

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 21/1288/FUL

**Address:** Unit 1 Plough Lane Teddington

**Proposal:** DEMOLITION OF EXISTING BUILDING; ERECTION OF 1 NO. DWELLING WITH ASSOCIATED WORKS

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Mr Alan Brocklehurst

**Address:** 5 Springfield Road Teddington TW11 9AP

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I object to this proposal on the following grounds: [1] the current proposal (21/1288) is virtually the same for Unit 1 as the previous proposal (20/1115) which was refused by the Council on 19 Mar 2021. Proposal 20/1115 included a plan for Unit 2 as well. So we need to know what the plan is now for Unit 2 when reconsidering the new Unit 1 proposal (21/1288). [2] The rear wall height will be raised significantly from the current height. According to the drawing it will end up the same height as Unit 3 which is currently ~3.96M. I note that we were promised that Units 3, 4 to 5A heights would be the same as Unit 2 which is ~3.41M. So, already, the rear elevation height has increased by some 0.5M over the original height. I note also that the height approved by the council for Units 4 to 5A was 3.82M (see application 18/3294). The increased height of the buildings makes them more obtrusive and blocks light. [3] The plans now show Velux windows that project from the roof. Presumably the intention is that these will be similar to those now on Units 3, 4 to 5A. These are obtrusive, an eyesore, and when open, can project noise into the properties on Springfield Road. We also understood from the original plans for Units 3, 4 to 5A that they would be flat windows half-way up the roof. [4] The plans for Unit 1 now show Photo Voltaic Panels on the roof. These will be another eyesore. Also Question 30 on the Application says the proposal will not 'include solar energy of any kind'.