Reference: FS112836805

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 19/0646/FUL

Address: GreggsGould RoadTwickenhamTW2 6RT

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings (with retention of single dwelling) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175sq.m commercial floorspace; landscaped areas; with associated parking and highways works and other works associated with the development.

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs Lia Teversham

Address: 80 Gould Road Twickenham TW2 6RW

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: My husband and I are concerned about the layout and density of the building and the impact of an overly dense urban development on the local area and services.

There is an obvious lack of space available for parking for the number of proposed houses plus their potential visitors, as well as no space for loading/turning for deliveries to the residents. More parking spaces and fewer houses would make sense.

In relation to the large increase in traffic there will be issues with safety and road access as well as an increase in pollution. When Greggs was open it was agreed with the council that there should be one entrance and exit for traffic on Edwin Road after it was demonstrated that Crane and Gould were too narrow for heavy vehicles. This would be especially relevant the building phase.

The community space is very small for that number of houses and a larger play are for children would be essential, as the size of the proposed gardens look inadequate. Providing proper access to the River Crane corridor from the development would also be of great benefit to residents.

Just under a year ago residents parking permits were introduced to help ease parking congestion in the area. As existing residents are now paying for permits to park can the council guarantee that the CPZ will not be extended to the residents of the development at a future date?

We are not against having houses built, but for the proposed number of houses on the site it is far too packed and does not sit appropriately within the local context.