97.

StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: Ben Gothard

Sent: 08 May 2018 18:25

To: Lucy Thatcher; StagBreweryRedevelopment

Cc: cath brooks

Subject: RE: Stag Brewery Redevelopment

Dear Sirs

RE: Three linked planing applications associated to the comprehensive redevelopment of the former Stag Brewery Site in Mortlake

Application A; Your Ref 18/0547/FUL Application B; Your Ref 18/0548/FUL Application C; Your Ref 18/0549/FUL

We object strongly to these planning permissions being granted without further consultation. In particular we object to

2a, Alterations and extensions to existing buildings to create an additional 443 residential apartments 2e, Flood defence and towpath works

3, Residential developments of upto 224 units

from Application A; Your Ref 18/0547/FUL on the material planning considerations of Traffic generation, Loss of Trees, inadequate Road access, Nature conservation and the inadequate consideration of public transport provision.

Overall we share the views widely held, which we summarise below.

Further more we are particularly concerned by the prospect of the development of the towpath which will destroy natural habitats and result in the loss of trees and go against the policy of Nature conservation.

This is not a step forward for the Mortlake area, which deserves re-development of the Stag brewery to be a forward looking sympathetic re-development, not a retro-grade, developer led project which will blight the lives of many local residents.

Yours sincerely,

Ben and Catherine Gothard

Summary of further objections also shared;

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan
 guidelines on development density units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average
 density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in

the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed

• The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5 storeys.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion – it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans
 include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at peak
 hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is extremely
 weak compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at
 Mortlake and now, even the 209 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend
 the 485 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to
 Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. This needs to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category: it is the 4th riskiest CCTV crossing on the Wessex Route. It identifies vehicle-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase use (vehicles and pedestrians) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Network Rail.

Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows

development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and openness' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLTI land lost at Chertsey Court

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

Other Observations

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
- · Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional memand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the **Maltings ground floor as a community centre** is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to **transport demolition waste** and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

Ben & Catherine Gothard 26 Trehern Road, London, SW14 8PD

97.

StagBreweryRedevelopment

From: Ben Gothard

Sent: 08 May 2018 18:25

To: Lucy Thatcher; StagBreweryRedevelopment

Cc: cath brooks

Subject: RE: Stag Brewery Redevelopment

Dear Sirs

RE: Three linked planing applications associated to the comprehensive redevelopment of the former Stag Brewery Site in Mortlake

Application A; Your Ref 18/0547/FUL Application B; Your Ref 18/0548/FUL Application C; Your Ref 18/0549/FUL

We object strongly to these planning permissions being granted without further consultation. In particular we object to

2a, Alterations and extensions to existing buildings to create an additional 443 residential apartments 2e, Flood defence and towpath works

3, Residential developments of upto 224 units

from Application A; Your Ref 18/0547/FUL on the material planning considerations of Traffic generation, Loss of Trees, inadequate Road access, Nature conservation and the inadequate consideration of public transport provision.

Overall we share the views widely held, which we summarise below.

Further more we are particularly concerned by the prospect of the development of the towpath which will destroy natural habitats and result in the loss of trees and go against the policy of Nature conservation.

This is not a step forward for the Mortlake area, which deserves re-development of the Stag brewery to be a forward looking sympathetic re-development, not a retro-grade, developer led project which will blight the lives of many local residents.

Yours sincerely,

Ben and Catherine Gothard

Summary of further objections also shared;

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan
 guidelines on development density units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average
 density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in

the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed

• The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5 storeys.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion – it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans
 include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at peak
 hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is extremely
 weak compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at
 Mortlake and now, even the 209 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend
 the 485 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to
 Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. This needs to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category: it is the 4th riskiest CCTV crossing on the Wessex Route. It identifies vehicle-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase use (vehicles and pedestrians) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Network Rail.

Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows

development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and openness' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLTI land lost at Chertsey Court

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

Other Observations

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
- · Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional memand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the **Maltings ground floor as a community centre** is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to **transport demolition waste** and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

Ben & Catherine Gothard 26 Trehern Road, London, SW14 8PD