

## StagBreweryRedevelopment

**From:** JamesTurtle <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 13 May 2018 23:21  
**To:** StagBreweryRedevelopment  
**Subject:** Brewery Redevelopment

242

Dear Richmond Council

I write to object on several grounds about the proposed redevelopment of the Stag site.

The housing density is very high - too high - and is likely to result in major traffic problems in the area. From talking to the consultants at one of the open sessions I understood that local transport/traffic issues were not included in their terms of reference, or at least not to any major extent. This was a big mistake. Not only will there be chaos on the Lower Mortlake Road but also at the nearby level crossing. Has any modelling been attempted on the traffic issues?

As a consequence of these probable traffic problems pollution levels will rise in the area with all the attendant health issues associated with, especially, NO2. One hopes that eventually all road transport will be electric but this will take decades to happen and meanwhile several generations of local children will suffer poor lung development. Already, on the Upper Richmond Road in East Sheen, NO2 levels average around 65 ug/m3 of air over a 12 month period. This compares with the WHO maximum 'safe' concentration of 40 ug/m3 of air. You will not be aware of these numbers because you no longer collect NO2 data from Milestone Green in East Sheen, but I have been collecting data for the past 18 months or so and the results are confirmed by laboratory analysis of my monitoring equipment.

The proposal to ease traffic congestion at Chalker's Corner by widening the road at the block of flats is a serious mistake. It will simply generate more traffic, several large trees will be lost which currently absorb traffic pollution, particularly NO2, areas of grass and parking will also be lost.

The large secondary school proposed will also generate traffic problems and apparently will be in danger of becoming redundant in a few years' time when the current 'Millennial' bulge passes.

The attendant proposal to eliminate the existing sports field and replace it with a smaller all weather (plastic) field for school use only is also a mistake in my view.

James Turtle  
5 Penrhyn Crescent  
East Sheen  
SW14 7PF

## StagBreweryRedevelopment

**From:** JamesTurtle <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 13 May 2018 23:21  
**To:** StagBreweryRedevelopment  
**Subject:** Brewery Redevelopment

242

Dear Richmond Council

I write to object on several grounds about the proposed redevelopment of the Stag site.

The housing density is very high - too high - and is likely to result in major traffic problems in the area. From talking to the consultants at one of the open sessions I understood that local transport/traffic issues were not included in their terms of reference, or at least not to any major extent. This was a big mistake. Not only will there be chaos on the Lower Mortlake Road but also at the nearby level crossing. Has any modelling been attempted on the traffic issues?

As a consequence of these probable traffic problems pollution levels will rise in the area with all the attendant health issues associated with, especially, NO2. One hopes that eventually all road transport will be electric but this will take decades to happen and meanwhile several generations of local children will suffer poor lung development. Already, on the Upper Richmond Road in East Sheen, NO2 levels average around 65 ug/m3 of air over a 12 month period. This compares with the WHO maximum 'safe' concentration of 40 ug/m3 of air. You will not be aware of these numbers because you no longer collect NO2 data from Milestone Green in East Sheen, but I have been collecting data for the past 18 months or so and the results are confirmed by laboratory analysis of my monitoring equipment.

The proposal to ease traffic congestion at Chalker's Corner by widening the road at the block of flats is a serious mistake. It will simply generate more traffic, several large trees will be lost which currently absorb traffic pollution, particularly NO2, areas of grass and parking will also be lost.

The large secondary school proposed will also generate traffic problems and apparently will be in danger of becoming redundant in a few years' time when the current 'Millennial' bulge passes.

The attendant proposal to eliminate the existing sports field and replace it with a smaller all weather (plastic) field for school use only is also a mistake in my view.

James Turtle  
5 Penrhyn Crescent  
East Sheen  
SW14 7PF