StagBreweryRedevelopment

 From:
 Edward Mirzoeff

 Sent:
 12 May 2018-14-50

 To:
 StagsRevery Red evelopment

 Cc:
 2ac Goldsmith

 Subject:
 Planning Applications 18/0547/FUL, 18/0548/FUL, and 18/0549/FUL

I wish to OBJECT to several aspects of these three planning applications.

1. Density.

◆/ith 817 residential units, a large secondary school, and over 7000 sq m. of commercial uses, the combined density of the scheme is a huge concern. The brewery site represents 15% of the area of Mortlake, but the plan would bring a 40% increase in population. In particular, the eastern half of the site far exceeds the London Plan's guidelines on development density. Importantly, the plans exceed the height constraints laid down by the Council's Planning Brief of 2011, in particular on the northwest of the site with blocks of up to 5 storeys.

2. Traffic.

Every resident of Barnes and Mortlake knows how traffic congestion has worsened in recent years. The overwhelming density of the site will significantly add to this. This plan has far too many parking spaces. At least 500 car journeys will be created by the development, and the large school will inevitably bring a vast increase in traffic of all kinds at peak hours. There will be an overwhelming impact on all road users in this area.

Public transport, already poor, will deteriorate even further. There is no stategy for improving it built into the scheme - and the current threatened cuts to the 209 bus route will just make things worse. The suggested changes at Chalker's Corner will do very

little to help. The infrastructure that we have cannot cope with such potential traffic increase. The application needs to promote smaller car usage, and better public transport.

3. Open Space.

Mortlake has little enough green space, over half of which come from the brewery's playing fields. It is not clear from the Plans how the developer will re-provide the same quality and quantity of "protected" open green land lost. There seems to be significant and unacceptable loss of "openness". Building on green areas, rather than wholly on brownfield areas, contradicts all London and local Plans.

Sincerely,

Edward Mirzoeff

Edward Mirzoeff CVO, CBE 9, Westmoreland Road London SW13 9RZ

StagBreweryRedevelopment

 From:
 Edward Mirzoeff

 Sent:
 12 May 2018-14-50

 To:
 StagsRevery Red evelopment

 Cc:
 2ac Goldsmith

 Subject:
 Planning Applications 18/0547/FUL, 18/0548/FUL, and 18/0549/FUL

I wish to OBJECT to several aspects of these three planning applications.

1. Density.

◆/ith 817 residential units, a large secondary school, and over 7000 sq m. of commercial uses, the combined density of the scheme is a huge concern. The brewery site represents 15% of the area of Mortlake, but the plan would bring a 40% increase in population. In particular, the eastern half of the site far exceeds the London Plan's guidelines on development density. Importantly, the plans exceed the height constraints laid down by the Council's Planning Brief of 2011, in particular on the northwest of the site with blocks of up to 5 storeys.

2. Traffic.

Every resident of Barnes and Mortlake knows how traffic congestion has worsened in recent years. The overwhelming density of the site will significantly add to this. This plan has far too many parking spaces. At least 500 car journeys will be created by the development, and the large school will inevitably bring a vast increase in traffic of all kinds at peak hours. There will be an overwhelming impact on all road users in this area.

Public transport, already poor, will deteriorate even further. There is no stategy for improving it built into the scheme - and the current threatened cuts to the 209 bus route will just make things worse. The suggested changes at Chalker's Corner will do very

little to help. The infrastructure that we have cannot cope with such potential traffic increase. The application needs to promote smaller car usage, and better public transport.

3. Open Space.

Mortlake has little enough green space, over half of which come from the brewery's playing fields. It is not clear from the Plans how the developer will re-provide the same quality and quantity of "protected" open green land lost. There seems to be significant and unacceptable loss of "openness". Building on green areas, rather than wholly on brownfield areas, contradicts all London and local Plans.

Sincerely,

Edward Mirzoeff

Edward Mirzoeff CVO, CBE 9, Westmoreland Road London SW13 9RZ