Shub, Simon

 From:
 Thatcher, Lucy

 Sent:
 28 January 2020 13:46

To: Shub, Simon

Subject: RE: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Official

This was received after mid day cut off.

Simon - please print and put on file

From: Curran, Gemma <cllr.g.curran@richmond.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 January 2020 13:41

To: Clare Delmar <clare.delmar@me.com>; Avon, Paul (Cllr) <Cllr.P.Avon@richmond.gov.uk>; Bridges-Westcott, Alice (Cllr) <Cllr.A.Bridges-Westcott@richmond.gov.uk>

Cc: Cardy, Jonathan (Cllr) <Cllr.J.Cardy@richmond.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Dear Clare

Thank you for your email, I have forwarded this to the Chair of the Planning Committee and the case Planning officer so your objections are added to the case file.

Kind regards

Gemma

Cllr Gemma Curran

Councillor for Mortlake & Barnes Common

From: Clare Delmar

Sent: 28 January 2020 13:38

To: Avon, Paul (Cllr) < Cllr.P.Avon@richmond.gov.uk>; Curran, Gemma < cllr.g.curran@richmond.gov.uk>; Bridges-Westcott, Alice (Cllr) < Cllr.A.Bridges-Westcott@richmond.gov.uk>

Subject: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Dear Cllrs Avon, Bridges-Westcott and Curran,

I am writing as a resident of Mortlake and appealing to you as my local ward councillors. I live at Aynescombe Cottage, Thames Bank, I am an active member of the Mortlake Brewery Community Group (MBCG). As you are more than aware, the planning committee will be considering the officer's reports on Wednesday evening. I am most disappointed to read that the officers are recommending that all three applications should be agreed. I know that all of you are aware of the arguments that have been put forward by MBCG over the years and I am sure you have read our 125 page submission on why we are objecting to the current proposals. I do not intend to rehash those arguments. You will know that local residents are very worried (and I would add distressed) about the negative impact the plans submitted by Reselton properties will have on the community. You will also understand the reasons why local people in your ward feel so depressed about the possibility of the development proposals being accepted. Many hundreds of people objected to the planning applications because we all feel that the developers are trying to squeeze every last ounce of profit out of the site to the detriment of the community and the environment. I therefore hope you will speak up against the current plans on behalf of our ward. In doing so, please consider the following points:

- 1. The community are not opposed to the development of the brewery site. However, the current plans ignore the views of residents completely. As local elected representatives, I would urge you to consider the Community Plan that has been drawn up by MBCG as an alternative. This provides more affordable housing without reducing green space.
- 2. The secondary school is not required and will seriously impact on the continuing improvement of local secondaries Richmond Park Academy and Christ's School. Our education experts have reviewed Your officers' figures on school demand and have fund numerous flaws and spurious assumptions which we have presented publicly on several occasions. They have had no external review and must be challenged. Given the recent criticism of the borough's SEND policies and practices by the Ombudsman, I suggest you ask yourself whether the senior leadership team of Achievement for Children are really best placed to advise you on educational policy, given their very poor track record. Please do arm yourself with the facts on whether a new school is actually needed rather than simply accepting the view of your officers who appear to have a fixed and narrow view.
- 3. The residents of Chertsey Court, many of whom are social housing tenants, will experience a serious degradation of their health, if the proposal to widen Chalker's Corner goes ahead. I urge you to take a wider view and question whether it is morally right to remove green space and mature trees from the apex of Chertsey Court to allow more cars to turn left onto Lower Richmond Road, to supposedly ease traffic congestion. Leaving on one side whether the scheme will actually lead to a reduction in traffic, which MBCG seriously doubts the proposal exposes residents of Chertsey Court and Lower Richmond Road to dangerous pollution levels and therefore substantial health risks. Do you honestly think it is right to enable a private developer based in Singapore to build a dense luxury development, creating more traffic at the expense of local social housing tenants, many of whom are elderly and disabled ? Personally, I think this is a shocking proposal and not something the leadership of a Liberal Democrat flagship council should be considering. Elected

members of LBRuT should be seeking to protect people who live in social housing and not expose them to significant health harm.

4. Lastly, these plans are utterly predictable and do not reflect the serious climate change challenges we face in the 21st century. The premise of the plans is that over 700 car owners will be occupying the new units and therefore extensive undersground parking will be required. To supposedly ameliorate this, a new road junction will be built at Chalker's Corner. We know this will be at a cost of many millions of pounds - investment that would be far better spent on creating a sustainable transport scheme. For the people of Mortlake and North Richmond including the new residents. I ask you to urge your colleagues to be bold at this point and take a stance, in the same way other London Councils are now doing and reject this development and any other, that is not sustainable and car-free.

In short - as our local political leaders, we expect you to be championing the community, listening to residents, be innovative and visionary and not allow officers and private developers to hold sway over what will be life-changing decisions affecting us all.

Thank you for your reading this email.

Best wishes

Clare Delmar Aynescombe Cottage Thames Bank London SW14 7QR

Shub, Simon

 From:
 Thatcher, Lucy

 Sent:
 28 January 2020 13:46

To: Shub, Simon

Subject: RE: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Official

This was received after mid day cut off.

Simon - please print and put on file

From: Curran, Gemma <cllr.g.curran@richmond.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 January 2020 13:41

To: Clare Delmar <clare.delmar@me.com>; Avon, Paul (Cllr) <Cllr.P.Avon@richmond.gov.uk>; Bridges-Westcott, Alice (Cllr) <Cllr.A.Bridges-Westcott@richmond.gov.uk>

Cc: Cardy, Jonathan (Cllr) <Cllr.J.Cardy@richmond.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Dear Clare

Thank you for your email, I have forwarded this to the Chair of the Planning Committee and the case Planning officer so your objections are added to the case file.

Kind regards

Gemma

Cllr Gemma Curran

Councillor for Mortlake & Barnes Common

From: Clare Delmar

Sent: 28 January 2020 13:38

To: Avon, Paul (Cllr) < Cllr.P.Avon@richmond.gov.uk>; Curran, Gemma < cllr.g.curran@richmond.gov.uk>; Bridges-Westcott, Alice (Cllr) < Cllr.A.Bridges-Westcott@richmond.gov.uk>

Subject: Opposition to Mortlake Brewery planning application

Dear Cllrs Avon, Bridges-Westcott and Curran,

I am writing as a resident of Mortlake and appealing to you as my local ward councillors. I live at Aynescombe Cottage, Thames Bank, I am an active member of the Mortlake Brewery Community Group (MBCG). As you are more than aware, the planning committee will be considering the officer's reports on Wednesday evening. I am most disappointed to read that the officers are recommending that all three applications should be agreed. I know that all of you are aware of the arguments that have been put forward by MBCG over the years and I am sure you have read our 125 page submission on why we are objecting to the current proposals. I do not intend to rehash those arguments. You will know that local residents are very worried (and I would add distressed) about the negative impact the plans submitted by Reselton properties will have on the community. You will also understand the reasons why local people in your ward feel so depressed about the possibility of the development proposals being accepted. Many hundreds of people objected to the planning applications because we all feel that the developers are trying to squeeze every last ounce of profit out of the site to the detriment of the community and the environment. I therefore hope you will speak up against the current plans on behalf of our ward. In doing so, please consider the following points:

- 1. The community are not opposed to the development of the brewery site. However, the current plans ignore the views of residents completely. As local elected representatives, I would urge you to consider the Community Plan that has been drawn up by MBCG as an alternative. This provides more affordable housing without reducing green space.
- 2. The secondary school is not required and will seriously impact on the continuing improvement of local secondaries Richmond Park Academy and Christ's School. Our education experts have reviewed Your officers' figures on school demand and have fund numerous flaws and spurious assumptions which we have presented publicly on several occasions. They have had no external review and must be challenged. Given the recent criticism of the borough's SEND policies and practices by the Ombudsman, I suggest you ask yourself whether the senior leadership team of Achievement for Children are really best placed to advise you on educational policy, given their very poor track record. Please do arm yourself with the facts on whether a new school is actually needed rather than simply accepting the view of your officers who appear to have a fixed and narrow view.
- 3. The residents of Chertsey Court, many of whom are social housing tenants, will experience a serious degradation of their health, if the proposal to widen Chalker's Corner goes ahead. I urge you to take a wider view and question whether it is morally right to remove green space and mature trees from the apex of Chertsey Court to allow more cars to turn left onto Lower Richmond Road, to supposedly ease traffic congestion. Leaving on one side whether the scheme will actually lead to a reduction in traffic, which MBCG seriously doubts the proposal exposes residents of Chertsey Court and Lower Richmond Road to dangerous pollution levels and therefore substantial health risks. Do you honestly think it is right to enable a private developer based in Singapore to build a dense luxury development, creating more traffic at the expense of local social housing tenants, many of whom are elderly and disabled ? Personally, I think this is a shocking proposal and not something the leadership of a Liberal Democrat flagship council should be considering. Elected

members of LBRuT should be seeking to protect people who live in social housing and not expose them to significant health harm.

4. Lastly, these plans are utterly predictable and do not reflect the serious climate change challenges we face in the 21st century. The premise of the plans is that over 700 car owners will be occupying the new units and therefore extensive undersground parking will be required. To supposedly ameliorate this, a new road junction will be built at Chalker's Corner. We know this will be at a cost of many millions of pounds - investment that would be far better spent on creating a sustainable transport scheme. For the people of Mortlake and North Richmond including the new residents. I ask you to urge your colleagues to be bold at this point and take a stance, in the same way other London Councils are now doing and reject this development and any other, that is not sustainable and car-free.

In short - as our local political leaders, we expect you to be championing the community, listening to residents, be innovative and visionary and not allow officers and private developers to hold sway over what will be life-changing decisions affecting us all.

Thank you for your reading this email.

Best wishes

Clare Delmar Aynescombe Cottage Thames Bank London SW14 7QR