				Tre		Π	Cro	wn					BS					Phys &				
Tree		Stem		e	Ult	Height			FSB	FS			5837					Struct			RPA	Re-
ld	Tree	Diam	Stem	Hgt	Hgt	N	, E,	s, w	Hgt	В	Life	Life	Cat	Ca	nopy	Spre	ead	Conditio		Recomme	Annex	Inspe
No	Species	(mm)	No	(m)	(m)		(m	1)	(m)	Dir	Stage	Ехр	Pre	N	I, E, S,	W (m)	n	Comments	nded Work	D (m)	ction
																			Large tree covered by a			
																			TPO that has no			
																			significant defects.			
	Robinia,																		It has tight unions and	No Action		
	Robinia																		included bark,	recommen		
	pseudoac																	P Good, S	characteristic of the	ded at this		
T 1	acia	1040	1	16	16	4	4	4 4	4	sw	М	20+	A1	8	7	9	8.5	Good	species.	time (NAR).	12.6	3 yrs.
																			Tree is located in the			
																			adjacent property and			
	Himalaya																		was only inspected			
	n birch,	,100, 200																	over the wall.			
	Betula	120,50,																P Good, S	It is a multi stemmed			
T 2	utilis	60	5	9	12	2	2	2 2	↓ -	-	SM	20+	B1	4	4	4	4	Fair	tree.	NAR	3.3	3 yrs.
																			Tree is located in the			
																			adjacent property and			
	Himalaya	120, 120,																	was only inspected			
	n birch,	120, 120,																	over the wall.			
	Betula	120, 120,																1	It is a multi stemmed			
Т3	utilis	120, 120	8	9	12	3	3	3 3	-	-	SM	20+	B1	4	4	4	4	Fair	tree.	NAR	4.2	3 yrs.

10 Construction within the RPA (No-dig)

- 10.1 The entire footprint of the completed bike shed is within the RPA of T01 a Robinia. This was constructed using no-dig construction techniques, with the shallow pad foundation laid on top of the existing ground level see Image 6 to 8.
- 10.2 There would have been some localised compaction of the ground immediate underneath the slab to provide a solid base. This will have had a minimal impact on the tree T01, based on the distance for the tree, the no-dig construction techniques, the size of the foundation, and the relatively small amount of surface compaction.
- 10.3 Despite the lack of awareness of the need for ground protection measures the surrounding soil shows little sign of any compaction, indicating that the construction activity was largely limited to the existing footpath and the completed building footprint.
- 10.4 There is no evidence of any root damage and even if there was minor localised root damage it is considered that the tree is large enough and healthy enough to withstand this with no long-term effects.
- 11 Foundation Designs
- 11.1 There was a slab foundation laid on the surface as detailed in Section 10 above. As such there was a minimal impact on the tree roots underneath.

STORAGE OF **EQUIPMENT & MATERIALS**

SITE PARKING **EXISTING** PARKIGN BAYS

BIKE SHED Approx 2m Sudbrook Lodge

COMPLETED

SITE ENTRANCE

0 1 2 3 4 5 10m 1:200 Scale

Arbor Cultural Ltd.

Providing Expertise on Your Trees

36 Central Avenue, West Molesey, Surrey, KT8 2QZ

T 0333 577 5523 M 07899 984162 E: admin@arbor-cultural.co.uk W: www.arbor-cultural.co.uk

Notes:



Legend

CATEGORY A TREE CATEGORY B TREE CATEGORY U TREE

ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA) Coloured by Tree Category MODIFIED ROOT PROTECTION AREA CROWN SPREAD

TREE TO BE REMOVED TEMPORARY GROUND PROTECTION





SHADE (FUTURE) LOW BRANCH DIRECTION

SHADE (CURRENT)



HAND- DIG EXCAVATION

Client: Mr Tim Sleisinger

Project: Sudbrook Lodge, Petersham Road, Ham, TW10 5HA

Title: TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN TREE PROTECTION PLAN

Date: 11/09/21 Scale: 1:200 Original Paper Size: A3

Drawn: IST Checked: N/A Job Ref: AC.2021.455

Drawing Number: TPP-01 Rev: A

Arbor Cultural Ltd Providing Expertise on y