

14/5

30

9 May 2018

The Strategic Operations Manager
2nd Floor
Civic Centre
44 York Street
Twickenham
TW1 3BZ

Dear Sir

Stag Brewery and Chalkers Corner Applications

I write in response to the consultation to object to the applications as they stand on the following grounds:

1. The three applications completely ignore the impact of increased traffic on the surrounding area – and this includes Sheen and East Richmond. The existing infrastructure of roads and any proposed changes as a result of the Chalkers Corner are completely inadequate to absorb the additional traffic generated by a scheme of this size (especially as it includes retail outlets, recreational facilities and a large school. All of the above are bound to draw in additional traffic.
2. The effect on the crossing at Sheen Lane is also crucial. At the moment there is traffic congestion in Sheen Lane backing into the Upper Richmond Road and Mortlake High Street at busy times. Even without additional trains being run through to Heathrow in the future, the effect of the scheme will impact traffic all around including on the South Circular Road from Roehampton and along the Sheen Road into Richmond and Manor Road. I have lived locally for more than 40 years and have seen on many occasions how an incident or roadworks can cause local chaos quite quickly when there is additional traffic around. The level crossings in Sheen Lane and Manor Road are already pinch points and it is clear to me that the effect of the scheme on infrastructure will make it worse
3. The adverse impact of the scheme will be very widely felt throughout Mortlake, Sheen and where I live in East Richmond and will adversely affect our quality of life with the extra traffic, pollution and pressure on local resources such as the Health Centre at a time when there is already pressure on GP surgeries and it is difficult to get GP appointments
4. I am pessimistic about the effect of additional retail outlets in the development itself on the shopping environment in Sheen where shops are already closing. I don't believe that this has been sufficiently considered.

11.2



5. The provision for parking in the plans is wholly inadequate and will result in additional; parking in local roads to the disadvantage of their existing residents. It is a fact that much of the parking in our local roads already comes from commuters using Mortlake, North Sheen and Richmond Stations and commuters catching buses to Richmond and other destinations such as Hammersmith. To allow plans which don't even give each residential unit a parking place is totally unrealistic about human nature and puts the existing residents of the locality under a pressure that they never envisaged and for which they will blame the Council.

I am objecting to the Scheme as envisaged for the reasons set out above. As a local resident of long standing I'm afraid I find it difficult to see the merit of any scheme which tries to cram so many different elements on a relatively small site without sufficient assessment of the impact on the existing area.

Your faithfully

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

Margaret Hill



14/5

30

9 May 2018

The Strategic Operations Manager
2nd Floor
Civic Centre
44 York Street
Twickenham
TW1 3BZ

Dear Sir

Stag Brewery and Chalkers Corner Applications

I write in response to the consultation to object to the applications as they stand on the following grounds:

1. The three applications completely ignore the impact of increased traffic on the surrounding area – and this includes Sheen and East Richmond. The existing infrastructure of roads and any proposed changes as a result of the Chalkers Corner are completely inadequate to absorb the additional traffic generated by a scheme of this size (especially as it includes retail outlets, recreational facilities and a large school. All of the above are bound to draw in additional traffic.
2. The effect on the crossing at Sheen Lane is also crucial. At the moment there is traffic congestion in Sheen Lane backing into the Upper Richmond Road and Mortlake High Street at busy times. Even without additional trains being run through to Heathrow in the future, the effect of the scheme will impact traffic all around including on the South Circular Road from Roehampton and along the Sheen Road into Richmond and Manor Road. I have lived locally for more than 40 years and have seen on many occasions how an incident or roadworks can cause local chaos quite quickly when there is additional traffic around. The level crossings in Sheen Lane and Manor Road are already pinch points and it is clear to me that the effect of the scheme on infrastructure will make it worse
3. The adverse impact of the scheme will be very widely felt throughout Mortlake, Sheen and where I live in East Richmond and will adversely affect our quality of life with the extra traffic, pollution and pressure on local resources such as the Health Centre at a time when there is already pressure on GP surgeries and it is difficult to get GP appointments
4. I am pessimistic about the effect of additional retail outlets in the development itself on the shopping environment in Sheen where shops are already closing. I don't believe that this has been sufficiently considered.

11.2



5. The provision for parking in the plans is wholly inadequate and will result in additional; parking in local roads to the disadvantage of their existing residents. It is a fact that much of the parking in our local roads already comes from commuters using Mortlake, North Sheen and Richmond Stations and commuters catching buses to Richmond and other destinations such as Hammersmith. To allow plans which don't even give each residential unit a parking place is totally unrealistic about human nature and puts the existing residents of the locality under a pressure that they never envisaged and for which they will blame the Council.

I am objecting to the Scheme as envisaged for the reasons set out above. As a local resident of long standing I'm afraid I find it difficult to see the merit of any scheme which tries to cram so many different elements on a relatively small site without sufficient assessment of the impact on the existing area.

Your faithfully

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

Margaret Hill

