

StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 April 2018 22:06
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment
Subject: RE: Stag Brewery Redevelopment Ref Nos:18/0547/FUL; 18/0548/FUL; 18/0549/FUL

Dear Sirs,
When submitting the comments below regarding the above redevelopment I omitted to include the Application Ref Numbers which I now do, as above.
Yours sincerely

Francis Howard
6 Varsity Row
London
SW14 7SA

From: Francis Howard
Sent: 16 April 2018 21:07
To: 'stagbreweryredevelopment@richmond.gov.uk'
Subject: Stag Brewery Redevelopment

Dear Sirs,
With the approach of your decision on the planning application for the Stag Brewery site may I please ask you to take full consideration of the traffic issue.
I have no basic objection to the establishment of housing, a school and commercial activities on the site. It is the obvious thing to do.

However this has to recognise such constraints as there are on how much development can take place.
The most serious constraint is traffic. Mortlake High Street, The Lower Richmond Road towards the A316 and Sheen Lane all converge at the centre of the proposed development. These roads are already congested at many times, with queues of cars trying to come from Barnes westwards, trying to get out of Mortlake onto the A316, and travelling both ways on Sheen Lane, constrained by the level crossing at Mortlake Station. It is plain that a large addition of motor traffic brought about by the vehicles owned by residents of the new development will exacerbate already severe congestion.

The proposals before us envisage only minor steps to alleviate the position, by widening the road at Chalkers Corner. While this may ease traffic flows INTO the Lower Richmond Road it can do nothing to help traffic escape onto the A316, because traffic flow from Mortlake onto the A316 is already constrained by congestion on the A316 itself.

The proposals contain no plans to help traffic in Sheen Lane or traffic going to and from Barnes along Mortlake High Street. This may well be because it is impossible to envisage any steps which could address these traffic problems short of a major highway between Chalkers Corner and Barnes.

I therefore urge the Council to limit the new development to a number of housing units and new residents the traffic from which can be absorbed by the available roads. Frankly this could mean no additional population, however this is clearly not a position which will be acceptable. Nonetheless it is proper to ask the Council to ensure

that traffic movement is reasonable for existing and new residents of Mortlake and surrounding areas which will be affected by the Mortlake traffic flows.

The developer decided on the price paid for the site being developed on whatever considerations were taken into account. The developer does not have the right to be permitted to undertake a development which will adversely affect the mobility and lives of existing and new residents for all time. The scale of the development must respect the lives of the residents of the area before the financial ambitions of the developer.

Yours sincerely,

Francis Howard

Francis Howard
6 Varsity Row
London
SW14 7SA



StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 April 2018 22:06
To: StagBreweryRedevelopment
Subject: RE: Stag Brewery Redevelopment Ref Nos:18/0547/FUL; 18/0548/FUL; 18/0549/FUL

Dear Sirs,
When submitting the comments below regarding the above redevelopment I omitted to include the Application Ref Numbers which I now do, as above.
Yours sincerely

Francis Howard
6 Varsity Row
London
SW14 7SA

From: Francis Howard
Sent: 16 April 2018 21:07
To: 'stagbreweryredevelopment@richmond.gov.uk'
Subject: Stag Brewery Redevelopment

Dear Sirs,
With the approach of your decision on the planning application for the Stag Brewery site may I please ask you to take full consideration of the traffic issue.
I have no basic objection to the establishment of housing, a school and commercial activities on the site. It is the obvious thing to do.

However this has to recognise such constraints as there are on how much development can take place.
The most serious constraint is traffic. Mortlake High Street, The Lower Richmond Road towards the A316 and Sheen Lane all converge at the centre of the proposed development. These roads are already congested at many times, with queues of cars trying to come from Barnes westwards, trying to get out of Mortlake onto the A316, and travelling both ways on Sheen Lane, constrained by the level crossing at Mortlake Station. It is plain that a large addition of motor traffic brought about by the vehicles owned by residents of the new development will exacerbate already severe congestion.

The proposals before us envisage only minor steps to alleviate the position, by widening the road at Chalkers Corner. While this may ease traffic flows INTO the Lower Richmond Road it can do nothing to help traffic escape onto the A316, because traffic flow from Mortlake onto the A316 is already constrained by congestion on the A316 itself.

The proposals contain no plans to help traffic in Sheen Lane or traffic going to and from Barnes along Mortlake High Street. This may well be because it is impossible to envisage any steps which could address these traffic problems short of a major highway between Chalkers Corner and Barnes.

I therefore urge the Council to limit the new development to a number of housing units and new residents the traffic from which can be absorbed by the available roads. Frankly this could mean no additional population, however this is clearly not a position which will be acceptable. Nonetheless it is proper to ask the Council to ensure

that traffic movement is reasonable for existing and new residents of Mortlake and surrounding areas which will be affected by the Mortlake traffic flows.

The developer decided on the price paid for the site being developed on whatever considerations were taken into account. The developer does not have the right to be permitted to undertake a development which will adversely affect the mobility and lives of existing and new residents for all time. The scale of the development must respect the lives of the residents of the area before the financial ambitions of the developer.

Yours sincerely,

Francis Howard

Francis Howard
6 Varsity Row
London
SW14 7SA

