Reference: FS156899600

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 19/0646/FUL

Address: GreggsGould RoadTwickenhamTW2 6RT

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings (with retention of single dwelling) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175sq.m commercial floorspace; landscaped areas; with associated parking and highways works and other works associated with the development.

Comments Made By

Name: Mr James March

Address: 33 Gravel Road Twickenham TW2 6RH

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: Further to my previous comments, I would like to express a number of concerns regarding the proposal. Amendments to the proposed scheme are minimal and in essence, entirely inadequate; some have actually caused greater concern than the original.

The development is still far from in keeping with the area; it is essentially an urban development in density and there is no congruity with the existing residential homes; it is still far too overly-dense and the issue of overlooking neighbours appears to have been ignored entirely.

In addition, the density of the proposed development will add a great burden of traffic to residential roads which are simply not designed for such volume, which raises questions about pedestrian safety too; the removal of 15 parking spaces and a lack of visitor parking will result in increased use of nearby pay and display parking. After hours parking - already a problem - will become worse. A resident of Gravel Road, who pays a considerable annual sum for a parking permit, I am still frequently unable to park in my own road because of after hours parking.

Professed 'improvements' to play and public space are inadequate and suggest the possibility that public areas may simply become more cluttered. There is no apparent provision for children above the age of 5.

The public transport selling point is somewhat ironic since local services (trains) are already inadequate for existing commuters who have to tolerate over-packed trains.

It is very clear that this is another development which seeks to place profit above any consideration of quality of life for existing residents, future residents and future generations. Proposed amendments amount to nothing more than the utterly inadequate token gestures which, sadly, we had anticipated.

I hope that Richmond Council is able to place the interests of its existing residents above those of a private company.

Yours faithfully,

James March Sabina Kapoor