

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 18/0549/FUL

Address: Chalkers Corner Junction At Junction Of Lower Richmond Rd South Circ And Clifford Avenue Richmond

Proposal: THIS NOTICE IS TO ADVISE THAT AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THE PRINCIPAL CHANGES ARE AS FOLLOWS: DESIGN REVISIONS FURTHER AND REVISED INFORMATION APPLICATION C: Reconfiguration of Chalkers Corner traffic junction, to include existing public highway and existing landscaped and informal parking area associated to Chertsey Court, to facilitate alterations to lane configuration, a new cycle lane, works to existing pedestrian and cycle crossing, soft landscaping and replacement boundary treatment to Chertsey Court.

Comments Made By

Name: Mr David Abel

Address: 31 St Leonards Road East Sheen London SW14 7LY

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: The amendments in no way alleviate the problems that this development will cause and my original objection (below) remains my view. I would however add that traffic at Chalkers Corner has been badly affected by the indefinite closure of Hammersmith Bridge and will be further affected by the Homebase Manor Road development.

Original comment

This area is already a pollution blackspot. Clearly something needs to be done to alleviate this. As far as I can tell this proposal will do nothing to make things any better, but rather by funnelling more traffic into a narrow street will cause further idling vehicles to sit and pollute the air. As this area already exceeds EU pollution guidelines that seems absurd. The destruction of mature trees that form part of a bat run to create further pollution for the residents of Chertsey Court who will now be nearer the busy road seems unconscionable to me.

Rather than adopt this absurd piecemeal approach the council should look at the whole of Chalkers' Corner and come up with a unified plan that addresses all the roads. If land needs to be taken for this it could easily come from the southern end of the cemetery rather than a residential development.