mold

Mr & Mrs K Arnold 14 John Dee House Mortlake High Street London SW14 8HW

Response to Mortlake Brewery Re-development Planning Applications

- 1). Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane.
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields.

 Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Cheptsey Court.

Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site should present a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. At present the current scheme falls well short of this objective. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- · The overall density of the site is overwhelming.
- The local transport infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic.
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing. There is no funding provision for upgrades to the foot crossings or the existing footbridge arrangement.
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be isnored.

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortiake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortiake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Approx. 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, average density for Mortiake of circa 70 units/hectare).
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, must be assessed further.
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
 published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5

storeys. The excessive cumulative effect of this development is going to have a very dominating presence over this part of Mortlake and also when viewed from the Chiswick side of the river.

 The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes — the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been full the planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion—it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exactrivate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians.
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at neak hours.
- The reality is that while this may possibly improve flow at the junction, it will not help the overall traffic flow as the light sequencing is heavily weighted in favour of through traffic on the A316 and the South Circular via Clifford Avenue. It just more likely to starcat further through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on read congestion, existing bus routes..." This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a stategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is externely want compared with surrounding parts of incolor. There is no prospect of more trains ever suppling at Mortiake and now, even the 208 solucie is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 450 bus service. Little meetion is made of the 200 bus service from Bichmond over Chisakic Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. These Issues need to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing.
 Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the 4th risklest CCTV crossing on the Wesser Roate. It identifies while-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase

use (whicks and pedestrinss) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The frequent closure of the Sheen Lune gates already add a major bottleneck to traffic flow to Sheen Lane, Mortuke High Street and the Lower Richmond Road. This can only get worse. The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Newsork Rail.

Living on Mortiske High Street we are already affected by the constant traffic jams caused by the
railway crossing gates being closed for long streetches of time, and also the traffic backing up from
Chiller's Corner. The ramp proposed to the underground parking on Mortiske High Street will also
be difficult for diviers coming from the direction of Barnes to access, as it is on the dual
carriagenway section of the High Street. Access would involve a virtual subrar at the mini
roundabout, which has already caused near accidents in the past. The increase in traffic will also
add to the air production which we currently experience.

Protection of Green Space

Mortable has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape importance' (OCCIT), while protection is not aboutus, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the critical value of the playing the properties of the land being removed which allows developed to the reprovided on the site. The same issue of reprovision applies to the OCCII land lost at Onchretey Court.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

Additional comments

The proposals to change the junction at Chalker's Corner, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
 - Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
 - Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units. This is bound to have an impact on the already stretched health services in the area.

The allocation of the Maltings ground floor as a community centre is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be necessimed. In its current despin it is not sufficient, flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The provision of a cinema is not likely to be well supported as there are others locally available in Richmond, Barnes and Putney.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. This figure should be

improved upon giving a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases. These should also be given a fixed guarantee to avoid the usual reduction to recoup costs/profits.

The proposals to transport demolition waste and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

	Kevir	

e control

mold

Mr & Mrs K Arnold 14 John Dee House Mortlake High Street London SW14 8HW

Response to Mortlake Brewery Re-development Planning Applications

- 1). Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane.
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields.

 Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Cheptsey Court.

Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site should present a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. At present the current scheme falls well short of this objective. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- · The overall density of the site is overwhelming.
- The local transport infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic.
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing. There is no funding provision for upgrades to the foot crossings or the existing footbridge arrangement.
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be isnored.

Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are 817 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortiake, the brewery site represents about 15% (9 hectares), of the area of Mortiake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Approx. 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, average density for Mortiake of circa 70 units/hectare).
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another, especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public realm. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, must be assessed further.
- The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
 published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3 up to 5

storeys. The excessive cumulative effect of this development is going to have a very dominating presence over this part of Mortlake and also when viewed from the Chiswick side of the river.

 The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

Impact on Traffic

In recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes — the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been full the planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to resolving traffic congestion—it needs to be a combined effort with TFL and the Council. The size of this development will exactrivate a worsening situation with harmful impacts affecting all road "users" including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journeys are estimated to arise from this new development.

The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians.
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic movements at neak hours.
- The reality is that while this may possibly improve flow at the junction, it will not help the overall traffic flow as the light sequencing is heavily weighted in favour of through traffic on the A316 and the South Circular via Clifford Avenue. It just more likely to starcat further through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on read congestion, existing bus routes..." This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a stategy for public transport. Public transport in this area is externely want compared with surrounding parts of incolor. There is no prospect of more trains ever suppling at Mortiake and now, even the 208 solucie is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 450 bus service. Little meetion is made of the 200 bus service from Bichmond over Chisakic Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing its frequency. These Issues need to be re-examined.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and vehicular risks at the Sheen Lane level crossing.
 Network Rail's own risk assessment of this crossing scores it highly on both individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the 4th risklest CCTV crossing on the Wesser Roate. It identifies while-pedestrian as the main risk. The development at the Stag Brewery will increase

use (whicks and pedestrinss) of this crossing at peak periods. Increased traffic will lead to further delays, greater frustration and an increased likelihood of accident. The frequent closure of the Sheen Lune gates already add a major bottleneck to traffic flow to Sheen Lane, Mortuke High Street and the Lower Richmond Road. This can only get worse. The planning application needs to address this in conjunction with the Borough and Newsork Rail.

Living on Mortiske High Street we are already affected by the constant traffic jams caused by the
railway crossing gates being closed for long streetches of time, and also the traffic backing up from
Chiller's Corner. The ramp proposed to the underground parking on Mortiske High Street will also
be difficult for diviers coming from the direction of Barnes to access, as it is on the dual
carriagenway section of the High Street. Access would involve a virtual subrar at the mini
roundabout, which has already caused near accidents in the past. The increase in traffic will also
add to the air production which we currently experience.

Protection of Green Space

Mortable has a small amount of open green space of which the brewery's playing fields represent 53% by area. The playing fields have protection under the classification of 'Other Open Land of Townscape importance' (OCCIT), while protection is not aboutus, it is not clear from the Plans how the developer will meet the critical value of the playing the properties of the land being removed which allows developed to the reprovided on the site. The same issue of reprovision applies to the OCCII land lost at Onchretey Court.

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodighting and traffic), and our ecosystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also fails to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

Additional comments

The proposals to change the junction at Chalker's Corner, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance
 - Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes planning policy
 - Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units. This is bound to have an impact on the already stretched health services in the area.

The allocation of the Maltings ground floor as a community centre is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be necessimed. In its current despin it is not sufficient, flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The provision of a cinema is not likely to be well supported as there are others locally available in Richmond, Barnes and Putney.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. This figure should be

improved upon giving a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases. These should also be given a fixed guarantee to avoid the usual reduction to recoup costs/profits.

The proposals to transport demolition waste and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

	Kevir	

e control