

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 19/0646/FUL

Address: GreggsGould RoadTwickenhamTW2 6RT

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings (with retention of single dwelling) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175sq.m commercial floorspace; landscaped areas; with associated parking and highways works and other works associated with the development.

Comments Made By

Name: Miss Melanie Palmer

Address: 122 Colne Road Twickenham TW2 6QN

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: I object to the Greggs Bakery development plan due to the following concerns:

- 1)The density of the development - adding a further 116 residential units and an estimated 326 new residents into an already overly dense urban area.
- 2) The lack of community benefit of the existing plan with no support for local community or infrastructure. No provision has been made for already stretched state school places, local health services and public services or increasing publicly accessible space or play spaces. There are 3-4 huge residential constructions underway in Twickenham already. Yet not enough schools or GP surgeries.
- 3) The height of development to include a 5-storey building is hugely out of keeping with the 2-storey appearance of most residential homes in surrounding streets and area as a whole.
- 4) Inadequate provision of parking - only 115 parking spaces are planned: less than 1 per unit and yet approximately 326 residents are predicated, so parking is severely lacking and the detrimental effect on surrounding roads, when the CPZ is not in effect, will be considerable.
- 5) Increased traffic generation and road access to sites are through very small, heavily populated roads - the proposal says: "Improving traffic conditions by removing HGV associated traffic" - so will the proposed huge construction job be carried out without use of HGV vehicles?
- 6) When the site Greggs site closed in 2016 the council insisted priority would be given to commercial over residential use - this is clearly not the case and profit is being considered above all else in this proposal.