

RE: Fwd: Ref: 19/0646/FUL Greggs bakery Development Planning Application

18 November 2019 16:37

Subject	RE: Fwd: Ref: 19/0646/FUL Greggs bakery Development Planning Application
From	planning@richmond.gov.uk
To	sabashaukat@blueyonder.co.uk; Faherty, Thomas
Sent	18 November 2019 16:31

Dear Saba Shaukat,

Thank you for your email which has been forwarded to Thomas Faherty who will add your comments onto the case file. All comments are made available for public viewing on our website. If you wish to view the current progress of this application please click on the following link:

http://www2.richmond.gov.uk/PlanData2/Planning_Search.aspx

Please be aware the Council can only take into account 'material planning considerations' when looking at your comments. The most common of these are shown in the link below:

http://www.richmond.gov.uk/what_is_a_valid_objection_to_a_planning_application

Where an application is to be decided by the Planning Committee a summary of all representations are included in the report to them.

We are conducting a Customer Experience Survey to gather customer feedback to help improve our services. The survey only takes 5 minutes and can be completed by using the link below.

www.richmond.gov.uk/customer_feedback

Kind regards
Dan Nugent

Corporate Customer Services
Serving Richmond and Wandsworth Councils
Tel: 0208 891 1411
www.richmond.gov.uk / www.wandsworth.gov.uk

You can also follow us on Twitter for up to date information and news: Twitter @LBRUT_help

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17/November/2019 19:21 (GMT)
To: envprotection@richmond.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: Ref: 19/0646/FUL Greggs bakery Development Planning Application
Environment Directorate/Development Management, London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames

Ref: 19/0646/FUL, 29 MAY ROAD, TW2 6RJ

I object to the current plans being submitted. For the following reasons:

1. **INSUFFICIENT PARKING** - The high density housing for 116 residential units with insufficient PARKING for cars. This will add extra pressure on parking in the surrounding roads. The development must include:
 - parking for each residential unit
 - increased visitor parking. Visitors to the development should not be expected to park in adjacent roads affecting residents and adding to the pressure.
 - reduce the number of residential units to house extra parking.
2. Residents in the area are under tremendous pressure with their vehicles because commercial vans and lorries are allowed to park in surrounding roads. Space is limited. Additional capacity WITHIN the development must make provision for cars. The current plan is insufficient.
3. To reduce the impact on surrounding roads, I propose that restricted parking is implemented till 8.30pm, alleviating the pressure on residents living in surrounding roads.
4. **INCREASE IN TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND CONCERNS ABOUT ROAD SAFETY** - We are concerned about TRAFFIC in surrounding roads, congestion and road safety. The plans do not take into account additional road infrastructure required to cope with the huge surge in traffic. The current development plans are insufficient. Additional entry and exit points to Twickenham Green and Meadway MUST be developed in the plans. Current plans are inadequate.

5. SERIOUS ROAD SAFETY ISSUES BY A SCHOOL - surge in traffic past the school on Colne Road will be a danger to young children attending the school.

In summary, the area is UNSUITABLE for such high density housing. The plans must be scaled back to take into account traffic pollution in the area and toxic fumes caused by traffic-jams affecting the health and well-being of residents. Road safety for young families and at the nearby school in Colne Road. Insufficient road capacity and infrastructure under the current plans. The pressure of parking in adjacent roads is unacceptable.

The development must be scaled back to make suitable provisions for all of the above.

Regards,

Saba Shaukat