

PLANNING REPORT

Application reference: 21/1643/HOT

FULWELL, HAMPTON HILL WARD

Date application Date made valid received		Target report date	8 Week date	
10.05.2021	09.06.2021	04.08.2021	04.08.2021	

Site:

36 York Road, Teddington, TW11 8SN,

Proposal:

Single-storey side/rear extension.

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME
Mrs Lucy Waller
36, York Road
Teddington
TW11 8SN

AGENT NAME
Mr Youno Kim
Unit 3 River Reach Business Park
Gartons Way
LONDON
SW11 3SX

DC Site Notice: printed on and posted on and due to expire on

Consultations: Internal/External:

Consultee Expiry Date

Neighbours:

23 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SP, - 09.06.2021

21 Victor Road, Teddington, TW11 8SP, - 09.06.2021

38 York Road, Teddington, TW11 8SN, - 09.06.2021

34 York Road, Teddington, TW11 8SN, - 09.06.2021

History: Development Management, Appeals, Building Control, Enforcements:

Development Management

Status: PCO Application:21/1643/HOT

Date: Single-storey side/rear extension.

Development Management

Status: GTD Application:21/1645/PS192

Date:30/06/2021 Loft conversion with a rear dormer and erection of an outbuilding.

Building Control

Deposit Date: 11.12.2007 Installed a Gas Boiler

Reference: 07/COR01317/CORGI

Building Control
Deposit Date: 07.03.2011 3 Windows 1 Door

Reference: 11/FEN01267/FENSA

Building Control

Deposit Date: 09.07.2013 5 Windows 1 Door

Reference: 13/FEN02953/FENSA

Building Control

Deposit Date: 17.12.2018 Install replacement door(s) in a dwelling None of work subject to a

Green Deal Plan

Reference: 19/13ER00013/CERTAS

	-
Proposal	Single storey side/rear extension that would wrap-around the existing two-storey rear addition and would project from the rear wall of No. 34 by approx. 3 metres and approx. 5.55 metres form the rear wall of No. 38. The eaves facing these neighbouring properties would be limited at approx. 2.2 metres overall. Materials and fenestration appear to match the existing ones.
Site description / key designations	The application site relates to a two-storey mid-terraced property that does not possess any heritage designations, but it is located in a Critical Drainage Area. Such property is situated on the southern side of York Road in Teddington Village, Fulwell and Hampton Hill Ward.
Planning History	21/1645/PS192 - Loft conversion with a rear dormer and erection of an outbuilding - Granted 30/06/2021.
Policies	The proposal has been considered having regard to the policies within the London Plan and the Council's Local Plan, in particular:
	London Plan (2021): D12 Fire Safety
	Local Plan (2018):
	LP 1 Local Character and Design Quality
	LP 8 Amenity and Living Conditions
	LP 21 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage
	Supplementary Planning Documents / Guidance: House Extensions and External Alterations SPD (2015) Supplementary Planning Documents / Guidance: Planning Opiden & Toddington Village Planning Opiden as CRD
	Hampton Wick & Teddington Village Planning Guidance SPD (2017)
Consultee	N/A.
Material	N/A.
representations Amendments	The applicant has been advised to amend the proposal so that the
Amondmente	extension would have its eaves limited at 2.2 metres overall, namely the side of the addition facing No. 38.
	The applicant has followed the above advice.
Professional	The proposal has been assessed in relation to the following issues:
comments	Dosign and Visual Amonity
	Design and Visual AmenityNeighbour Amenity
	Flooding
	Fire Safety
	Design and Visual Amenity
	Policy LP 1 'Local Character and Design Quality' states the Council will require all development to be of high architectural and urban design quality. The high-quality character and heritage of the borough and its villages will need to be maintained and enhanced where opportunities arise. Development proposals will have to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the site and how it relates to its existing context, including character and appearance, and take opportunities to improve the quality and character of buildings, spaces and the local

area. Development must respect, contribute to and enhance the local environment and character.

The Councils SPD (2015) relating to House Extensions and External Alterations encourages the retention of the original form of the host property and any alterations should enhance the quality of the building. The original appearance should always be the reference point when considering any changes.

The SPD (2015) states that the overall shape, size and position of side and rear extensions should not dominate the existing house or its neighbours. It should harmonise with the original appearance, either by integrating with the house or being made to appear as an obvious addition.

The proposed addition would be located at the back of the above property and would not be significantly visible from any public space.

The single rear extension would be subservient to the host property due to its very nature, namely the fact that the proposed addition is a single storey one.

The proposed materials and fenestration appear to match the existing ones deployed for constructing the main house that would allow it to achieve a sufficient integration with the host dwelling.

All in all, the proposed extension would meet Policy LP 1 of the Local Plan (2018) and the SPD (2015) on House Extensions and External Alterations.

Neighbour Amenity

Policy LP 8 'Amenity and Living Conditions' states all development will be required to protect the amenity and living conditions of new, existing, adjoining and neighbouring properties. The principles of this policy are reiterated in the House and External Alterations SPD (2015).

The SPD (2015) states that in the case of a terraced dwelling, rear extensions should not exceed 3 metres in depth. Where a proposal exceeds this, the eaves should be limited to 2.2 metres to mitigate detriment to neighbour amenity in terms of overbearing and visual obtrusion. However, it states that the final test of acceptability will be based on the circumstances of the subject site itself.

The neighbouring properties most affected by the proposal would be Nos. 34 and 38 York Road to either side of the application site.

No. 34

On the shared boundary, the proposal would present a depth of approx. 3 metres and its eaves would be limited at approx. 2.2 metres. In doing so, the scheme would not cause significant adverse impact on the residential amenities enjoyed by this attached neighbouring property.

No. 38

On the shared boundary, the proposal would present a depth of approx. 5.55 metres and its eaves would be limited at approx. 2.2 metres.

Although the aforementioned projection is significant, the amended eaves height would mitigate overbearing and loss of light issues that would not be so significant in this instance to trigger the refusal of the application that is the subject of this assessment.

In doing so, the proposed extension would meet the aims and objectives of Policy LP 8 of the Local Plan (2018) and the SPD (2015) on House Extensions and External Alterations.

Flooding

Policy LP 21 'Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage' states that all developments should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

This minor extension would be unlikely to exacerbate the current situation on site in terms of Critical Drainage and therefore it is considered to comply with Policy LP 21 of the Local Plan (2018).

Fire Safety

The Fire Safety Strategy received is considered sufficient and proportionate to the amount of development proposed here satisfying Policy D12 of the London Plan (2021), therefore, a compliance condition is attached.

The applicant is advised that alterations to existing buildings should comply with the Building Regulations. This permission is NOT a consent under the Building Regulations for which a separate application should be made.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the application reference 21/1643/HOT be granted approval subject to conditions.

Recommendation:

The determination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - ${\bf YES}$

I therefore	recommend the following:	
1.	REFUSAL	
2.	PERMISSION	
3.	FORWARD TO COMMITTEE	
This applic	ation is CIL liable	YES* NO (*If yes, complete CIL tab in Uniform)
This applic	ation requires a Legal Agreem	ent YES* NO (*If yes, complete Development Condition Monitoring in Uniform)
	ation has representations onlir not on the file)	ne
This applic	ation has representations on fi	le LYES NO
Case Office	er (Initials): GAP	Dated: 07/07/2021
I agree the	e recommendation: WT	
	der/Head of Development Man	
Dated:	3/8/2021	
The Head	of Development Management can be determined without ref	resentations that are contrary to the officer recommendation has considered those representations and concluded that the erence to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing
Head of De	evelopment Management:	
Dated:		
REASON	S:	
CONDITIO	ONS:	
INFORMA	ATIVES:	
UDP POL	LICIES:	
OTHER P	POLICIES:	

The following table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been enter	ered
into Uniform	

SUMMARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES

CONDITIONS			
INFORMATIVES			