Anna Yodoloh 79 Kingshay July 74N

0

Please feel free to amend or write your own letter to Richmond
Council using all or some of the suggested text below.

Comment online at: www.richmond.gov.uk/services/planning

By email to: staabrewervredevelopment@richmond.gov.uk

By post to:

Environment Directorate at:LBRUT Civic Centre, 44 York Street,

PLANNING

Twickenham TW1 3BZ

PLAN

You may also like to write a similar letter to Zac Goldsmith

MP zac@zacaoldsmith.com.

Template Response for Brewery Planning Applications

References you will need:

There are three separate planning applications:

- Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.
   Choose relevant reference or you may decide to quote all three.

Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site presents a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- . The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- . The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

## Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are \$17 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq. m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 157 bectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another,
   especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public reall. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
  - The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
    published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3
    us to 5 storess.
  - The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal
    experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be
    built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be
    allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

## Impact on Traffic

in recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes - the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to residving traffic congestion—it needs to be a combined effort with TR and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a workening stituation with harmful impress fafficing all road 'rasers' including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journerys are estimated to arise from this new development.

## The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning
  peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not
  adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's
  plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic
  movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further
  through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

# Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Fublic transport in this area is extremely week compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at Mortake and now, even the 200 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 455 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing fits frequency. This needs to be re-easimed.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and whicular risks at the Sheen Lane level
  crossing. Network Kail's own risk assessment of this crossing corest highly no both
  individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the 4th riskest CTV
  crossing on the Vessex Route. It tilentlies which genetarian as the main risk rate
  development at the Stage Brewery will increase use (whicles and pedestrians) of this crossing
  at peak periods. Increased traffice little and to further deplays, greater frustration an
  increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in
  conjunction with the Borough and Hetwork Rail.

#### Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewent's playing fields represent 53% by year. The Ingiving fields have protection under the classification of Shipping fields represent 75 to write protection of the classification of the Shipping fields have protected in sort absolute, to so not class from the Rains how the developer will be shipping from the shipping field in the shipping field field

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecoxystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also falls to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

## Other Observations

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance

- Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes
  planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the Maltings ground floor as a community centre is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to transport demolition waste and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

J Anna Podolah as Mortishe living here for lost 10 years would like to write my say about new project. As I am on support is a single mother would like see that joegpie like chance and possibility potentially have a opportunity buying a flat for my and my & years old sem. I am working and looking after my son, am strongly connected to the Hortlabe commun love this place and would like to see possibilities to live'ng in here with my don who goest to Mortlake Catholic Prince Sdood, who has prevole and family around

Anna Yodoloh 79 Kingshay July 74N

0

Please feel free to amend or write your own letter to Richmond
Council using all or some of the suggested text below.

Comment online at: www.richmond.gov.uk/services/planning

By email to: staabrewervredevelopment@richmond.gov.uk

By post to:

Environment Directorate at:LBRUT Civic Centre, 44 York Street,

PLANNING

Twickenham TW1 3BZ

PLAN

You may also like to write a similar letter to Zac Goldsmith

MP zac@zacaoldsmith.com.

Template Response for Brewery Planning Applications

References you will need:

There are three separate planning applications:

- Ref 18/0547/FUL for the development to the East of Ship Lane
- Ref 18/0548/FUL for the development to the West of Ship Lane which includes the School and Playing Fields
- Ref 18/0549/FUL for the alterations to Chalker's Corner and the removal of an area which currently forms part of Chertsey Court.
   Choose relevant reference or you may decide to quote all three.

Response to the Three Planning Applications

The redevelopment of the Brewery site presents a great opportunity to re-establish a heart and focal point in Mortlake. While there are positives in the design presented by the developer, there are four main areas of concern which, if not addressed, will have a detrimental impact on the existing and new residents:

- . The cumulative density of the site is overwhelming
- . The local infrastructure cannot accommodate the increase in traffic
- There is no strategy for improving the public transportation to help alleviate the situation and importantly, no plan to address the issues of the level-crossing
- Inadequate re-provision of the protected land the playing fields and the Chertsey Court land.

This application and size of development needs to be viewed in the context of the physical barriers (the river Thames and the railway line) and the poor level of public transport that serves the community. These are important limiting factors that cannot be ignored.

## Overall Density of the Site

The combined density of the scheme remains a major concern: there are \$17 residential units (including potentially 150 care units), a 1,200 pupil secondary school and 7,121 sq. m. of commercial uses (retail and office). In the context of Mortlake, the brewery site represents about 157 bectares), of the area of Mortlake but an increase in the population by circa 40%. In particular:

- The eastern half of the site is extremely dense in layout far exceeding the GLA's existing London Plan guidelines on development density – units/hectare. (Circa 211 units/hectare east of Ship Lane, cf. average density for Mortlake of circa 70 units/hectare.)
- The compressed layout, where individual residential blocks are very close to one another,
   especially the higher blocks, creates issues of overlooking between dwellings, and significant shadowing of open spaces in the public reall. Any detrimental effect (loss of light) on existing properties, particularly in the north west of the site, will need to be further assessed
  - The proposals still exceed the height constraints in the Council's Planning Brief for the site
    published in 2011, especially in the north-west of the site where blocks are proposed from 3
    us to 5 storess.
  - The area of land allocated to the school is not sufficient; it will provide a sub-optimal
    experience for pupils in that there is limited space to play and circulate. If a school is to be
    built on this site, then it needs to have a smaller capacity or more land needs to be
    allocated.

The density of the site, number of residents and visitors will have a significant impact on traffic.

## Impact on Traffic

in recent years, much new accommodation has been built in Mortlake and Barnes - the traffic congestion has steadily become worse. While the building may have been piecemeal, the impact on traffic has been cumulative. There has been little planning to accommodate this increase.

Similarly, with this development, there is no strategic approach to residving traffic congestion—it needs to be a combined effort with TR and the Council. The size of this development will exacerbate a workening stituation with harmful impress fafficing all road 'rasers' including bus passengers, pedestrians and of course people living by them. An estimate additional 500 car journerys are estimated to arise from this new development.

## The specific concerns are:

- There are too many parking spaces planned. In total 703 parking spaces have been allocated for residents and visitors.
- 1,200 pupil school will generate a significant increase in traffic and movements at morning
  peak hours, particularly by public transport and bicycle. The traffic assessment has not
  adequately assessed this impact, particularly regarding pedestrians
- The Chalker's Corner changes will not resolve the issue of increased traffic. The developer's
  plans include major road works at Chalker's Corner, aimed they claim, at improving traffic
  movements at peak hours. This is far from conclusive and may indeed simply attract further
  through traffic.

The planning application needs to promote a smaller increase in car usage along with improved public transportation.

# Public Transport and the Level Crossing

Stated in the 2011 planning brief: "The Council must be assured that transportation and highways issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the proposals. The consultation process identified a number of transport issues in the area which included concerns about impacts on road congestion, existing bus routes...". This planning application has not addressed these issues satisfactorily.

- The proposals do not include a strategy for public transport. Fublic transport in this area is extremely week compared with surrounding parts of London. There is no prospect of more trains ever stopping at Mortake and now, even the 200 Route is under threat of a reduced service under the proposals to extend the 455 bus service. Little mention is made of the 190 bus service from Richmond over Chiswick Bridge to Hammersmith and the opportunity of increasing fits frequency. This needs to be re-easimed.
- There is no plan to address the pedestrian and whicular risks at the Sheen Lane level
  crossing. Network Kail's own risk assessment of this crossing corest highly no both
  individual and collective risk and gives it the highest risk category; it is the 4th riskest CTV
  crossing on the Vessex Route. It tilentlies which genetarian as the main risk rate
  development at the Stage Brewery will increase use (whicles and pedestrians) of this crossing
  at peak periods. Increased traffice little and to further deplays, greater frustration an
  increased likelihood of accident. The planning application needs to address this in
  conjunction with the Borough and Hetwork Rail.

#### Protection of Green Space

Mortlake has a small amount of open green space of which the brewent's playing fields represent 53% by year. The Ingiving fields have protection under the classification of Shipping fields represent 75 to write protection of the classification of the Shipping fields have protected in sort absolute, to so not class from the Rains how the developer will be shipping from the shipping field in the shipping field field

The loss of the two grass playing fields which the Council previously indicated it would require to be retained will prejudice users (one pitch instead of two), neighbours (through floodlighting and traffic), and our ecoxystems and may negatively impact flood water protection. It also falls to respect the natural beauty of Mortlake, and the introduction of fences and barriers will impair the current open aspect of the site.

## Other Observations

The proposals to change the junction at **Chalker's Corner**, which offers limited transport benefit, will move the traffic closer to the residents. This will have a devastating impact on Chertsey Court residents:

- Increased poor air quality and pollution due to increased traffic generation; it already exceeds EU pollution limits
- Increased noise and disturbance

- Loss of 'Other Open Land of Townscape Importance' (OOLTI) protected land contravenes
  planning policy
- Loss of mature trees

There is no additional health care or primary school provision; existing services will struggle to meet this additional demand, particularly as a number will be elderly in the care units.

The allocation of the Maltings ground floor as a community centre is a welcome proposal. However, the current layout of the ground floor needs to be re-examined. In its current design it is not sufficiently flexible to be used for multiple purposes and would make it difficult to be a venture that can support itself financially.

The affordable housing provision is just 20%, lower than the council's guidelines. It will also be delivered late in the development which may mean the provision is further reduced over time. There needs to be a higher provision which should be delivered across the building phases.

The proposals to transport demolition waste and excavated soil from, and construction materials to, the site by truck on Lower Richmond Road will have a negative impact on the local residential environment. The alternative of transportation by barge on the river needs to be considered.

J Anna Podolah as Mortishe living here for lost 10 years would like to write my say about new project. As I am on support is a single mother would like see that joegpie like chance and possibility potentially have a opportunity buying a flat for my and my & years old sem. I am working and looking after my son, am strongly connected to the Hortlabe commun love this place and would like to see possibilities to live'ng in here with my don who goest to Mortlake Catholic Prince Sdood, who has prevole and family around