

RE: Planning application 19/0646/FUL

18 November 2019 16:43

Subject	RE: Planning application 19/0646/FUL
From	planning@richmond.gov.uk
To	nick.loebner@gmail.com; Faherty, Thomas
Sent	18 November 2019 16:34

Dear Nick Loebner,

Thank you for your email which has been forwarded to Thomas Faherty who will add your comments onto the case file. All comments are made available for public viewing on our website. If you wish to view the current progress of this application please click on the following link:

http://www2.richmond.gov.uk/PlanData2/Planning_Search.aspx

Please be aware the Council can only take into account 'material planning considerations' when looking at your comments. The most common of these are shown in the link below:

http://www.richmond.gov.uk/what_is_a_valid_objection_to_a_planning_application

Where an application is to be decided by the Planning Committee a summary of all representations are included in the report to them.

We are conducting a Customer Experience Survey to gather customer feedback to help improve our services. The survey only takes 5 minutes and can be completed by using the link below.

www.richmond.gov.uk/customer_feedback

Kind regards
Dan Nugent

Corporate Customer Services
Serving Richmond and Wandsworth Councils
Tel: 0208 891 1411
www.richmond.gov.uk / www.wandsworth.gov.uk

You can also follow us on Twitter for up to date information and news: Twitter @LBRUT_help

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18/November/2019 08:44 (GMT)

To: envprotection@richmond.gov.uk

Subject: Planning application 19/0646/FUL

Dear Sir/Madam,

I note that there has been a proposed amendment to this plan. None of the amendments address my concerns. Indeed the proposal is now worse.

I am writing to express my strong objection to this scheme - on the following grounds:-

1a. Traffic generation, highway safety and road access - construction traffic. For 2 1/2 years construction traffic will need to access the site using constricted routes through Colne Road, Edwin Road and and Gould. We know from years of bitter experience that the preferred route will not necessarily be followed by all the lorries - meaning many huge construction vehicles will pass the infant school and will need to make tight turns - such as at the junction of Crane Road and Colne Road. We have been plagued with vehicle damage by lorries accessing Greggs for many years. Not only will this return, but now there is now the added risk of danger to young children.

1b. Traffic generation, highway safety and road access - 116 new homes and a business units will undoubtedly dramatically increase the daily traffic through these narrow roads. There will be congestion, damage to vehicles and danger to pedestrians - especially outside the school.

2. Adequacy of parking, loading and turning - the original plans predict an estimated 218 adults - yet only allow for 116 car parking spaces. Inadequate for residents - without even considering guests. There will be significant pressure for the residents to access the new WT CPZ - which has only recently been established to solve the appalling problems and is already only barely adequate. The new plans actually include a REDUCTION in parking provision of 15 spaces!

3. Visual amenity - any new buildings should be in character. The area is 99% two-storey.

4. Overlooking/lack of privacy - five-storey buildings will overlook the area.

5. Layout and density of building - currently the area is low-to-medium density, the development is medium-to-high density.

6a. Relevant planning policies - local planning policy would require 1081m2 for 108 children. This scheme does not do that.

6b. Relevant planning policies - local planning policy is to open up the River Crane corridor. This plan does not adhere to

this policy.
Please reject this plan.
Best regards,
Nick Loebner - 103 Colne Road, Twickenham. TW2 6QL