael.co.uk



General notes

All setting out must be checked on site
 All levels must be checked on site and refer to
 Ordnance Datum Newlyn unless alternative Datum given
 All fixings and weathervings must be checked on site
 All dimensions must be checked on site
 This drawing must not be scaled
 This drawing must be read in conjunction with all other
 relevant drawings, specification clauses and current design risk
 register
 This drawing must not be used for land transfer purposes
 Calculated areas in accordance with Assael Architecture's
 Definition of Areas for Schedule of Areas
 This drawing must not be used on site unless issued for
 construction
 Subject to survey, consultation and approval from all statutory
 Authorities

Revision Status:
 P=Preliminary
 C=Contract

© 2019 Assael Architecture Limited

Assael Architecture Limited has prepared this document in
 accordance with the instructions of the Client under the agreed
 Terms of Appointment. This document is for the sole and specific
 use of the Client and Assael Architecture shall not be responsible
 for any use of its contents for any purpose other than that for
 which it was prepared and provided. Should the Client require to
 pass electronic copies of the document to other parties, this
 should be for co-ordination purposes only, the whole of the file
 should be so copied, but no professional liability or warranty shall
 be extended to other parties by Assael Architecture in this
 connection without the explicit written agreement thereto by
 Assael Architecture Limited.

Drawing notes

Electronic file reference


Status R:	Revision	Date	DRN	CHK	CDM
	20	Planning Submission	15/2/19	RM	ES

Purpose of information

The purpose of the information on this drawing is for:

Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Client approval	<input type="checkbox"/>
Construction	<input type="checkbox"/>

All information on this drawing is not for construction unless it is marked for construction.



Client
London Square

Project title
**Greggs Bakery Site
 Twickenham**

Drawing title
**Proposed
 Ground Floor Plan**

Scale @ A1 size Date
1:500 Feb '19

Drawing N°
A2871 200

Status & Revision
R20

Assael

Assael Architecture Limited
 123 Upper Richmond Road
 London SW15 2TL

+44 (0)20 7736 7744
 info@assael.co.uk
 www.assael.co.uk



General notes

All setting out must be checked on site
 All levels must be checked on site and refer to Ordnance Datum Newlyn unless alternative Datum given
 All fixings and weathervings must be checked on site
 All dimensions must be checked on site
 This drawing must not be scaled
 This drawing must be read in conjunction with all other relevant drawings, specification clauses and current design risk register
 This drawing must not be used for land transfer purposes
 Calculated areas in accordance with Assael Architecture's Definition of Areas for Schedule of Areas
 This drawing must not be used on site unless issued for construction
 Subject to survey, consultation and approval from all statutory Authorities

Revision Status:
 P=Preliminary
 C=Contract

© 2019 Assael Architecture Limited

Assael Architecture Limited has prepared this document in accordance with the instructions of the Client under the agreed Terms of Appointment. This document is for the sole and specific use of the Client and Assael Architecture shall not be responsible for any use of its contents for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared and provided. Should the Client require to pass electronic copies of the document to other parties, this should be for co-ordination purposes only, the whole of the file should be so copied, but no professional liability or warranty shall be extended to other parties by Assael Architecture in this connection without the explicit written agreement thereto by Assael Architecture Limited.

Drawing notes

Electronic file reference


Status R:	Revision	Date	DRN	CHK	CDM
	20	Planning Submission	15/2/19	RM	ES

Purpose of information

The purpose of the information on this drawing is for:

Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Client approval	<input type="checkbox"/>
Construction	<input type="checkbox"/>

All information on this drawing is not for construction unless it is marked for construction.



Client

London Square

Project title

Greggs Bakery Site Twickenham

Drawing title

Proposed Roof Plan

Scale @ A1 size

1:500

Date

Feb '19

Drawing N°

A2871 205

Status & Revision

R20

Assael

Assael Architecture Limited
 123 Upper Richmond Road
 London SW15 2TL

+44 (0)20 7736 7744
 info@assael.co.uk
 www.assael.co.uk



C. Environment Agency Consultation

Appendices

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham


Project Number: WIE12357

Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA

Flood Map for Planning centred on: Greggs Bakery, Twickenham - 21/11/2018 - HNL107059JH



Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- Flood Map for Planning**
- Flood Storage Area
- Areas Benefiting from Flood Defences
- Flood Zone 3
- Flood Zone 2

Flood Map for Planning (assuming no defences)

Flood Zone 3 shows the area that could be affected by flooding:
 - from the sea with a 1 in 200 or greater chance of happening each year
 - or from a river with a 1 in 100 or greater chance of happening each year.

Flood Zone 2 shows the extent of an extreme flood from rivers or the sea with up to a 1 in 1000 chance of occurring each year.


Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London



This map is based upon Ordnance Survey Material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Environment Agency 100024198, 2018



Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- Defended Flood Outlines**
- 1 in 5 (20%) Defended
- 1 in 10 (10%) Defended
- 1 in 20 (5%) Defended
- 1 in 50 (2%) Defended
- 1 in 100 (1%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence. <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>


Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London

TWICKENHAM





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- Defended Flood Outlines**
- 1 in 100+20% (*CC) Defended
- 1 in 100+25% (*CC) Defended
- 1 in 100+30% (*CC) Defended
- 1 in 100+70% (*CC) Defended
- 1 in 1000 (0.1%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend

- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 1D Node Results**
- Node Results


The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London

TWICKENHAM



Environment Agency ref: HNL107059JH

The following information has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008)

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Caution:

The modelled flood levels and extents are appropriate for catchment wide strategic flood risk mapping. However, for more detailed flood risk assessment it is recommended that each of the underlying flood mapping, hydraulic modelling and hydrological assumptions are re-evaluated to determine the appropriateness in a more detailed analysis.

All flood levels are given in metres Above Ordnance Datum (mAOD)

All flows are given in cubic metres per second (cumecs)

MODELLED FLOOD LEVEL


Node Label	Easting	Northing	Return Period									
			5 yr	10 yr	20 yr	50 yr	100 yr	100yr + 20%	100yr + 25%	100yr + 35%	100yr + 70%	1000yr
C541	514930	173296	10.104	10.191	10.235	10.279	10.320	10.384	10.364	10.553	10.609	10.719
C541d	514930	173296	10.103	10.190	10.232	10.276	10.317	10.379	10.359	10.541	10.592	10.693
C540u	514967	173295	9.997	10.079	10.119	10.161	10.200	10.258	10.233	10.394	10.439	10.542
C540d	514967	173295	9.622	9.696	9.732	9.770	9.806	9.860	9.814	9.955	9.996	10.115
C539	514993	173299	9.748	9.824	9.861	9.899	9.935	9.990	9.956	10.102	10.143	10.249
C538	515124	173311	9.611	9.683	9.718	9.754	9.788	9.838	9.794	9.917	9.950	10.053
C537	515163	173341	9.575	9.649	9.685	9.722	9.757	9.808	9.760	9.884	9.919	10.027
C537d	515163	173341	9.501	9.574	9.610	9.646	9.681	9.732	9.677	9.799	9.832	9.948
C536	515203	173360	9.462	9.537	9.573	9.610	9.645	9.698	9.637	9.759	9.793	9.915
C535	515294	173393	9.383	9.460	9.497	9.536	9.573	9.628	9.553	9.680	9.716	9.854
C534	515296	173397	9.353	9.430	9.467	9.506	9.543	9.598	9.518	9.644	9.679	9.822
C533	515293	173409	9.153	9.209	9.236	9.263	9.289	9.329	9.230	9.296	9.316	9.461
C532u	515341	173452	9.091	9.147	9.173	9.200	9.227	9.267	9.148	9.206	9.225	9.433
C532d	515341	173452	8.989	9.042	9.068	9.093	9.119	9.158	9.016	9.047	9.051	9.324
C531	515346	173453	8.982	9.035	9.061	9.086	9.112	9.151	9.006	9.035	9.038	9.317
C531d	515346	173453	8.982	9.035	9.061	9.086	9.112	9.151	9.006	9.035	9.038	9.300
C530	515432	173485	8.912	8.964	8.990	9.015	9.041	9.080	9.001	9.069	9.084	9.231
C530d	515432	173485	8.912	8.964	8.990	9.015	9.039	9.073	9.001	9.063	9.076	9.195
C529u	515460	173500	8.878	8.929	8.955	8.980	9.004	9.038	8.967	9.028	9.041	9.161
C529d	515460	173500	8.479	8.526	8.548	8.571	8.592	8.623	8.644	8.742	8.769	8.778
C528	515504	173513	8.425	8.471	8.494	8.516	8.537	8.568	8.603	8.705	8.734	8.729
C527	515506	173513	8.431	8.478	8.500	8.523	8.544	8.575	8.609	8.711	8.740	8.736
C526	515584	173537	8.270	8.316	8.339	8.362	8.383	8.413	8.497	8.610	8.641	8.601
C525	515698	173562	8.046	8.093	8.115	8.138	8.159	8.191	8.203	8.292	8.314	8.349
DN166	514954	173302	9.997	10.079	10.119	10.161	10.200	10.258	10.233	10.394	10.439	10.542
DN165u	514974	173335	9.975	10.055	10.094	10.135	10.172	10.230	10.206	10.364	10.407	10.512
DN164	515016	173397	9.899	9.981	10.021	10.063	10.103	10.162	10.138	10.298	10.344	10.464
DN163	515016	173401	9.903	9.986	10.027	10.069	10.108	10.167	10.143	10.300	10.345	10.463
DN163d	515016	173401	9.903	9.985	10.026	10.067	10.106	10.166	10.141	10.297	10.341	10.458
DN162	515040	173498	9.828	9.910	9.951	9.994	10.034	10.094	10.070	10.220	10.266	10.392
DN161	515056	173574	9.762	9.845	9.888	9.934	9.972	10.033	10.011	10.154	10.202	10.340
DN160	515067	173641	9.722	9.805	9.849	9.897	9.935	9.997	9.975	10.115	10.164	10.314
DN165d	514977	173344	9.951	10.031	10.070	10.111	10.149	10.207	10.183	10.338	10.382	10.491

MODELLED FLOWS

Node Label	Easting	Northing	Return Period									
			5 yr	10 yr	20 yr	50 yr	100 yr	100yr + 20%	100yr + 25%	100yr + 35%	100yr + 70%	1000yr
C541	514930	173296	26.855	28.691	29.598	30.528	31.401	32.702	32.934	37.471	38.701	39.000
C541d	514930	173296	26.855	28.691	29.598	30.528	31.401	32.702	32.934	37.471	38.701	39.000
C540u	514967	173295	26.853	28.691	29.598	30.528	31.401	32.703	32.934	37.435	38.616	39.000
C540d	514967	173295	24.115	25.400	26.036	26.678	27.278	28.150	28.591	31.34	32.061	32.542
C539	514993	173299	24.115	25.400	26.036	26.678	27.277	28.149	28.591	31.327	32.038	32.549
C538	515124	173311	24.114	25.399	26.036	26.678	27.276	28.149	28.597	31.266	31.96	32.583
C537	515163	173341	24.112	25.399	26.036	26.678	27.275	28.149	28.6	31.252	31.944	32.533
C537d	515163	173341	24.112	25.399	26.036	26.678	27.275	28.149	28.6	31.252	31.944	32.533
C536	515203	173360	24.112	25.399	26.036	26.678	27.274	28.149	28.605	31.246	31.936	32.517
C535	515294	173393	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.608	31.234	31.918	32.503
C534	515296	173397	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.61	31.234	31.918	32.504
C533	515293	173409	24.113	25.399	26.036	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.619	31.235	31.92	32.504
C532u	515341	173452	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.629	31.231	31.91	31.412
C532d	515341	173452	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.629	31.231	31.91	31.412
C531	515346	173453	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.643	31.231	31.91	31.411
C531d	515346	173453	24.113	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	28.643	31.231	31.91	31.411
C530	515432	173485	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.348	27.906	28.247	31.394
C530d	515432	173485	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.348	27.906	28.247	31.394
C529u	515460	173500	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.332	27.903	28.243	31.390
C529d	515460	173500	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.332	27.903	28.243	31.390
C528	515504	173513	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.329	27.902	28.242	31.384
C527	515506	173513	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.274	28.149	26.329	27.902	28.242	31.384
C526	515584	173537	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.678	27.274	28.149	26.324	27.95	28.309	31.391
C525	515698	173562	24.112	25.399	26.035	26.677	27.273	28.149	28.522	31.052	31.726	32.460
DN166	514954	173302	2.739	3.291	3.562	3.851	4.123	4.554	4.354	6.107	6.591	6.600
DN165u	514974	173335	2.735	3.290	3.562	3.851	4.123	4.554	4.352	5.86	6.304	6.600
DN164	515016	173397	2.735	3.290	3.562	3.852	4.123	4.555	4.352	5.84	6.282	6.600
DN163	515016	173401	2.735	3.290	3.562	3.852	4.123	4.555	4.352	5.828	6.261	6.600
DN163d	515016	173401	2.735	3.290	3.562	3.852	4.123	4.555	4.352	5.828	6.261	6.600
DN162	515040	173498	2.734	3.290	3.563	3.852	4.124	4.556	4.353	5.821	6.241	6.700
DN161	515056	173574	2.734	3.290	3.563	3.853	4.125	4.558	4.354	5.8	6.214	6.700
DN160	515067	173641	2.734	3.290	3.563	3.854	4.126	4.558	4.356	5.787	6.209	6.800
DN165d	514977	173344	2.735	3.290	3.562	3.851	4.123	4.554	4.352	5.86	6.304	6.600



Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 10 (10%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 20 (5%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 50 (2%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend

- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 100 (1%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 100+20% (*CC) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 100+25% (*CC) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
Alchemy,
Bessemer Road,
Welwyn Garden City,
Hertfordshire,
AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 100+35% (*CC) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.


<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE




Legend

- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 100+70% (*CC) Defended


The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences. Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence. <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London





Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE



Legend


- Main Rivers
- Site location
- 2D Node Results: Heights**
- 1 in 1000 (0.1%) Defended

The data in this map has been extracted from the River Crane Mapping Study (Halcrow 2008). This model has been designed for catchment wide flood risk mapping. It should be noted that it was not created to produce flood levels for specific development sites within the catchment. Modelled outlines take into account catchment wide defences.

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best available evidence.

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>


Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London



Structures and Defences centred on: Greggs Bakery, Twickenham - 21/11/2018 - HNL107059JH



Environment Agency
 Alchemy,
 Bessemer Road,
 Welwyn Garden City,
 Hertfordshire,
 AL7 1HE



Legend

- Main Rivers
- Site location

ASSET_ID


- 506640
- 506642
- 506643

ASSET_ID

- 125628
- 125629
- 126260
- 126461
- 126462
- 126463
- 126989
- 143354
- 144651
- 144652
- 144653

The following information on defences has been extracted from the Asset Information Management System (AIMS)

Produced by:
 Partnerships & Strategic Overview,
 Hertfordshire & North London



This map is based upon Ordnance Survey Material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Environment Agency 100024198, 2018

Environment Agency ref: [HNL107059JH](#)

The following information on defences has been extracted from the Asset Information Management System (AIMS)

Defences

Asset ID	Asset Type	Asset Protection	Asset Comment	Asset Description	Design Standard of protection (years)	Downstream Crest Level	Upstream Crest Level	Condition of Defences (1=Good, 5 = Poor)
126260	high_ground	fluvial	Combination of poured concrete and timber bank protection	Bank protection.	200	10.69	10.21	3
126461	high_ground	fluvial	Concrete bank protection with section of timber lining	Bank protection.	200	11.6	11.38	3
143354	high_ground	fluvial	Cast insitu concrete lined channel with 1.5m high walls and lined channel bed.	Lined channel.	10	8.22	9.5	3
144651	high_ground	fluvial	Cast insitu concrete lined channel with 1.5m high walls and lined channel bed.	Lined channel.	20	8.27	9.8	3
125629	high_ground	fluvial	Combination of cast insitu concrete channel lining, concrete capped sheet steel piling and timber toe-boarding	Bank protection.	2	10.98	12.09	3
126462	high_ground	fluvial	Timber bank protection.	Bank protection.	200	10.21	10.71	3
144653	high_ground	fluvial	Cast insitu concrete channel lining	Lined channel.	200	9.86	10.85	3
126463	high_ground	fluvial	Timber bank protection	Bank protection.	200	11.38	10.71	3
125628	high_ground	fluvial	Cast insitu concrete channel lining	Lined channel.	2	9.79	10.98	3
144652	high_ground	fluvial	Cast insitu concrete channel lining	Lined channel.	2	9.5	11.17	3
126989	high_ground	fluvial	Horizontal timber toe-boarding with section of concrete lining at the centre of the defence.	Bank protection.	75	10.71	12.38	3



Structures

Asset ID	Asset Type	Asset Protection	Asset Comment	Asset Description	Condition of Structures (1=Good, 5 = Poor)
506640	control_gate	fluvial	A bypass penstock is used to control flow through the bypass pipe during maintenance of the weir gate. 1m x 1m size. O&M Manual 36/3.	Mereway Road Weir bypass penstock□	3
506643	control_gate	fluvial	The control well is located adjacent to the weir. Water flows through an inlet pipe from the upstream of the structure in to the control well. This causes a float inside the well to be displaced. Excessive water on the upstream side of the weir causes the float to rise and the weir to tilt open until normal water levels are resumed. Incorporated into this design is a penstock to allow the draining of the well for maintenance purposes. O&M Manual 36/3.□	Mereway Road Weir Control Well penstock□	3
506642	screen	fluvial	1.2m by 1.6m (20mm diameter galvanised mild steel bars at 200mm centres each way). It has a hinge plate and galvanised steel hasp and staple for padlocking. O&M Manual 36/3.	Mereway Road Weir bypass pipe trash screen□	3

HNL 107059 JH - Groundwater contour map - (Units mAOD)



Legend





D. Existing and Proposed Offsets from the River Crane

Appendices

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham

Project Number: WIE12357

Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA

This drawing should not be scaled. Dimensions to be verified on site.
 Any discrepancies should be referred to the Engineer prior to work being put in hand.
 This drawing is the property of Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited, and the drawing is issued on the condition that it is not copied, reproduced, related or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the consent in writing of Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited
 Pickfords Wharf, Clink Street, London SE1 9DG 1 020 7928 7888 f 03333 444 501

GENERAL NOTES



OFFSETS FROM EXISTING BUILDINGS



OFFSETS FROM PROPOSED BUILDINGS

Rev	Date	Description	By
P03	21.02.19	PROPOSED OFFSETS UPDATED	CH
P02	18.02.19	SCHEME PLANS UPDATED	CH
P01	31.01.19	PRELIMINARY ISSUE	LS

Project
GREGG'S BAKERY, TWICKENHAM

Title
EXISTING AND PROPOSED OFFSETS FROM RIVER CRANE

Client
 LONDON SQUARE




Pickfords Wharf Clink Street London SE1 9DG
 1 020 7928 7888
 mail@watermangroup.com www.watermangroup.com

Status
PRELIMINARY

Designed By	CH	Checked By	BDM	Waterman Ref	WIE12357
Drawn By	LS	Date	JANUARY 2019	Scales @ A1	1:250

Project	Originator	Volume	Level	Type	Role	Number	Revision
12357-WIE-ZZ-XX-DR-C-92006							P03





E. Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water – Medium Risk Scenario

Appendices

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham

Project Number: WIE12357

Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA



Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water
 Medium Risk Scenario (1% to 3.3% Annual Probability)


F. London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Mapping

Appendices

Greggs Bakery, Twickenham

Project Number: WIE12357


Document Reference: WIE12357-100-R-1-3-1-FRA



Legend

Borough Boundary

Number of Sewer Flooding Incidents based on DG5 data



in association with



Metis Consultants Limited



Client



Project Title

London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 1

Drawing Title

Sewer Flooding Incidents


This document has been prepared pursuant to and subject to the terms of Metis' appointment by its client. Metis accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its original client or following Metis' express agreement to such use, and only for the purpose for which it was prepared and provided.

Drawing Number

FIGURE I

1:65,000







Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database right (2015)


Legend

 Borough Boundary

BGS Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding

 Limited potential for groundwater flooding to occur

 Potential for groundwater flooding of property situated below ground level

 Potential for groundwater flooding to occur at surface

in association with



Metis Consultants Limited



Client



Project Title

London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames Strategic
Flood Risk Assessment Level 1

Drawing Title

BGS Susceptibility to Groundwater Flooding

This document has been prepared pursuant to and subject to the terms of Metis' appointment by its client. Metis accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its original client or following Metis' express agreement to such use, and only for the purpose for which it was prepared and provided.

Drawing Number

FIGURE E

1:65,000

