

**Shub, Simon**

---

**From:** Thatcher, Lucy  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 15:06  
**To:** Shub, Simon  
**Subject:** FW: Mortlake Brewery Development

Official

Past cut off

---

**From:** Coombs, John (Cllr) <Cllr.j.Coombs@richmond.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 15:06  
**To:** Thatcher, Lucy <Lucy.Thatcher@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: Mortlake Brewery Development

....last one for today.

John Coombs

Liberal Democrat Councillor

Heathfield Ward

159 Percy Road, Whitton

Joint Vice-chair Planning Committee, Spokesperson for Arts

**IMPORTANT:**

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please reply to this email and highlight the error.

---

**From:** Elisabeth Morse <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 14:58  
**To:** Coombs, John (Cllr) <Cllr.j.Coombs@richmond.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Mortlake Brewery Development

Dear Councillor,

I have concerns about the plans for the development of the Brewer site at Mortlake.  
The original plans looked good but the current ones are very worrying.

1. The buildings will be so squashed together, how will it be possible for 900 housing units to get adequate daylight? Simply building more houses does not mean they will be adequate for mixed size households and the variety needed for a proper community. 17% affordable housing is very low.
2. Why another secondary school? The facilities will be very small and the transport to get secondary child to the site is inadequate. It risks becoming a sink school especially as the birthrate statistics do not support the need for another secondary school so fewer pupils will mean less than adequate funding.
3. Public transport is wholly inadequate at present let alone with the huge increase in demand in the future from people living on the site. They risk being stuck there as the number of trains cannot be increased significantly, buses

at peak times already get bogged down in the traffic at present and, even if more used bicycles, the roads cannot be widened. Road accidents will be inevitable.

I am all for a sensible new development on this site but the present one seems thoroughly I'll thought out. I have been impressed by the people of Mortlake who have had many people with real expertise commenting on these plans - their reservations and criticisms deserve to be taken most seriously.

Yours sincerely,

Elisabeth Morse  
31 Elm Bank Gardens SW13 0NU

**Shub, Simon**

---

**From:** Thatcher, Lucy  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 15:06  
**To:** Shub, Simon  
**Subject:** FW: Mortlake Brewery Development

Official

Past cut off

---

**From:** Coombs, John (Cllr) <Cllr.j.Coombs@richmond.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 15:06  
**To:** Thatcher, Lucy <Lucy.Thatcher@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: Mortlake Brewery Development

....last one for today.

John Coombs

Liberal Democrat Councillor

Heathfield Ward

159 Percy Road, Whitton

Joint Vice-chair Planning Committee, Spokesperson for Arts

**IMPORTANT:**

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please reply to this email and highlight the error.

---

**From:** Elisabeth Morse <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 28 January 2020 14:58  
**To:** Coombs, John (Cllr) <Cllr.j.Coombs@richmond.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Mortlake Brewery Development

Dear Councillor,

I have concerns about the plans for the development of the Brewer site at Mortlake.  
The original plans looked good but the current ones are very worrying.

1. The buildings will be so squashed together, how will it be possible for 900 housing units to get adequate daylight? Simply building more houses does not mean they will be adequate for mixed size households and the variety needed for a proper community. 17% affordable housing is very low.
2. Why another secondary school? The facilities will be very small and the transport to get secondary child to the site is inadequate. It risks becoming a sink school especially as the birthrate statistics do not support the need for another secondary school so fewer pupils will mean less than adequate funding.
3. Public transport is wholly inadequate at present let alone with the huge increase in demand in the future from people living on the site. They risk being stuck there as the number of trains cannot be increased significantly, buses

at peak times already get bogged down in the traffic at present and, even if more used bicycles, the roads cannot be widened. Road accidents will be inevitable.

I am all for a sensible new development on this site but the present one seems thoroughly I'll thought out. I have been impressed by the people of Mortlake who have had many people with real expertise commenting on these plans - their reservations and criticisms deserve to be taken most seriously.

Yours sincerely,

Elisabeth Morse  
31 Elm Bank Gardens SW13 0NU