

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 19/0646/FUL

Address: GreggsGould RoadTwickenhamTW2 6RT

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings (with retention of single dwelling) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175sq.m commercial floorspace; landscaped areas; with associated parking and highways works and other works associated with the development.

Comments Made By

Name: Ms Helen Scholes

Address: 90 Gould Road Twickenham TW2 6RW

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: On behalf of myself, my partner and my daughter, I would like to strongly call for a revision of the plans for re-developing the Greggs as the density and design of the development will negatively impact the community for the following reasons:

- Traffic will increase on narrow streets with an increase in pollution and effects on road safety.
- There is inadequate parking provision on the development meaning after 6.30pm and on Sundays the surrounding roads will once again be full with the accompanying increase in traffic. Residents of the development who are forced to park in surrounding streets because of inadequate provision on site will then have to move their cars by 8.30am in the morning, at the very point local children are walking to school.
- They will then be forced into areas without CPZ impacting pollution and safety in the Counties area and on Meadway which already has seen a dangerous increase in parking and congestion.
- I would like to see a guarantee that the CPZ will not be extended to the development at a future date.
- There should be one entrance and exit for traffic on Edwin Road - especially during the building phase. This was the case when Greggs was open in a move agreed with the council after it was demonstrated that Crane and Gould were too narrow for heavy vehicles.
- The length of the build and its impact would be reduced with a smaller density plan.
- The play space promised for the site is wholly inadequate. The suggestion that Twickenham Green is a suitable alternative is spurious as it is bounded on two sides by busy roads. The play space should be substantially increased to encompass the length of the site's access to the Crane and the planned footbridge should be re-instated as it would benefit the development and the surrounding community.
- Please provide evidence that schools, local GPS, local transport links etc can accommodate the increased population.
- Please provide evidence that the garages will be sufficient for the type of cars/ 4x4's many people own.
- The proposed buildings that will front Edwin Road are of a design totally divorced from the surrounding area. As is the proposed 5 storey building will dwarf surrounding buildings and is of a wharf style design again not in keeping with surrounding buildings.

- The roof terraces will impact the privacy of residents on Crane Road.
- I believe there should be space for a turning circle on site rather than have an exit on Gould/Crane Road for purposes of road safety.
- Please make clear what traffic calming measures you have planned.
- Please make clear what plans and guarantees are in place for the removal of hazardous materials from the site.
- Please undertake to keep neighbouring roads - Crane, Gould, Gravel, Mere, Mereway, Edwin, Colne, Hamilton, Norcutt, Andover etc - informed of plans. The last letter only reached a patchy number of houses in this area.

I should like to be considered by the planning committee and not under delegated authority.