Reference: FS425720646

## Comment on a planning application

## **Application Details**

Application: 22/0900/OUT

Address: The Stag BreweryLower Richmond RoadMortlakeLondonSW14 7ET

Proposal: Hybrid application to include:1. Demolition of existing buildings (except the Maltings and the façade of the Bottling Plant and former Hotel), walls, associated structures, site clearance and groundworks, to allow for the comprehensive phased redevelopment of the site:2. Detailed application for the works to the east side of Ship Lane which comprise:a. Alterations and extensions to existing buildings and erection of buildings varying in height from 3 to 9 storeys plus a basement of one to two storeys below ground to allow for residential apartments; flexible use floorspace for retail, financial and professional services, café/restaurant and drinking establishment uses, offices, non-residential institutions and community use and boathouse; Hotel / public house with accommodation; Cinema and Offices.b. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highway worksc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parking at surface and basement leveld. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscapinge. Flood defence and towpath worksf. Installation of plant and energy equipment3. Outline application, with all matters reserved for works to the west of Ship Lane which comprise:a. The erection of a single storey basement and buildings varying in height from 3 to 8 storeysb. Residential developmentc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parkingd. Provision of public open space, amenity and play space and landscapinge. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highways works.

## **Comments Made By**

Name: Mr. Sean Dodwell

Address: 2 Paynesfield Avenue East Sheen London SW14 8DW

## **Comments**

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** The Planning Application for Mortlake Brewery site evelopment is a welcome one. However the scale and size of the development has not been considered in relationship to the existing surroundings, transport and amenity or the local area.

- 1. The proposed number of dwellings is too large 1085 units is too many Richmonds previously approved scheme had fewer at 893, even this figure is too large.
- 2. The nearest train station is Mortlake served by South Western Rail company. The servises to this station have just been reduced, a decision which has been met with frustration, bewilderment and dismay, as the rush hour trains are already overcrowded. There is not sufficient capacity to meet the demands that this new development will place on the service. Serious consderation should be given to the impact this development will have on the capcity, safety and use of Mortlake station.
- 3. There has not been enough thought and consideration made for the construction phase of this development, the local area traffic provison is already over capacity, with the Hammersmith Bridge being closed. There should be a planning condition that the developer uses the river access for the vast majoritory construction deliveries and removals from the site to aleiviate further congestion on the roads.
- 4. There is no "joined up " transport policy, TFL need to be encouraged/forced to serve the new development with at least two decent volume bus services, running to Hammersmith and Richmond, in order that future house buyers will not feel that car ownership is required to purchase property on the site.
- 5. Proposed impact Mortlake Green, part of the development proposes changes to the use and layout of the existing green space of Mortlake Green, any loss to this amenity would be detrimental to the ext., and inded new community.5. A serious traffic management study should be carried out to assess the risks, increases and impact of teh additional road traffic that this development will lead to on the immediate surrounding roads, the proposed partial widening of teh

chalkers corner junction is a "sticking plaster" that will soon become useless and overcrowded. The already poor air quality will be further degredated with any additional traffic load.

- 6. There is a fundamental question over the need for the new 1,150 pupil secondary school which in turn results in the loss of the protected sports fields and precious open space. A serious survey/census should be carried out with at least a 10 year projection for reuired school places, both at secondary and primary levels.
- 7. The proposal involves the loss of protected OOLTI open space.
- and there is no compliant 're-provisioning' of OOLTI open space in the remainder of the site. This ammenity must be included in any new development of the site.
- 8. The TfL land reserve provision is retained in the application but we understand is no longer a fixed requirement of TFL so this must be retained as open green space.
- 9. The extent and type of Affordable Housing provisions are still to be clarified but are likely to be non-compliant with planning policy, i.e. below 20% of total units, Why don't we use this development to set a precident and propose numbers that are above and beyond the "minimums" this area needs new, decent quality affordable housing, both in the rental and purchase market.
- 10. The proposals for Mortlake level crossing are derisery, public safety should be paramount at this crossing, there is already a small primary school which means there are large numbers af parents and children already use this very dangerous area, an increase in traffic will make the curent situation worse, without considered robust safety measures in place as part of the proposal.