

## StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: Nicholas Grundy <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: 13 May 2018 22:39  
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment  
Subject: Mortlake Brewery Planning Application - comment

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write with reference to the three linked planning applications for the Mortlake Brewery Site, references:

- 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.

I object to them on the bases set out by "Love Mortlake", as per below. Additionally, regarding healthcare provision, there would need to be significant expansion of local healthcare services to accommodate the huge increase in population this development would represent. There are currently four GP surgeries within a mile of the site without crossing the river, but one of these (Essex House) is in Barnes and is inaccessible from it. The remaining three (Sheen Lane, Kew Medical Practice, and North Road) already have significantly less physical space than they should do (935m<sup>2</sup> vs. 1839m<sup>2</sup>, or 49% less than appropriate), and even if North Road do move to new premises as is currently suggested, the increased strain of 817 residential units and the resulting 2000-4000 residents would worsen this deficit to 1178m<sup>2</sup> (56% less space than required).

As such, whatever residential development is approved must be met by the appropriate increase in primary care space, funded by the developer as part of the application, and required by planning condition to be delivered before the residential units are sold. I would suggest that the CCG, NHS England, and the relevant GP surgeries are involved in these discussions as soon as possible.

Thanks,

Nicholas Grundy

46 Elm Grove Road, London SW13 0BT

### Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site presents a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic

- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land – the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

#### Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5 storeys.
- The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

#### Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion – it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road “users” including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further through traffic.

---

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

---

#### Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is extremely weak compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at Mortlake and now, even the 209 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 485 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. **This needs to be re-examined.**
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category: it is the 4<sup>th</sup> riskiest CCTV crossing on the Wessex Route. It identifies vehicle-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase use (vehicles and pedestrians) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. **The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Network Rail.**

#### Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and

openness' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLTI land lost at Chertsey Court.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

#### Other Observations

---

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
- Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no **additional health care or primary school provision**; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the **Maltings ground floor as a community centre** is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The **affordable housing provision** is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to **transport demolition waste** and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

## StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: Nicholas Grundy <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: 13 May 2018 22:39  
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment  
Subject: Mortlake Brewery Planning Application - comment

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write with reference to the three linked planning applications for the Mortlake Brewery Site, references:

- 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.

I object to them on the bases set out by "Love Mortlake", as per below. Additionally, regarding healthcare provision, there would need to be significant expansion of local healthcare services to accommodate the huge increase in population this development would represent. There are currently four GP surgeries within a mile of the site without crossing the river, but one of these (Essex House) is in Barnes and is inaccessible from it. The remaining three (Sheen Lane, Kew Medical Practice, and North Road) already have significantly less physical space than they should do (935m<sup>2</sup> vs. 1839m<sup>2</sup>, or 49% less than appropriate), and even if North Road do move to new premises as is currently suggested, the increased strain of 817 residential units and the resulting 2000-4000 residents would worsen this deficit to 1178m<sup>2</sup> (56% less space than required).

As such, whatever residential development is approved must be met by the appropriate increase in primary care space, funded by the developer as part of the application, and required by planning condition to be delivered before the residential units are sold. I would suggest that the CCG, NHS England, and the relevant GP surgeries are involved in these discussions as soon as possible.

Thanks,

Nicholas Grundy

46 Elm Grove Road, London SW13 0BT

### Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site presents a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic

- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land – the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

#### Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5 storeys.
- The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

#### Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion – it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road “users” including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further through traffic.

---

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

---

#### Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is extremely weak compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at Mortlake and now, even the 209 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 485 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. **This needs to be re-examined.**
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category: it is the 4<sup>th</sup> riskiest CCTV crossing on the Wessex Route. It identifies vehicle-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase use (vehicles and pedestrians) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. **The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Network Rail.**

#### Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and

openness' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLTI land lost at Chertsey Court.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

#### Other Observations

---

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
- Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no **additional health care or primary school provision**; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the **Maltings ground floor as a community centre** is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The **affordable housing provision** is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to **transport demolition waste** and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.