

Strategic Applications Manager,  
2 nd Floor Civic Centre,  
44 York Street, TW1 3BZ

RECEIVED

15 MAY 2018

PLANNING



334

9.5.2018

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to make my objections known related to the Mortlake Brewery Scheme Ref: 18/0547/FUL; Ref: 18/0548/FUL; Ref: 18/0549/FUL.

The accommodation density as proposed is too high with almost 900 homes; 1200 pupil secondary school; 120,000sq.ft. of commercial use; no satisfactory solution to traffic density and public transport implications; no health services provision in a scheme that will increase the local population by an estimation approaching 40%.

1. The affordable and social housing element of the proposal is very small and below recommended levels in an area where accommodation is already very expensive.
2. As a resident of over 30 years and a teacher of 20 years experience I am not convinced that the need for a secondary school of this size, on a very small site that removes an existing sports facility, has been proven. Borough pupil figures indicate a high percentage of primary pupils opt out of the state system to attend other forms of secondary education questioning the requirement for extra state provision at this level. Should the need increase in the future the site at Barn Elms would provide a far better solution providing 1<sup>st</sup> class sports facilities with a more spacious school building.
3. Local traffic is already a problem for residents with the roads leading to the site and the road past the site often at a standstill due to grid lock at Chalkers Corner (which is an existing problem which should be dealt with by the Highways Authority not the proposed developer of the site) and the railway level crossing at Mortlake Station where extra volume of waiting traffic will cause even more pollution for Thomson House School and anyone relaxing on Mortlake Green and children's playground.
4. No proposals have been put forward to improve the existing road or rail transport for the extra residents/workers on the site. The rebuilding of a commercial pier to take river transport has not been proposed but is an ideal solution to commuting into the Hammersmith public transport hub and the removal of waste/delivery of materials for the site during demolition and construction thereby easing road traffic.
5. The proposed health clinic for the site has been removed even though a population increase of approaching 40% is estimated. My own experience of local services indicate they are already stretched.
6. The eastern area of the site as proposed is extremely dense and totally out of character with the existing area creating an urban ghetto in an existing village.

As a longstanding resident who will suffer the implications of a misconceived planning application I hope my concerns are taken into consideration and this scheme, as it presently stands, is rejected as it does not meet the requirements of local inhabitants and should be rethought before redevelopment is allowed on this unique site.

Yours faithfully



MARY EATON

1951 10 20

1951 10 21

1951 10 22



Strategic Applications Manager,  
2 nd Floor Civic Centre,  
44 York Street, TW1 3BZ

RECEIVED

15 MAY 2018

PLANNING



334

9.5.2018

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to make my objections known related to the Mortlake Brewery Scheme Ref: 18/0547/FUL; Ref: 18/0548/FUL; Ref: 18/0549/FUL.

The accommodation density as proposed is too high with almost 900 homes; 1200 pupil secondary school; 120,000sq.ft. of commercial use; no satisfactory solution to traffic density and public transport implications; no health services provision in a scheme that will increase the local population by an estimation approaching 40%.

1. The affordable and social housing element of the proposal is very small and below recommended levels in an area where accommodation is already very expensive.
2. As a resident of over 30 years and a teacher of 20 years experience I am not convinced that the need for a secondary school of this size, on a very small site that removes an existing sports facility, has been proven. Borough pupil figures indicate a high percentage of primary pupils opt out of the state system to attend other forms of secondary education questioning the requirement for extra state provision at this level. Should the need increase in the future the site at Barn Elms would provide a far better solution providing 1<sup>st</sup> class sports facilities with a more spacious school building.
3. Local traffic is already a problem for residents with the roads leading to the site and the road past the site often at a standstill due to grid lock at Chalkers Corner (which is an existing problem which should be dealt with by the Highways Authority not the proposed developer of the site) and the railway level crossing at Mortlake Station where extra volume of waiting traffic will cause even more pollution for Thomson House School and anyone relaxing on Mortlake Green and children's playground.
4. No proposals have been put forward to improve the existing road or rail transport for the extra residents/workers on the site. The rebuilding of a commercial pier to take river transport has not been proposed but is an ideal solution to commuting into the Hammersmith public transport hub and the removal of waste/delivery of materials for the site during demolition and construction thereby easing road traffic.
5. The proposed health clinic for the site has been removed even though a population increase of approaching 40% is estimated. My own experience of local services indicate they are already stretched.
6. The eastern area of the site as proposed is extremely dense and totally out of character with the existing area creating an urban ghetto in an existing village.

As a longstanding resident who will suffer the implications of a misconceived planning application I hope my concerns are taken into consideration and this scheme, as it presently stands, is rejected as it does not meet the requirements of local inhabitants and should be rethought before redevelopment is allowed on this unique site.

Yours faithfully



MARY EATON

1951 10 20

1951 10 21

1951 10 22

