Planning Department

Richmond Upon Thames, Civic Centre, York Street, Twickenham TW1 3BZ

Dear Sirs, Ref: Former Stag Brewery Site - Neighbour Consultation 18/0547/FUL 18/0548/FUL 18/0549/FUL



I wish to confirm my objections to the proposals related to the three planning applications for development of the former Stag Brewery site in Mortlake, My main objections are summarised as follows:-

- This proposed development represents a very significant over-development of the site in what is recognised as an
  essentially low-scale, low density sub-urban setting.
- In many areas the proposals do not comply with the Adopted Planning Birst for the sile in terms of height, scale and massing. This is particularly so in the north west race of the sile where the blocks are very high and over-dominating in scale, in the eastern sections of the sile several of the blocks are over-bearing in relation to the riversels, torepath and High SC Grayl new flowing is required in London, and Rehmond meets to provide its share but the scheme is and High SC Grayl new flowing in required in London, and Rehmond meets to provide its share but the scheme is
- The loss of the existing sports fields is in direct contravention of the Planning Brief and is wholly unacceptable, with its haid all-wealther multi-use games area (MLGA), surfaces, fenong and floodighting. The protected sports fields are designated as "Other Open Land of Divenscape importane (OCLT), and should be retained for community with fields also represent important visual Townscape resource for local residents and the wider community. The space is not re-provisioned in the scheme design proposals by any stetch of the imagnitation.
- Genuine open amenity space provided in the scheme proposals (circa 25%), is lower that the base provision in the Planning Brief (circa 28% - Sports Fields and Green Link).
- \*This site is uniquely and significantly constrained by the river Traines to the north and the level crossings on the Richmon/Matterion line. This places huge pressure on Sheen Lane and the droy of the races routes of Montake High St and the Lower Richmond Hat. The proposed cumulative scale of the development and high parking provisions combined with general district access traffic will create unbearable compession on other herades and local.
- The introduction of a huge secondary school adds to the local access pressures and will exacerbate safely risks at the Mortlake level crossing.
- I have seen no evidence or documented justification for a secondary school with slath form. This issue a highlighted in the Mayor's Stage 1 Report and the community have had no detail presented to support this aspect of the openine. Expansion of existing local secondary schools as deliverable and funding mechanisms are available to the Council via the developer as outlined in July 1201 Guidance from the Department of Education.
- The Viability Assessment appears unrealistic, only supporting a very low aftordable housing allocation. Aftordable
  provisions should also be spread over the whole site and not concentrated in one area/block or zone.
- The Chalker's Corner proposals, required to mitigate against the vast scale of the development proposals, will aimply attract more traffic. The road works, loss of mature trees and loss of resident's instrural against on which year began to the proposed works will very adversely affect the local residents in Chertsey Court in terms of visual influsion, noise, pollution and loss of OCLT protected land. This aspect of the scheme is obtaily disrespectful to existing residents living around the proposed function. Reduction of the overall development scale couls eliminate the need for such expensive given £2 (bits), and hopping descriptive works and, thus facilitating additional monies to such the distribution.

In summary the latest changes and addendum information submitted by the Applicant make no substantive response to major concerns about the proposed development within the three planning applications. The proposals ought to be scaled down significantly in quantum and content, parking reduced, sports fields retained, height/massing reduced in key areas, and any future secondary school needs satisfied by expansion on existing school sites.

signed ...

signed

Date 20/7/19

Address.....

9 DANIAMI M Emi MEN SWI48AJ

ttps://www.mbcq.org.uk/response0719.docs

07/2019, 07:43

Planning Department

Richmond Upon Thames, Civic Centre, York Street, Twickenham TW1 3BZ

Dear Sirs, Ref: Former Stag Brewery Site - Neighbour Consultation 18/0547/FUL 18/0548/FUL 18/0549/FUL



I wish to confirm my objections to the proposals related to the three planning applications for development of the former Stag Brewery site in Mortlake, My main objections are summarised as follows:-

- This proposed development represents a very significant over-development of the site in what is recognised as an
  essentially low-scale, low density sub-urban setting.
- In many areas the proposals do not comply with the Adopted Planning Birst for the sile in terms of height, scale and massing. This is particularly so in the north west race of the sile where the blocks are very high and over-dominating in scale, in the eastern sections of the sile several of the blocks are over-bearing in relation to the riversels, torepath and High SC Grayl new flowing is required in London, and Rehmond meets to provide its share but the scheme is and High SC Grayl new flowing in required in London, and Rehmond meets to provide its share but the scheme is
- The loss of the existing sports fields is in direct contravention of the Planning Brief and is wholly unacceptable, with its haid all-wealther multi-use games area (MLGA), surfaces, fenong and floodighting. The protected sports fields are designated as "Other Open Land of Divenscape importane (OCLT), and should be retained for community with fields also represent important visual Townscape resource for local residents and the wider community. The space is not re-provisioned in the scheme design proposals by any stetch of the imagnitation.
- Genuine open amenity space provided in the scheme proposals (circa 25%), is lower that the base provision in the Planning Brief (circa 28% - Sports Fields and Green Link).
- \*This site is uniquely and significantly constrained by the river Traines to the north and the level crossings on the Richmon/Matterion line. This places huge pressure on Sheen Lane and the droy of the races routes of Montake High St and the Lower Richmond Hat. The proposed cumulative scale of the development and high parking provisions combined with general district access traffic will create unbearable compession on other herades and local.
- The introduction of a huge secondary school adds to the local access pressures and will exacerbate safely risks at the Mortlake level crossing.
- I have seen no evidence or documented justification for a secondary school with slath form. This issue a highlighted in the Mayor's Stage 1 Report and the community have had no detail presented to support this aspect of the openine. Expansion of existing local secondary schools as deliverable and funding mechanisms are available to the Council via the developer as outlined in July 1201 Guidance from the Department of Education.
- The Viability Assessment appears unrealistic, only supporting a very low aftordable housing allocation. Aftordable
  provisions should also be spread over the whole site and not concentrated in one area/block or zone.
- The Chalker's Corner proposals, required to mitigate against the vast scale of the development proposals, will aimply attract more traffic. The road works, loss of mature trees and loss of resident's instrural against on which year began to the proposed works will very adversely affect the local residents in Chertsey Court in terms of visual influsion, noise, pollution and loss of OCLT protected land. This aspect of the scheme is obtaily disrespectful to existing residents living around the proposed function. Reduction of the overall development scale couls eliminate the need for such expensive given £2 (bits), and hopping descriptive works and, thus facilitating additional monies to such the distribution.

In summary the latest changes and addendum information submitted by the Applicant make no substantive response to major concerns about the proposed development within the three planning applications. The proposals ought to be scaled down significantly in quantum and content, parking reduced, sports fields retained, height/massing reduced in key areas, and any future secondary school needs satisfied by expansion on existing school sites.

signed ...

signed

Date 20/7/19

Address.....

9 DANIAMI M Emi MEN SWI48AJ

ttps://www.mbcq.org.uk/response0719.docs

07/2019, 07:43