

## PLANNING REPORT

Printed for officer by Adeoye Lawal on 8 April 2021

# Application reference: 21/0503/HOT

WHITTON WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
15.02.2021	15.02.2021	12.04.2021	12.04.2021

Site:

45 Cypress Avenue, Twickenham, TW2 7JY,

Proposal:

Single-storey rear extension.

Status: Pending Decision (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME

Nirmala Deu 45, Cypress Avenue

Twickenham TW2 7JY **AGENT NAME** 

Mr George Kain 6 Winsham Grove

London SW11 6ND

www.fastplansuk.co.uk

DC Site Notice: printed on and posted on and due to expire on

Consultations: Internal/External:

Consultee Expiry Date

#### Neighbours:

Status: GTD

47 Cypress Avenue, Twickenham, TW2 7JY, - 16.02.2021 37B High Street, Whitton, Twickenham, TW2 7LB, - 16.02.2021 43 Cypress Avenue, Twickenham, TW2 7JY, - 16.02.2021 41A High Street, Whitton, Twickenham, TW2 7LB - 16.02.2021 41 High Street, Whitton, Twickenham, TW2 7LB, - 16.02.2021 39 High Street, Whitton, Twickenham, TW2 7LB, - 16.02.2021 39A High Street, Whitton, Twickenham, TW2 7LB, - 16.02.2021

#### History: Development Management, Appeals, Building Control, Enforcements:

Development Management
Status: REF
Date:18/09/1997
Application:97/1801
Single Storey Rear And Two Storey Side Extensions Including Front Porch,
Demolition Of Existing Garage.

Date:20/04/1998 Erection Of Single Storey Rear Extension To Kitchen And Two Storey Side

Extension Including Front Porch

**Development Management** 

Status: GTD Application:98/2808

Date:21/06/1999 Retrospective Application For Canopy/storm Porch.

Application:98/0402

**Development Management** 

Status: PDE Application:21/0502/HOT

Date: Formation of rear dormer with roof lights in front slope.

**Development Management** 

Status: PDE Application:21/0503/HOT

Officer Planning Report – Application 21/0503/HOT Page 1 of 7

Date: Single-storey rear extension.

**Building Control** 

Deposit Date: 17.07.1998 Single storey side and single storey rear extension

Reference: 98/1221/BN

**Building Control** 

Deposit Date: 07.11.2020 Install a gas-fired boiler Install an unvented hot water storage vessel

Reference: 21/FEN00366/GASAFE

**Enforcement** 

Opened Date: 23.10.1998 Enforcement Enquiry

Reference: 98/00315/EN

**Application reference:** 21/0503/HOT **Address:** 45 Cypress Avenue Twickenham TW2 7JY

	The application is				
Proposal		s for a single storey rear extension.	aita ia aggregia d		
Site description / key designations	71 71				
key designations	by a me store, some detaction and make the property to set back.				
		rty benefits from a two-storey side extension			
	located on the w	est side of Cypress Avenue, the site is inclu	ded within the		
	Hazel Close, Red	dway Drive and Surrounds Village Characte	r Area, the site		
	is also is subject	to an Article 4 Direction (basements).			
Planning history	Below is a summary of historical planning applications for the site considered relevant to the current proposal.				
	Ref.	Proposal	Decision		
	98/2808	Retrospective application for canopy/storm porch	Granted permission		
	98/0402	Erection Of Single Storey Rear Extension To Kitchen And Two Storey Side Extension Including Front Porch	Granted Permission		
	97/1801	Single Storey Rear And Two Storey Side Extensions Including Front Porch, Demolition Of Existing Garage.	Refused Permission		
Policies	The proposal has been considered having regard to the policies within the Council's Local Plan, in particular: Local Plan (2018)				
	-	P 1 Local Character and Design Quality P 8 Residential Amenity and Living Condition	ons		
	Supplementary Planning Documents/Guidance				
		extensions and External Alterations (2015) and Heathfield Village Planning Guidance			
Material representations	e representation has been received in objection to the proposal. The mments received can be summarised as follows;				
	hey are concerned about the loss of light and overshadowing to their patio e impact of the prosed extension on neighbouring properties will be cussed in detail in the report.				
	wn incorrectly 7	ring the height of the proposed extension ap This is not a material consideration, and the ssessed as submitted.	-		
Internal Consultations	There have beer	n no internal consultees consulted on this ap	plication.		
Amendments	There have been	n no amendments to the proposal.			
Professional	The application h	nas been assessed in relation to the followin	g issues:		
comments		Design and Visual Amenity			
	•	Neighbour amenity			
	Design and Vis	sual Amenity			
	Policy LP1 Loc	al Character and Design Quality outlines	s that		
	developments	should illustrate "compatibility with local	character		
		elationship to existing townscape, develo			
			•		
		<ul> <li>local grain and frontages as well as sci ty, landscaping, proportions, form, mate</li> </ul>	-		
	_				

The application property is a two-storey semi-detached dwelling house, the property is characterised by its two-storey front bay window projection and front canopy porch. The property benefits from a two-storey extension built up to its southern boundary. The current application is for the erection of a full width single storey rear extension.

The proposed extension would have a mono pitched roof with a maximum height of 4m and an eaves height of 2.7m. The extension would project 2.9m from the rear elevation of the property. The extension would be set down from the first floor windows of the property and would appear a subservient addition. The moderate eaves height and depth of the extension would ensure it does not dominate the host dwelling, the extension would be similar in scale and character to existing rear extensions to neighbouring properties notably that to No.43 Cypress Avenue the immediate neighbour to the south.

There would be two rooflights inserted in the roof slope of the extension and bi-folding doors to the rear elevation. The submitted application form states that the extension would be constructed from brick to match the host dwelling, this would ensure the extension harmonises well with the host property.

The proposed extension is considered a sympathetic addition that would preserve the character of the application property and the wider area. As such in respect of design and visual amenity the proposed extension is considered to comply with policy LP1 of the Local Plan.

#### Neighbour Amenity

Policy LP8 sets out that all development will be "required to protect the amenity and living conditions for occupants of new, existing, adjoining and neighbouring properties; ensure the design and layout of buildings enables good standards of daylight and sunlight to be achieved in new development and in existing properties affected by new development; and ensure that proposals are not visually intrusive or have an overbearing impact as a result of their height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure".

#### No.47 Cypress Avenue

No.47 is the adjoining property to the north of the site, this property has maintained its original rear elevation, the proposed extension would project approximately 2.9m beyond the rear elevation of this property with an eaves height of 2.7m. This would be in keeping with the requirements of the Councils House Extensions and External Alterations SPD which states that 'the effect of a single storey extension is usually acceptable if the projection is no further than 3.5m for a semi-detached house'. In this instance the single storey nature of the proposed extension and the combined depth and eaves height would ensure there is no significant impact on the amenity of the occupiers of this property.

#### No.43 Cypress Avenue

To the south of the site is No.43 Cypress Avenue, this property has been extended to a depth of approximately 3m along the boundary shared with the application property. The proposed extension would not project beyond the rear elevation of this property at ground floor level, as such it is unlikely there would be any significant impact on the amenity of occupiers of this property.

The proposed rear windows would meet the Councils minimum privacy

	distance, due to the moderate scale and projection of the extension proposed, it is unlikely there would be any significant impact on the amenity of occupiers of neighbouring properties. As such in respect of neighbouring amenity the proposal is considered to comply with Policy LP8 of the Local Plan.
Recommendation	Permission – Subject to conditions.

## Recommendation:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES

I therefore recommend the following:						
<ol> <li>REFUSAL</li> <li>PERMISSION</li> </ol>						
3. FORWARD TO COMMITTEE						
This application is CIL liable	YES* NO (*If yes, complete CIL tab in Uniform)					
This application requires a Legal Agreement	YES* NO  (*If yes, complete Development Condition Monitoring in Uniform)					
This application has representations online (which are not on the file)	YES NO					
This application has representations on file	■ YES □ NO					
Case Officer (Initials):ALA	Dated:08.04.2021					
I agree the recommendation: CTA						
Team Leader/Head of Development Management/Principal Planner  Dated:09.04.2021						
This application has been subject to representations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The Head of Development Management has considered those representations and concluded that the application can be determined without reference to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.						
Head of Development Management:						
Dated:						
REASONS:						
CONDITIONS:						
INFORMATIVES:						
UDP POLICIES:						
OTHER POLICIES:						

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform

#### **SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES**

### **CONDITIONS**

### **INFORMATIVES**

U0050373 Composite Informative

U0050374 NPPF APPROVAL - Para. 38-42