

PLANNING REPORT

Printed for officer by William Tysterman on 30 June 2021

Application reference: 21/1658/PS192

HAMPTON NORTH WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
11.05.2021	11.05.2021	06.07.2021	06.07.2021

Site:

66 Rectory Grove, Hampton, TW12 1EE,

Proposal:

This application is for the erection of a single storey flat roof rear extension (brick and block cavity construction).

Status: Pending Decision (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME

Mr & Mrs N Keene

66, Rectory Grove

Hampton

Middlesex

TW12 1EE

AGENT NAME

Mr Darren Holmes

Heathview

35 New Haw Road

New Haw

Surrey

KT15 2BZ

DC Site Notice: printed on and posted on and due to expire on

Consultations: Internal/External:

Consultee Expiry Date

Neighbours:

-

History: Development Management, Appeals, Building Control, Enforcements:

Development Management Status: REF Application:82/0740 Date:06/08/1982 Erection of two-storey side extension. Development Management Status: GTD Application:82/1082 Date:04/11/1982 Erection of 2-storey side extension. **Development Management** Application:21/1658/PS192 Status: PDE This application is for the erection of a single storey flat roof rear extension Date: (brick and block cavity construction).

Building Control

Deposit Date: 01.02.2002 Removal of kitchen wall.

Reference: 02/0191/BN

Building Control

Deposit Date: 08.07.2020 Install a gas-fired boiler

Officer Planning Report – Application 21/1658/PS192 Page 1 of 8

Reference: 20/FEN02227/GASAFE

Application Reference 21/1658/PS192 Site Address 66 Rectory Grove, Hampton, TW12 1EE

Site and Surrounding

The site is a semi-detached residential property in Hampton Village. It is located in the Longford River Estates Character Area within the Hampton North Ward. The site is in an Area Less Susceptible to Surface Water Flooding. It is subject to the following site constraints: Area Proposed for Tree Planting Article 4 Direction Basements

Proposal

The proposal is for a single storey flat roof rear extension. Permission was granted for a two-storey side extension in 1982 however it appears that this was not implemented.

The scheme seeks confirmation in the form of a lawful development certificate that the construction of the development described above would constitute permitted development.

History

The relevant history is as follows:

82/1082 Erection of a two-storey side extension, Granted, 04/11/1982 82/0740 Erection of a two-storey side extension, Refused, 06/08/1982 21/1658/PS192 Single storey flat roof rear extension (brick and block cavity construction). Pending

Consultation

This is an application for a Certificate of Lawful Development (Proposed), which is a request to the Council to confirm that the development as specified does not require an application for planning permission to be submitted to the Council. In assessing this application, the Council is making a determination of law, and as such it is not considered to be necessary to undertake a public consultation.

Amendments

None

Professional Comments

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (as amended) applies to all land in England and Wales, other than land which is the subject of a special development order, and specifies various classes of development which may be undertaken without the permission of the local planning authority. Such development, referred to as "permitted development", is deemed to have planning permission by the Order, and does not require an application for planning permission to be submitted to the Council.

The applicant has submitted an application for a Certificate of Lawful Development (Proposed). In assessing this application, the Council is making a determination of law as to whether or not the proposed development complies with the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (as amended). Issues such as the policies contained in the Council's adopted Richmond Local Plan (2018) are not to be taken into consideration as the decision is based on fact and not on policy grounds.

The application site is a dwellinghouse, and the application will be assessed against Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Order, which relates to "Development within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse".

Class A

The scheme is not considered to be permitted development under Class A 'The enlargement, improvement or other alteration of a dwellinghouse'

A.1 Development is not permitted by Class A if --

A.1 Development is not permitted by Class A if—	Officer's Comment:
(a) permission to use the dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse has been granted only by virtue of Class M, N, P, PA or Q of Part 3 of this Schedule (changes of use);	Complies
(b) as a result of the works, the total area of ground covered by buildings within the curtilage of the dwellinghouse (other than original) dwellinghouse) would exceed 50% of the total area of the curtilage (excluding the ground area of the original dwellinghouse);	Complies
(c) the height of the part of the dwellinghouse enlarged, improved or altered would exceed the height of the highest part of the roof of the existing dwellinghouse	Complies
(d) the height of the eaves of the part of the dwellinghouse enlarged, improved or altered would exceed the height of the eaves of the existing dwellinghouse;	Does not Comply
(e) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall which — (i) forms the principal elevation of the original dwellinghouse; or (ii) fronts a highway and forms a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse	Complies
(f) subject to paragraph (g), the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have a single storey and (i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 4m in the case of a detached dwellinghouse, or 3m in the case of any other dwellinghouse, or (ii) exceed 4m in height	Complies
(g) For a dwellinghouse not on article 2(3) land nor on a site of special scientific interest, the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have a single storey and (i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 8m in the case of a detached dwellinghouse, or 6m in the case of any other dwellinghouse, or (ii) exceed 4m in height;	Not Applicable
(h) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have more than a single storey and (i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 3m, or (ii) be within 7m of any boundary of the curtilage of the dwellinghouse being enlarged which is opposite the rear wall of that dwellinghouse	Not Applicable

(i) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would be within 2m of the boundary of the curtilage of the dwellinghouse, and the height of the eaves of the enlarged part would exceed 3m;	Complies
(j) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse, and would (i) exceed 4m in height, (ii) have more than a single storey, or Have a width greater than half the width of the original dwellinghouse; or	Complies
(ja) any total enlargement (being the enlarged part together with any existing enlargement of the dwellinghouse to which it will be joined) exceeds or would exceed the limits set out in sub-paragraphs (e) to (j);	Complies
(k) it would consist of or include (i) the construction or provision of a verandah, balcony or raised platform, (ii) the installation, alteration or replacement of a microwave antenna, (iii) the installation, alteration or replacement of a chimney, flue, soil and vent pipe, or (iv) an alteration to any part of the roof of the dwellinghouse.	Does not Comply

The height of the flat roof rear extension would exceed the height of the eaves of the existing dwelling house. The proposal would also result in the alteration to the roof at the rear of the dwelling house.

As such, the proposal does not comply with Class A (d) and Class A (k) of Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended).

A.2 In the case of a dwellinghouse on article 2(3) land, development is not permitted by Class A if -

A.2 In the case of a dwellinghouse on article 2(3) land, development is not permitted by Class A if —	Officer's Comment:
(a) It would consist of or include the cladding of any part of the exterior of the dwellinghouse with stone, artificial stone, pebble dash, render, timber, plastic or tiles;	Complies
(b) The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse; or	Complies
(c) The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have more than a single storey and extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse	Complies
(d) Any total enlargement (being the enlarged part together with any existing enlargement of the original dwellinghouse to which it will be joined) exceeds or would exceed the limits set out in subparagraphs (b) and (c).	Complies

A.3 Development is permitted by Class A subject to the following conditions—

A.3 Development is permitted by Class A subject to the following conditions—	Officer's Comment:
(a) the materials used in any exterior work (other than materials used in the construction of a conservatory) must be of a similar appearance to those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing dwellinghouse;	Complies As annotated on plan 004.
 (b) any upper-floor window located in a wall or roof slope forming a side elevation of the dwellinghouse must be— (i) obscure-glazed, and (ii) non-opening unless the parts of the window which can be opened are more than 1.7 metres above the floor of the room in which the window is installed. 	Complies
(c) where an enlarged part of the dwellinghouse has more than a single storey, or forms an upper storey to an existing enlargement of the original dwellinghouse, the roof pitch of the enlarged part must, so far as practicable, be the same as the roof pitch of the original dwellinghouse.	Complies

Recommendation Refuse Certificate

Recommendation:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES

I therefore recommend the following:	
 REFUSAL PERMISSION FORWARD TO COMMITTEE 	
This application is CIL liable	YES* NO (*If yes, complete CIL tab in Uniform)
This application requires a Legal Agreement	YES* NO (*If yes, complete Development Condition Monitoring in Uniform)
This application has representations online (which are not on the file)	☐ YES ■ NO
This application has representations on file	☐ YES ■ NO
Case Officer (Initials):EC	Dated:16/06/2021
I agree the recommendation: WT	
Team Leader/Head of Development Managem	ent/Principal Planner
Dated:30/6/2021	
Head of Development Management has o	ntations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The considered those representations and concluded that the ence to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing
Head of Development Management:	
Dated:	
REASONS:	
CONDITIONS:	
INFORMATIVES:	
UDP POLICIES:	
OTHER POLICIES:	

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into Uniform

SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES

CONDITIONS			

INFORMATIVES

U0052153

S192 Decision Drawings