Reference: FS423801111

# Comment on a planning application

# **Application Details**

Application: 22/0900/OUT

Address: The Stag BreweryLower Richmond RoadMortlakeLondonSW14 7ET

Proposal: Hybrid application to include:1. Demolition of existing buildings (except the Maltings and the façade of the Bottling Plant and former Hotel), walls, associated structures, site clearance and groundworks, to allow for the comprehensive phased redevelopment of the site:2. Detailed application for the works to the east side of Ship Lane which comprise:a. Alterations and extensions to existing buildings and erection of buildings varying in height from 3 to 9 storeys plus a basement of one to two storeys below ground to allow for residential apartments; flexible use floorspace for retail, financial and professional services, café/restaurant and drinking establishment uses, offices, non-residential institutions and community use and boathouse; Hotel / public house with accommodation; Cinema and Offices.b. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highway worksc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parking at surface and basement leveld. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscapinge. Flood defence and towpath worksf. Installation of plant and energy equipment3. Outline application, with all matters reserved for works to the west of Ship Lane which comprise:a. The erection of a single storey basement and buildings varying in height from 3 to 8 storeysb. Residential developmentc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parkingd. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscapinge. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highways works.

# **Comments Made By**

Name: Dr. Karen Becker

Address: 63 East Sheen Avenue East Sheen London SW14 8AX

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** The site of the former Stag Brewery at Mortlake is in need of sympathetic re-development in character with the area. My objections to the current proposals are the same as those I have made to earlier plans.

### My objections are:

Density of housing: 1085 residential units is far too dense for the site and exceeds the original proposal of 813 (+ 80 care home units). The local infrastructure cannot accommodate such a huge increase in population. Blocks of 9 storeys on the riverside are out of keeping with the local area and extend beyond the 7 storey maximum stated in the planning brief for the site. Moreover they will be detrimental to the preservation of the Arcadian Thames and the Thames Landscape Strategy.

Density other than housing: the plans involve excessive numbers of non-residents to the site: 1150 pupils + staff at the school, cinema, hotel, cafes, offices.

Density of traffic: the existing access roads are already congested and were so even before the closure of Hammersmith Bridge. There is no date for the re-opening of the bridge to traffic. Traffic mediation proposals at Chalkers Corner are inadequate. The development of the site will extend over many years of disruption with heavy lorries to and from the site. The arrival and departure of so many non-residents will contribute significantly to the daily congestion.

In my opinion, the developers have given no serious consideration to long standing local objections focussing on maximum profit from the site rather than any sensitivity to the character of the area and the access constraints imposed by the river and the railway. The river, the railway and the road system are not movable so it is the development that must

