RE: Objection to Planning Application 19/0646/FUL re GREGGS redevelopment

18 November 2019 16:44

Subject	RE: Objection to Planning Application 19/0646/FUL re GREGGS redevelopment
From	<u>planning@richmond.gov.uk</u>
То	alex@wildpear.com; Faherty, Thomas
Sent	18 November 2019 16:36

Dear Alex Geils,

Thank you for your email which has been forwarded to Thomas Faherty who will add your comments onto the case file. All comments are made available for public viewing on our website. If you wish to view the current progress of this application please click on the following link:

http://www2.richmond.gov.uk/PlanData2/Planning_Search.aspx

Please be aware the Council can only take into account 'material planning considerations' when looking at your comments. The most common of these are shown in the link below:

http://www.richmond.gov.uk/what_is_a_valid_objection_to_a_planning_application

Where an application is to be decided by the Planning Committee a summary of all representations are included in the report to them.

We are conducting a Customer Experience Survey to gather customer feedback to help improve our services. The survey only takes 5 minutes and can be completed by using the link below. www.richmond.gov.uk/customer_feedback

Kind regards Dan Nugent

Corporate Customer Services Serving Richmond and Wandsworth Councils Tel: 0208 891 1411 <u>www.richmond.gov.uk / www.wandsworth.gov.uk</u> You can also follow us on Twitter for up to date information and news: Twitter @LBRUT_help From: Sent: 18/November/2019 09:19 (GMT) To: envprotection@richmond.gov.uk Subject: Objection to Planning Application 19/0646/FUL re GREGGS redevelopment Objection and comments with regards to: TRAFFIC GENERATION, HIGHWAY SAFETY AND ROAD ACCESS

Not enough consideration is given to the impact of increased traffic volume in surrounding narrow streets and entry points.

At this point in time surrounding streets just about manage with the traffic. Any more would be an issue. Especially at Gould Road/Crane Road. Here even at normal times there often is no choice but to back up due to incoming/outgoing cars. There are often road obstacles due to residential building works, removals etc, which means different roads need to be taken. Any more traffic will be a problem.

We can simply not tolerate any more cars driving in or out of this area.

ADEQAUCY OF PARKING, LOADING & TURNING

Major point of objection.

There was never enough realistic car parking space given proportionate to the amount of housing. Now a further 15 spaces have been removed from the scheme, no visitor parking on site.

People will have a cars. Houses with 3 and 4 bedrooms may have 2 cars per household. All of the units will have visitors with cars. Where are these cars supposed to be parked?

The current CPZ surrounding the development cannot take any more parking applications that are not from residents from these roads. We already do not have enough parking spaces for all residents. After 6pm and out of CPZ hours further spaces are taken up by cars which do not have a permit, making it difficult to find parking for residents with permits coming home late. We cannot take further cars taking our valuable spaces.

The fact that we live in a Rugby town is directly linked with the parking and traffic issues we have. More visitors, more traffic, less parking.

Unless there is proportionate space given for parking for every household on site plus household proportionate visitor parking, the development is not tolerable or acceptable.

This would mean less housing and a considerably sized dedicated parking space or carpark, to insure the development will not have any impact at all on the surrounding area.

A. Geils 22 Gravel Road TW2 6RH Twickenham Middlesex