

# PLANNING REPORT

# Application reference: 21/1387/HOT

FÜLWELL, HAMPTON HILL WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
19.04.2021	19.05.2021	14.07.2021	14.07.2021

Site:

84 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SR,

Proposal:

Single storey side/rear extension.

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME
Mr Ronan Forker
84, Victor Road
Teddington
TW11 8SR

AGENT NAME
Mr david kendall
69 Highbury
Jesmond

Newcastle upon Tyne

NE2 3LN

**DC Site Notice:** printed on and posted on and due to expire on

Consultations: Internal/External:

Consultee Expiry Date

#### **Neighbours:**

89 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SP, - 21.05.2021

87 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SP, - 21.05.2021

85 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SP, - 21.05.2021

93 Stanley Gardens Road, Teddington, TW11 8SY, - 21.05.2021

91 Stanley Gardens Road, Teddington, TW11 8SY, - 21.05.2021

89 Stanley Gardens Road, Teddington, TW11 8SY, - 21.05.2021

87 Stanley Gardens Road, Teddington, TW11 8SY, - 21.05.2021

86 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SR, - 21.05.2021

82 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SR, - 21.05.2021

## History: Development Management, Appeals, Building Control, Enforcements:

**Development Management** 

Status: GTD Application:17/1217/PS192

Date:21/04/2017 L-shape rear dormer roof extensions on rear and side roof slopes. 2

no. Rooflights to front roof slope

**Development Management** 

Status: PCO Application:21/1387/HOT

Date: Single storey side/rear extension.

**Building Control** 

FENSA Notification of Replacement Glazing comprising 1 Windows and 0 Doors. Installed by Avonprime Ltd. FENSA Member No 10019. Installation ID 3795122. Invoice No 429824A Deposit Date: 10.06.2006

Reference: 06/6137/FENSA

Building Control
Deposit Date: 30.01.2020 In:
Reference: 20/FEN00143/FENSA Install replacement windows in a dwelling

Proposal	Single storey side/rear extension that would be wrapped-around the existing two-storey rear addition. It would be enclosed by parapet walls whose height would be decreased progressing towards the rear garden area of the host dwelling and re-arranged as stepped elements by the time they would project beyond the rear façade of proposed addition. These parapet walls would also enclose steps that would connect the internal floor level of the pitched roof addition to the rear garden area. The proposal would project beyond the rear wall of the neighbouring two-storey rear extension at No. 82 Victor Road by approx. 1 metre and would be recessed from the single storey addition at No. 86 Victor Road by approx. 0.82 metres.  Materials would appear to match the existing ones.
Site description /	The application site is currently occupied by a two-storey mid-terraced
key designations	house located on the southern side of Victor Road in Teddington Village, Fulwell and Hampton Hill Ward.
	The site does not possess any heritage designations however it is located in a Critical Drainage Area.
Planning History	17/1217/PS192 - L-shape rear dormer roof extensions on rear and side roof slopes. 2 no. Rooflights to front roof slope - Granted 21/04/2017.
Policies	The proposal has been considered having regard to the policies within the London Plan and the Council's Local Plan, in particular:
	London Plan (2021):
	D12 Fire Safety
	Local Plan (2018):
	LP 1 Local Character and Design Quality
	LP 8 Amenity and Living Conditions
	LP 21 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage
	Supplementary Planning Documents / Guidance:
	House Extensions and External Alterations SPD (2015)
	Hampton Wick & Teddington Village Planning Guidance SPD
	(2017)
Consultee	N/A.
Material	N/A.
representations	
Amendments	The applicant has been advised to amend the scheme so that it would
	only present the proposed single storey rear/side extension, as the
	proposed roof addition would constitute a third storey, a humongous
	and bulky extension that is not allowed by our planning policy and guidance.
	The application followed the above advice and the scheme has been amended accordingly.
Professional	The proposal has been assessed in relation to the following issues:
comments	The proposal has been assessed in relation to the following issues.
	Design and Visual Amenity
	Neighbour Amenity
	Flooding
	Fire Safety

## **Design and Visual Amenity**

Policy LP 1 'Local Character and Design Quality' requires that all development to be of high architectural quality demonstrating a thorough understanding of the site and how it relates to its existing context, including character and appearance, and take opportunities to improve the quality and character of buildings, spaces and the local character. Development must respect, contribute to and enhance the local environment and character.

The Councils SPD (2015) relating to House Extensions and External Alterations encourages the retention of the original form of the host property and any alterations should enhance the quality of the building. The original appearance should always be the reference point when considering any changes.

The SPD (2015) states that an extension should not dominate the existing house or its neighbours. It should harmonise with the original appearance, either by integrating with the house or being made to appear as an obvious addition.

The proposal would not be visible from the street scene. It would be a subservient addition to the host dwellinghouse and the use of matching materials would strengthen its integration with the host property.

As such, the proposed single storey extension is considered acceptable in Design and Visual Amenity, therefore, it is in line with Policy LP 1 of the Local Plan (2018) and the SPD on Housing Extensions and External Alterations (2015).

## **Neighbour Amenity**

Policy LP 8 'Amenity and Living Conditions' requires all development to "protect the amenity and living conditions for the occupants of new, existing, adjoining and neighbouring properties". The policy also seeks to "ensure that proposals are not visually intrusive or have an overbearing impact as a result of their height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure".

The House Extensions and External Alterations SPD (2015) advises that extensions that create "an unacceptable sense of enclosure or appear overbearing when seen from neighbouring gardens or rooms will not be permitted".

In regard to the scale of the proposed rear extension, the SPD on House Extensions and External Alterations (2015) states that in the case of a terraced dwelling, extensions should not exceed 3 metres in depth in order to mitigate detriment to neighbour amenity in terms of overbearing, visual obtrusion and loss of light. However, it states that the final test of acceptability will be based on the circumstances of the subject site itself.

The properties likely to be affected by the proposal would be Nos. 82 and 86.

The proposal would project beyond the rear wall of the neighbouring two-storey rear extension at No. 82 by approx. 1 metre and would be recessed from the single storey addition at No. 86 by approx. 0.82 metres.

In doing so, such proposal would not adversely impact these attached neighbouring properties in terms of loss of light, overbearing and overlooking, hence it meets the aims and objectives of Policy LP 8 of the Local Plan (2018) and the House Extensions and External Alterations SPD (2015).

# **Flooding**

Policy LP 21 'Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage' states that all developments should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

This minor householder extension would not exacerbate the current situation on site in regard to flood risk and consequently it would be in line with Policy LP 21 of the Local Plan (2018).

# Fire Safety

The Planning Fire Safety Strategy received is considered sufficient to satisfy Policy D12 of the London Plan (2021), therefore, a compliance condition is attached.

The applicant is advised that alterations to existing buildings should comply with the Building Regulations. This permission is NOT a consent under the Building Regulations for which a separate application should be made.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that the application reference 21/1387/HOT be granted approval subject to conditions.

# Recommendation:

I therefore recommend the following:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers -  ${\bf YES}$ 

1. 2.	REFUSAL PERMISSION		
3.	FORWARD TO COMMITTEE		
This applic	ation is CIL liable	YES* (*If yes, complete	NO te CIL tab in Uniform)
This applic	ation requires a Legal Agreement	YES* (*If yes, complete	NO te Development Condition Monitoring in Uniform)
	ation has representations online not on the file)	YES	NO
This applic	ation has representations on file	YES	NO
Case Office	er (Initials): GAP Dated	: 28/06/2021	
I agree the	e recommendation: WT		
Team Lead	der/Head of Development Managem	ent/Principal Pl	lanner
Dated:	8/7/2021		
The Head	of Development Management has co can be determined without reference	onsidered those	contrary to the officer recommendation. e representations and concluded that the ng Committee in conjunction with existing
Head of De	evelopment Management:		
Dated:			
REASON	S:		
CONDITIO	ONS:		
	<b></b>		
INFORMA	ATIVES:		
UDP POL	ICIES:		
OTHER P	POLICIES:		

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been ente	red
into Uniform	

# **SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES**

CONDITIONS			