

PLANNING REPORT

Printed Date: 13 February 2007

Application reference: 07/0408/HOT

HAMPTON NORTH WARD

Date application received	Date made valid	Target report date	8 Week date
05.02.2007	05.02.2007	02.04.2007	02.04.2007

Site:

83 Hanworth Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3EA

Proposal:

Dormers to rear and first floor side extension

Status: Pending Consideration (If status = HOLD please check that all is OK before you proceed any further with this application)

APPLICANT NAME

83 Hanworth Road

Hampton Middlesex TW12 3EA AGENT NAME

Englishaus Architects Ltd 30 Lawrence Road Hampton Middx TW12 2RJ

DC Site Notice: printed on

Consultations: Internal/External:

Consultee

Expiry Date

Neighbours:

30 Acacia Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3DS, - 13.02.2007

32 Acacia Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3DS, - 13.02.2007

85 Hanworth Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3EA, - 13.02.2007

81 Hanworth Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3EA, - 13.02.2007

The Lady Eleanor Holles School, 102 Hanworth Road, Hampton, Middlesex, TW12 3HF, - 13.02.2007

History:

Ref No

Description

Status Date

03/3356

Erection Of Hip To Gable Roof Extension And Rear REF

Constant

16/12/2003

Dormer Roof Extension

07/0408/HOT

Dormers to rear and first floor side extension

PCO

Constraints:

BLT 11, 15 - 16.

Sita Hisk and Reposal 2 stores semi detacled house with a 2 Stones for I bos. A siste Stones garage at the side has re bull and schuded in 1970s/80s. Plus penisis was refrest in 2003 for a hip to gather tren danne roof exturism vet 0 3/3356/HOT de the locality and the amenates of the appearance Thus latest application involves the each of a first flow suturision one the suist side atmisser The full depth of the suists he se and atending and. to the bunds with No 81. The suishing Improed roof over the side atus long would be some ded .

It enes ad indeed to the end of would be indeed.

It a domen furthe silended at the real with a domer 3.65 mide projection 3 for the sea roof stepe dante grade mided gable roof with carbal valley. The It double mid god gable root with comments of velocity of velocity of selection and 3 velocity and in the selection and and also present to the sear eleveling and & veling indicans to the side elevation Public a dotte Representations 2 letters for ments of residents have been ecerid. The fish from 32 Arain Road which books on to the applicate site

whilst hairs roobjectin in pricipal to the proposal is ranged about the cluttered approvance et thefall ad sear elevation, at roof level resulted for the range to roof highly, dome and solar pand as well as about a mater hinter proposed to the flut sof of the suit so soll Stare search and which was appear ansightly. The serand minder from 117 Hammall Road raises the follows objections:

- Proposed in wasse in accommodation occasione · Lossof garage and limited offsheet party would opposite a solod on slevel palis - rel desirable
- · Orenlooks for downers in rear devalue
- would be only of style with neighbor lances.

Professional (amends

5 PG on house standings, estending as it does to the bounds mith No 81 and with a set back at the front. This is particularly unfart made as the houses in this part of thanks at Road I Harward Road are at an angle after than parallel to the steet. No 83 projects none than 6 m in fail of No 81 and He flush wall is von in the street scene. The propose Lastinism would Therefore when any ped miners but and overdamment

ad visually intensine in He street. No 85, 1-be other Last of-the semichas a 25tane side estavion built in 1997 and it could be follows its design, would restore symmetry to 15 m from the street, wends twice as for as the Broposed estension at the flus elevation is musked to the adjant formed in its plat. This relationship to the adjant the property and the street means that proposed estension would be street means that easting forecant party is concurred there is hirth the Canad's maximum should for a Let be have been shown should for a Although there have been four vect studies in does comply the size and site of the proposed down result in an in the Hi Concall's SPG and would not submit an antest. The comments regarding in don's are noted but sugh in don's of He velux can be made to development. However He Consider toof bulcan in the side roof close quarters the fact gadin and 1st floor bundled on the side of the proposed new roof would overleck at the fact gadin and 1st floor bundles to the side roof the side roof walls overleck at the side roof the side midnight No 81, add to the uneightent impact of the proposed schwim I therefore recommend refusal.

The dete	ermination of this application falls within the scope of Officer delegated powers - YES / NO
I therefo	ore recommend the following:
1	REFUSAL Case Officer (Initials):
1. 2. 3.	PERMISSION Case Officer (Initials)
3.	FORWARD TO COMMITTEE D Dated: 2-7/3/07
I agree t	he recommendation:
	27 (03 (of .
Dated:	-7 (-30)
Develop	dication has been subject to representations that are contrary to the officer recommendation. The ment Control Manager has considered those representations and concluded that the application can mined without reference to the Planning Committee in conjunction with existing delegated authority.
Develop	ment Control Manager:
Dated:	
REASON	IS:
CONDIT	IONS:
INFORM	ATIVES:
UDP PO	LICIES:
OTHER	POLICIES:
The follow	wing table will populate as a quick check by running the template once items have been entered into
SUMM	ARY OF CONDITIONS AND INFORMATIVES
CONDIT	IONS:
INFORM	ATIVES:
IIII OKW	ATTIVE .

ADDITIONAL NOTES CONTINUED FROM ABOVE:

Recommendation: