Response for Brewery Planning Applications

I wish to object to the following planning applications:

- Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.

I am concerned about four main elements of the proposed plans which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- . The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- . The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation
- and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
 It is not clear in the current plans how green space will be protected

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 8.17 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sg m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectane, (Circa 21 units/hectane east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectane.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
 published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3
 up to 5 storess.
- The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal
 experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be
 built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be
 allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

235

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving staffic congestion — it needs to be a combined effort with TFI and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to a rise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's
 plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, almed they claim, at improving traffic
 movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further
 through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bur routes..." This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposed do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is stratenely usual compared with surrounding parts of loads. There is no prospect of more stratenes are stopping at Mortake and now, even the 209 Rotate is under threat of a reduced service under the proposal to extend the 485 bas service. Unter mentor in small and of the 190 bas service from Richmond over Chisvick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. This needs to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and webcalar risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network fail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly no both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the ath riskest CTV crossing on the Wewers Route. It islendfiles whelse pedestrians as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brevery will increase use (vehicles and predestrians) of this crossing at peak periods, increased traffic livel lase for further dealiny, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in conlunction with the Borough and Herstown Rail.

Protection of Green Space

Mortake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields terpresent 55% by year. The playing fields have protection under the classification of "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (ODL'II), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and openenses' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLII famile to sit at Cherstey County.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and staffic), and our econsptems and may negatively impact flood water grotection. It also falls to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current onen assect of the site.

I hope these concerns will be considered and the current applications refused until these points have been fully incorporated in revised plans.

Yours faithfully

Mrs S van Meeteren 20 Wyatt Drive Barnes London SW13 8AA

Response for Brewery Planning Applications

I wish to object to the following planning applications:

- Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.

I am concerned about four main elements of the proposed plans which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- . The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- . The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation
- and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
 It is not clear in the current plans how green space will be protected

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 8.17 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sg m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectane, (Circa 21 units/hectane east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectane.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
 published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3
 up to 5 storess.
- The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal
 experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be
 built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be
 allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

235

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes – the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving staffic congestion — it needs to be a combined effort with TFI and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to a rise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's
 plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, almed they claim, at improving traffic
 movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further
 through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bur routes..." This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposed do not include a strategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is stratenely usual compared with surrounding parts of loads. There is no prospect of more stratenes are stopping at Mortake and now, even the 209 Rotate is under threat of a reduced service under the proposal to extend the 485 bas service. Unter mentor in small and of the 190 bas service from Richmond over Chisvick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. This needs to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and webcalar risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing. Network fail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly no both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the ath riskest CTV crossing on the Wewers Route. It islendfiles whelse pedestrians as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brevery will increase use (vehicles and predestrians) of this crossing at peak periods, increased traffic livel lase for further dealiny, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in conlunction with the Borough and Herstown Rail.

Protection of Green Space

Mortake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields terpresent 55% by year. The playing fields have protection under the classification of "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance" (ODL'II), while protection is not absolute, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the criteria which allows development to occur, which are based around the 'quantum, quality and openenses' of the land being removed which having to be re-provided on the site. The same issue of re-provision applies to the OOLII famile to sit at Cherstey County.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and staffic), and our econsptems and may negatively impact flood water grotection. It also falls to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current onen assect of the site.

I hope these concerns will be considered and the current applications refused until these points have been fully incorporated in revised plans.

Yours faithfully

Mrs S van Meeteren 20 Wyatt Drive Barnes London SW13 8AA