
2.9 Transport

The site is within easy walking distance of North Sheen train station, categorised as a secondary transport node within the local plan, providing a direct service into London Waterloo and to the west.

This site benefits from a PTAL rating (public transport accessibility level) of 5 of 6, and is within 100m of North Sheen railway station.

There are various bus stops within close proximity to the site with bus routes towards Richmond Town Centre, Kingston, Twickenham, Barnes, Chiswick and Kew.



Road

Railway Station



Key:

Bus-stop

Introduction

Context

3.0 Design process

Design response


Landscape

Access

Appendices

3.1 Constraints





3.2 Opportunities



Key:

3.3 Design principles



1 - Create new, high quality public realm.



4 - Establish new street frontage on Manor Road and tree-lined street.



2 - Create new active frontages and flexible retail facilities.




5 - Create new areas of soft landscaping and increase on-site biodiversity.




3 - Reference local architectural styles and character.




6 - Provide high quality new homes




3.5 Design objectives




1. Orientate blocks north-south to maximise sunlight across new public realm.




2. Align entrance to the site with Manor Grove junction. Propose new active retail uses around new public square.




3. Create new soft landscaping and 'buffer' to railway. Increase biodiversity on site.



3.6 Design development & consultation





3.7 Pre-application meeting 1: 2nd July 2018

3.7.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 1

364 units (35% of C3 tenure affordable / 20% total units C2 assisted living)

1 beds - 145 units (40%) 2 beds - 167 units (46%) 3 beds - 52 units (14%)

24,182 sq m (260,286 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

1,492 sq m (16,060 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


144 parking spaces

3.7.2 Comments from pre-application meeting 1

- Hard-landscape public square
- Improve frontage / accessibility to Block C
- Remove later living tenure
- Set-back massing along Manor Road to increase distance between houses on other side
- · Minimise on-site parking provision just blue badges
- Increase offset distances between buildings to 20m

3.7.3 Response to pre-application meeting 1

- Public square to be primarily hard-landscaped
- Block C reconfigured to provide more frontage to public realm
- Later living tenure removed in lieu of market and affordable accommodation
- Block B reconfigured to reduce footprint and lessen impact on buildings to the west of the railway line
- Buildings along Manor Road to have 3rd floor set-back
- On-site parking reduced (10%)
- Offset distances between buildings increased to 20m



3.8 Pre-application meeting 2: 17th September 2018

3.8.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 2

410 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 175 units (43%) 2 beds - 179 units (44%) 3 beds - 56 units (13%)

27,375 sq m (294,662 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

316.9 sq m (3,411 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


24 parking spaces

3.8.2 Comments from pre-application meeting 2

- Reduce height along southern boundary
- Concentrate height in centre / north of site
- Increase commercial floorspace at entrance on Manor Road
- Increase permeability through link blocks
- Create secondary residents' access to Manor Road
- · Create more defined areas of public realm

3.8.3 Response to pre-application meeting 2

- Reduced height along southern boundary
- Increased height of building to the north of the site
- Stepped out commercial floor space at entrance to site, introduced new community pavilion
- Introduced arched openings at base of link building
- Introduced new residential entrance to northern part of the site
- Enclosed residents courtyard to building A with three townhouses



3.9 Pre-application meetings 3&4: 24th September 2018 and 8th October

3.9.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 3&4

378 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 119 units (31%) 2 beds - 181 units (48%) 3 beds - 81 units (21%)

27,402 sq m (294,953 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

522 sq m (5,619 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


20 parking spaces

3.9.2 Comments from pre-application meetings 3 & 4

- Increase width of landscaping along southern railway edge
- · Introduce new trees along Manor Road
- Reduce massing along southern boundary opposite Manor Park. Concentrate height in centre of site
- Extended set-back 4th storey along Manor Road
- Pavilion to have a community use
- Disperse cycle storage across the scheme. Include short stay spaces within landscape

3.9.3 Response to pre-application meetings 3 & 4

- Increased width of soft landscaped corridor along southern boundary
- Proposed new street trees along Manor Road
- Extended set-back along Manor Road
- Proposed community use for pavilion
- Added additional bike store on ground floor of building C



3.10 GLA Pre-application meeting 1: 10th October 2018

3.10.1 Proposals presented at GLA pre-application meeting 1

378 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 119 units (31%) 2 beds - 181 units (48%) 3 beds - 81 units (21%)

27, 402 sq m (294,953 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

522 sq m (5,619 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


20 parking spaces

3.10.2 Comments from GLA pre-application meeting 1

- Support for proposed quantum of commercial area
- Support for 35% affordable tenure provision
- Support for proposed housing mix
- · Confirm rationale behind pavilion public square to be designed as civic space
- Landscape design in SW corner of site to be developed
- Winter gardens to be considered for units facing railways
- Create more variety in height across the site, increase density in least sensitive areas of site (centre)

3.10.3 Response to GLA pre-application meeting 1

- Provided rationale for pavilion as a sub-division between new public square and residential court
- Developed landscape proposals for SW tip of site
- Introduced bay windows and winter gardens along railway facing façades
- Increased density of proposals towards the centre of the site
- Car free development supported



3.11 Pre-application meetings 5: 22nd October 2018

3.11.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 5

397 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 135 units (34%) 2 beds - 160 units (40%) 3 beds - 102 units (26%)

28,885 sq m (310, 915 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

471 sq m (5,068 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


12 parking spaces

3.11.2 Comments from pre-application meeting 5

- Reduce height along southern boundary
- Concentrate height in centre / north of site
- Increase commercial floorspace at entrance on Manor Road
- Increase permeability through link buildings
- Create secondary residents' access to Manor Road
- · Create more defined areas of public realm

3.11.3 Response to pre-application meeting 5

- Set-back massing along southern boundary
- · Rationalised ground floor plan to increase commercial floor space offer
- Increased width of opening on ground floor of link buildings to increase N-S permeability across site
- Introduced new residents' access on Manor Road towards the north of site
- Provided further clarification on the zoning of proposed public realm



3.12 Design review panel 1: 23rd October 2018

3.12.1 Proposals presented at DRP 1

397 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 135 units (34%) 2 beds - 160 units (40%) 3 beds - 102 units (26%)

28, 885 sq m (310, 915 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

471 sq m (5,068 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

Increased landscaping


12 parking spaces

3.12.2 Comments from Design review panel 1

- Consider the rationale for the taller buildings', is there a stronger narrative?
- Support the height along Manor Road, however question the height of building B which will be visible from the conservation area
- Develop a clearer hierarchy of public and private spaces
- · Confirm the use of the pavilion and how it will be serviced
- Consider the location of the affordable homes, can they be integrated within the site?
- Re-establish the key design principles

3.12.3 Response to Design review panel 1

- · Provided more contextual analysis and justification for height;
 - To signify new public square
 - To provide a termination point to vista along Manor Grove
 - To respond to the taller buildings on the western side of the railway boundary
- Provided further clarification on the zoning of proposed public realm
- Redistributed affordable housing some to be located to the north of the site



3.13 Public consultation 1

Held on: 6th November, 10th November and 20th November 2018

Approximately 200 residents attended the three sessions.

Attendees included:

- North and South Richmond Ward Councillors
- Representatives from the Richmond Society
- Representatives from the Mortlake Society
- Representatives from the East Sheen Society
- Representatives from the Sheen Society


The website and dedicated email address has received a considerable amount of feedback. We have received over 170 comment forms (both from the exhibitions and online) that we are still reviewing.

We received mixed public opinion about the redevelopment of the site in principle. Concerns were raised in relation to the lack of car parking as well as comments about height/massing. They would also like to see an improvement to the existing community infrastructure.

There is however a degree of support for the improvement of the site and provision of affordable housing.













AVANTON: Assael


AVANTON: Assael
















Manor Road, Richmond

Manor Road, Richmond

3.14 Pre-application meeting 6: 20th November 2018

3.14.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 6

398 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 140 units (35%) 2 beds - 175 units (44%) 3 beds - 83 units (21%)

28,405 sq m (305,749 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

495 sq m (5,328 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation


14 parking spaces (including 2 x car-club spaces)

3.14.2 Comments from pre-application meeting 6

- Queried quantum of non-residential floorspace could this be increased? Queried the pavilion
- · Queried offset distances between buildings
- · Single aspect units at basement level are not acceptable
- · Questioned the façade treatments across scheme
- Impact of height above 4 storeys should be reduced / set-back
- · Queried 'gaps' through the site
- Queried location of playspace in SW corner of site
- Questioned cycle store provision to the south of the site

3.14.3 Response to pre-application meeting 6

- Provided justification for the pavilion and its role in dividing the proposed public realm into public and residential squares
- Reviewed offset distances between buildings and increased this to 20m
- Replaced lower ground accommodation units with duplex apartments
- Reviewed façade design across proposals
- Top storeys of buildings to have change of material / detail
- Landscape design to provide more information on the arrangement of new public realm
- Reviewed location of playspace across scheme. Play space to be concentrated within residential courtyards and public realm
- Provided additional cycle storage in building D (to the south of the site)



3.15 Pre-application meeting 7: 11th December 2018

3.15.1 Proposals presented at pre-application meeting 7

384 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 151 units (39%) 2 beds - 161 units (42%) 3 beds - 72 units (19%)

27,944 sq m (300,792 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

480 sq m (5,167 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

14 parking spaces (including 2 x car-club spaces)


Increased landscaping

3.15.2 Response to pre-application meeting 7

- Welcomed changes to lower ground accommodation (now duplexes)
- Queried variety in materials and questioned whether there was a possibility for greater contrast between brick and stone colours, including on bay windows
- Questioned proximity of buildings B and D
- Welcomed developing landscape proposals and questioned whether there was an opportunity for increasing planting buffer along NW railway line
- · Questioned location of affordable housing

3.15.3 Comments from pre-application meeting 7

- Reviewed stone colour to provide greater contrast between stone and brickwork
- Developed bay window design
- Study of facing apartments between buildings B and D
- Reviewed landscape strategy along NW boundary, increased distance between building C and railway edge
- Location of affordable homes changed to provide greater distribution across site




3.16 Public consultation 2

Held on: 11th December and 15th December 2018

The second exhibition focused on detailed proposals to be submitted for planning. The boards were supplemented by a large physical model and sample material palette.

Representatives from Avanton, Assael, GVA, Hoare Lea and Sanderson Associates attended the exhibition and were available to answer any questions from the public.







04



ose of this exhibition is to display the scheme in more detail and to answer queries that have been raised throughout public



The team

The professional team

AVANTON:

02

Avanton

Assael

FAIRHURST

Assael Architecture







Manor Road, Richmond

AVANTON: Assael

06


Design principles

03

384 new homes

Developing proposals

Energy and Sustainability



Manor Road, Richmond AVANTON: Assael

Appearance

Manor Road, Richmond

05

AVANTON: Assael

01



Bronze coloured metalwork to create handrails, railings and juliet balconies.

Precast concrete stairs to elevate ground floor flats and increase privacy for residents.

Manor Road, Richmond AVANTON: Assael Transport




Manor Road, Richmond AVANTON: Assael **Public Square**

Manor Road, Richmond



Potential uses for new public square



Manor Road, Richmond

AVANTON: Assael

AVANTON: Assael

Next steps

80



We have also established the following website which includes a copy of all exhibition boards and a comment section:

Manor Road, Richmond

AVANTON: Assael

Exhibition boards

3.17 GLA pre-application meeting 2: 20th December 2018

3.17.1 Proposals presented at GLA pre-application meeting 2

385 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 152 units (40%) 2 beds - 178 units (46%) 3 beds - 55 units (14%)

27,680 sq m (297,954 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

480 sq m (5,167 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

14 parking spaces (including 2 x car-club spaces)


Increased landscaping

3.17.3 Comments from GLA pre-application meeting 2

- · Concern raised over 4 no. single aspect north facing units.
- · Approved of duplex units along internal mews street.
- Understood the role of the pavilion within the new public realm.
- Approved of unit mix.
- · Queried whether PV panels had been maximised on roofs.
- Queried whether height could be increased, specifically on the 'marker building' to create more variety in height in the centre of the scheme.

3.17.2 Response to GLA pre-application meeting 2

- Single aspect north facing units removed and designed to have a bay window to
 provide for views other than just north. Landscaping scheme proposed on the
 bus depot to improve outlook for these units.
- Where appropriate and efficient PV panels to be included on south facing roof-tops.
- Maintained building heights however detailed the top two floors on the buildings either side of the public square as tops to give more presence to the marker building, block B.



3.18 DRP 2: 11th December 2018

3.18.1 Proposals presented at Design review panel 2

385 units (35% affordable)

1 beds - 152 units (40%) 2 beds - 178 units (46%) 3 beds - 55 units (14%)

27,680 sq m (297,954 sq ft) NIA residential accommodation

480 sq m (5,167 sq ft) NIA commercial accommodation

14 parking spaces (including 2 x car-club spaces)


Increased landscaping

3.18.2 Comments from Design review panel 2

- View from Manor Grove challenged, the tops of blocks A and D viewed as imposing at end of vista.
- Queried the function of the pavilion.
- · Noted that the single aspect north facing units were not acceptable, as GLA.


3.18.3 Response to Design review panel 2

- Redesigned the 'couryard' blocks, including tall elements of blocks A and D to present better from the vista along Manor Road.
- · Ratified use of pavilion as community cafe and terrace.
- Single aspect north facing units removed and designed to have a bay window to provide for views other than just north. Landscaping scheme proposed on the bus depot to improve outlook for these units.



3.19 Manor Road façade development

Throughout the pre-application process, the detail of the frontage building on Manor Road has been refined, the process of which is documented below.



3.19.1 Pre-application meeting 2

- Buildings designed to appear as a series of townhouses with connecting elements (inset balconies)
- Commercial frontage to stretch along the length of Manor Road
- Street trees proposed along Manor Road

OLIVE TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

3.19.2 Pre-application 4 and GLA pre-application meeting 1

- Extended mansard along length of Manor Road, with the exception of two brick 'bookends' to signal the entrance to the site
- Increased top storey setback
- Commercial frontage reduced and concentrated at site entrance
- Street trees proposed along Manor Road




3.19.3 Pre-application meeting 6

- Extended setback the full length along Manor Road
- Created greater separation between townhouse elements and in-set balconies
- Raised ground floor residential apartments above street level to increase sense of privacy
- Street trees proposed along Manor Road








3.20 Development of the marker building form

Throughout the pre-application process, the design of the 'marker' building has been refined, the process of which is documented below.



3.20.1 Pre-application meeting 2

- Form of 'marker' building to provide 'full-stop' at the end of the vista along Manor Grove and across public square
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ $\,$ Chamfered edge to open up views and access to the SW corner of the site

3.20.2 Pre-application 4 and GLA pre-application meeting 1

 Reduced the length of the western elevation along railway to reduce impact of proposals on buildings opposite and to aid better access into the site from the service road

3.20.3 Pre-application meeting 6

- Rationalised form of plan to create a more regular arrangement in response to local building studies of the First Church of Christ Scientist, Sheen Road, Richmond
- · Compact plan results in a well proportioned, slender, building form



