Reference: FS112909122

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 19/0646/FUL

Address: GreggsGould RoadTwickenhamTW2 6RT

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings (with retention of single dwelling) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175sq.m commercial floorspace; landscaped areas; with associated parking and highways works and other works associated with the development.

Comments Made By

Name: Mrs Gill Hardman

Address: 92 Gould Road Twickenham TW2 6RW

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: Traffic flow

The plan for construction traffic to arrive via Andover/Gould Roads has been ill thought out.

- 1. Construction vehicles arriving via Gould Road would create problems in traffic flow, given that Andover and Gould Roads have parking both sides, with only room for one vehicle to pass between them and precious few passing places. (It is already noticeable how difficult large vehicles find manoeuvring in this area).
- 2. The turning circle at the apex of Crane Road and Gould Road is far too tight and any enlargement of this turning circle would potentially lead to the loss of precious parking places in both roads.
- 3. A new turning circle should be created within the development, so that only Edwin Road is used for access to and from the development.

Parking

I have lived in Gould Road for 12½ years and, until the installation of the CPZ last year, parking in Colne/Crane/Gould/May/Gravel/Mereway Roads was a nightmare.

With the installation of the CPZ, things have improved – from 8.30am - 6.30pm, Mondays to Saturdays. However, later in the evening it becomes extremely difficult to find parking space. If, in the evenings, there were to be a spillover of cars from the new development, this would cause the situation to revert to the previous, awful situation. Given the number of people that the proposed plan is catering for, it is very likely that the number of cars owned would be more than the number of parking spaces provided.

To provide only one parking space for commercial premises employing 18 people is completely unrealistic.

Failing to provide visitor parking spaces on the development is a major oversight.

I note that the development plans do not allow the residents to apply for the CPZ. I would like assurance that this would remain the case in perpetuity.

Overlooking/loss of privacy

Although not personally affected by this aspect of the development, it would seem completely unreasonable – in an area of low-rise properties – for a 5-storey building to be situated adjacent to houses, thus leading to a dramatic loss of privacy.