



**Greggs Bakery Site & No. 2 Gould Road,
Twickenham, TW2 6RT**
Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment

ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESK BASED ASSESSMENT

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
V1	Update to 2019 DBA	Craig Halsey	Duncan Hawkins	Duncan Hawkins	18.03.22
V2	Updated with client comments	Craig Halsey	Duncan Hawkins	Duncan Hawkins	04.04.22

The report has been prepared for the exclusive use and benefit of our client and solely for the purpose for which it is provided. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by RPS Group Plc, any of its subsidiaries, or a related entity (collectively 'RPS') no part of this report should be reproduced, distributed or communicated to any third party. RPS does not accept any liability if this report is used for an alternative purpose from which it is intended, nor to any third party in respect of this report. The report does not account for any changes relating to the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report.

The report has been prepared using the information provided to RPS by its client, or others on behalf of its client. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RPS shall not be liable for any loss or damage suffered by the client arising from fraud, misrepresentation, withholding of information material relevant to the report or required by RPS, or other default relating to such information, whether on the client's part or that of the other information sources, unless such fraud, misrepresentation, withholding or such other default is evident to RPS without further enquiry. It is expressly stated that no independent verification of any documents or information supplied by the client or others on behalf of the client has been made. The report shall be used for general information only.

Prepared by:**RPS****Craig Halsey BSc. (Hons)
Associate Director**20 Farringdon Street
London, EC4A 4AB**T** +44 20 3691 0500**E** craig.halsey@rpsgroup.com**Prepared for:****London Square Developments Ltd**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The site of the former Greggs Bakery, Gould Road, Twickenham, London has been reviewed for its below ground archaeological potential.
- In terms of relevant designated heritage assets, no World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments, Historic Battlefield or Historic Wreck Sites lie within the immediate vicinity of the site.
- The site is considered to have a low archaeological potential for remains associated with all periods of human activity.
- The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise has demonstrated that no such structures existed on the site which remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- The site wide 20th century construction comprising the laundry and subsequent bakery within the site will have had a severe localised negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits due to the cutting of foundations and services.
- The multiple phases of remodelling of the bakery buildings within the site during the 20th century is considered to have had a further cumulative severe widespread negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits due to the cutting of foundations and services.
- The development proposals consist of the demolition of existing buildings (with retention of a single dwelling – No. 2 Gould Road) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175 sqm commercial floorspace (Use Class E) with associated hard and soft landscaping, car parking and highways works and other associated works. No basements are proposed.
- On the basis of the available information, the GLHER, historical mapping, past developmental impacts and the proposed development design (largely within the existing building footprints) we recommend that no further archaeological investigation is required on this site.
- Due to the nature of the archaeological investigation required, such mitigation will necessarily follow the granting of planning consent secured by an appropriate condition.
- Due to the size of the site and its location within the APA, the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames's archaeological advisor at the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) may take a precautionary approach and require further archaeological mitigation measures in conjunction with the proposed development.
- If archaeological mitigation is required, this could be secured by a suitably worded condition attached to planning consent.

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF STUDY	1
2 PLANNING BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN FRAMEWORK	2
National Legislation	2
National Planning Policy & Guidance.....	2
Local Planning Policy	3
3 GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY	5
Geology	5
Topography	5
4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND WITH ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE	6
Timescales used in this report.....	6
Introduction	6
Prehistoric.....	7
Roman	8
Anglo-Saxon/Early Medieval	8
Post Medieval & Modern (including map regression exercise)	8
Negative Evidence.....	9
Assessment of Significance (Designated Assets).....	9
Assessment of Significance (Non-Designated Assets).....	10
5 SITE CONDITIONS, THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT & REVIEW OF POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSETS	11
Site Conditions	11
Proposed Development.....	11
Review of Potential Development Impacts on Heritage Assets	12
6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	13

Plates

Plate 1 – Edwin Road entrance viewed north with flour silo's mid distance.

Plate 2 – Gould Road entrance looking north with 2 Gould Road west of the gates.

Plate 3 – Gould Road administration block viewed from the south-west.

Plate 4 – Gould Road northern range of administration buildings viewed from the south. Canalised River Crane immediately behind.

Plate 5 – Gould Road office parking viewed east to west.

Plate 6 – Main processing plant western half viewed north to south.

Plate 7 – Main processing building eastern half viewed north to south.

Plate 8 – Oven rank along eastern edge of the site viewed from the north-west.

Plate 9 – River frontage viewed from east to west. River Crane immediately north of the fence line.

Plate 10 – Eastern Site boundary viewed north to south with residential properties along Norcutt Road to the east.

Plate 11 - Eastern Site boundary viewed south to north.

Plate 12 – Edwin Road loading bays viewed from the south.

Plate 13 – Northern Flour Silo viewed from the north.

Figures

Figure 1	Site location
Figure 2	HER Plot (Data from the Greater London Historic Environment Record)
Figure 3	1754 Rocque Map of Middlesex
Figure 4	1766 Rocque Survey of the Cities of London
Figure 5	1845 Twickenham Tithe Map
Figure 6	1866 Ordnance Survey
Figure 7	1888 Bacon (A – Z of Victorian London)
Figure 8	1898 Ordnance Survey
Figure 9	1915 Ordnance Survey
Figure 10	1934 Ordnance Survey
Figure 11	1961 Ordnance Survey
Figure 12	1973 Ordnance Survey
Figure 13	2003 Google Earth View
Figure 14	2015 Google Earth View
Figure 15	Site as Existing
Figure 16	Proposed Development

1 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF STUDY

- 1.1 This archaeological desk-based assessment has been researched by Alex Slater, and prepared by Craig Halsey of RPS Heritage on behalf of the client, London Square Developments Ltd.
- 1.2 The subject of this Assessment comprises the site of Greggs Bakery and No.2 Gould Road, Twickenham, London TW2 6RT (Plates 1 to 13). The site is centred at TQ 15333 73324 within the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames (see Figures 1 and 2). The site, comprising an irregular plot set within a residential area, is bounded by Edwin and Gould Road to the south, residential properties to the west and east (Crane Road and Norcutt Road respectively), and the River Crane to the north. Overall, the site measures approximately 1.1ha in size.
- 1.3 The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA (HER ref: DLO33459) covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise has demonstrated that no such structures associated with these industries existed on the site. The study site remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- 1.4 In terms of relevant designated heritage assets, no World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments, Historic Battlefield or Historic Wreck Sites lie within the immediate vicinity of the site.
- 1.5 London Square has commissioned RPS Heritage to establish the archaeological potential of the site, and to provide guidance on ways to accommodate any archaeological constraints identified.
- 1.6 In accordance with relevant policy and guidance on archaeology and planning, and in accordance with the 'Standard and Guidance for Historic Environment Desk-Based Assessments' (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists October 2020), this assessment draws together the available archaeological, topographic and land-use information in order to clarify the archaeological potential of the site.
- 1.7 This desk-based assessment comprises an examination of evidence on the Greater London Historic Environment Record (GLHER), including the Richmond Local Studies Collection. The report also includes the results of a comprehensive map regression exercise and the results of a site visit.
- 1.8 This assessment thus enables relevant parties to assess the archaeological potential of various parts of the site and to consider the need for design, civil engineering, and archaeological solutions to the archaeological potential identified.

2 PLANNING BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN FRAMEWORK

National Legislation

- 2.1 National legislation regarding archaeology, including Scheduled Monuments, is contained in the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979, amended by the National Heritage Act 1983 and 2002, and updated in April 2014.

National Planning Policy & Guidance

- 2.2 In March 2012, the government published the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which was most recently revised in July 2021. The NPPF is supported by the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG), which was published online 6th March 2014 and has since been periodically updated.
- 2.3 The NPPF and NPPG are additionally supported by three Good Practice Advice (GPA) documents published by Historic England: GPA 1: The Historic Environment in Local Plans; GPA 2: Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment (both published March 2015). The second edition of GPA3: The Setting of Heritage Assets was published in December 2017.
- 2.4 Section 16 of the NPPF, entitled 'Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment' provides guidance for planning authorities, property owners, developers and others on the conservation and investigation of heritage assets. Overall, the objectives of Section 16 of the NPPF can be summarised as seeking the:
- Delivery of sustainable development;
 - Understanding the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits brought by the conservation of the historic environment;
 - Conservation of England's heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance; and
 - Recognition of the contribution that heritage makes towards our knowledge and understanding of the past.
- 2.5 Section 16 of the NPPF recognises that intelligently managed change may sometimes be necessary if heritage assets are to be maintained for the long term. Paragraph 194 states that planning decisions should be based on the significance of the heritage asset and that the level of detail supplied by an applicant should be proportionate to the importance of the asset and should be *no more than sufficient* to review the potential impact of the proposal upon the significance of that asset.
- 2.6 *Heritage Assets* are defined in Annex 2 of the NPPF as: a building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. It includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).
- 2.7 Annex 2 also defines *Archaeological Interest* as a heritage asset which holds, or potentially holds, evidence of past human activity worthy of expert investigation at some point.
- 2.8 A *Nationally Important Designated Heritage Asset* comprises a: World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Protected Wreck Site, Registered Park and Garden, Registered Battlefield or Conservation Area designated under the relevant legislation.
- 2.9 *Significance* is defined as: The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. This interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting.

- 2.10 *Setting of a heritage asset* is defined as: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.
- 2.11 In short, government policy provides a framework which:
- Protects nationally important designated Heritage Assets;
 - Protects the settings of such designations;
 - In appropriate circumstances seeks adequate information (from desk based assessment and field evaluation where necessary) to enable informed decisions;
 - Provides for the excavation and investigation of sites not significant enough to merit in-situ preservation.
- 2.12 The NPPG reiterates that the conservation of heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance is a core planning principle, requiring a flexible and thoughtful approach. Furthermore, it highlights that neglect and decay of heritage assets is best addressed through ensuring they remain in active use that is consistent with their conservation. Importantly, the guidance states that if complete, or partial loss of a heritage asset is justified, the aim should then be to capture and record the evidence of the asset's significance and make the interpretation publicly available. Key elements of the guidance relate to assessing harm. An important consideration should be whether the proposed works adversely affect a key element of the heritage asset's special architectural or historic interest. Additionally, it is the degree of harm, rather than the scale of development, that is to be assessed. The level of 'substantial harm' is considered to be a high bar that may not arise in many cases. Essentially, whether a proposal causes substantial harm will be a judgment for the decision taker, having regard to the circumstances of the case and the NPPF. Importantly, harm may arise from works to the asset or from development within its setting. Setting is defined as the surroundings in which an asset is experienced and may be more extensive than the curtilage. A thorough assessment of the impact of proposals upon setting needs to take into account, and be proportionate to, the significance of the heritage asset and the degree to which proposed changes enhance or detract from that significance and the ability to appreciate it.
- 2.13 In considering any planning application for development, the planning authority will be mindful of the framework set by government policy, in this instance the NPPF, by current Development Plan Policy and by other material considerations.

Local Planning Policy

- 2.14 The relevant Development Plan framework for the study site is provided by the Richmond-upon-Thames Local Plan, adopted on 3rd July 2018. Policy relevant to the site includes:

Policy LP7

The Council will seek to protect, enhance and promote its archaeological heritage (both above and below ground), and will encourage its interpretation and presentation to the public. It will take the necessary measures required to safeguard the archaeological remains found, and refuse planning permission where proposals would adversely affect archaeological remains or their setting.

Desk based assessments and, where necessary, archaeological field evaluation will be required before development proposals are determined, where development is proposed on sites of archaeological significance or potential significance.

- 2.15 In terms of designated heritage assets as defined above in the NPPF, and as shown on Figure 2, no World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monuments, Historic Battlefield or Historic Wreck designations lie within, or in immediate proximity, to the site.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESK BASED ASSESSMENT

- 2.16 The site is partially (c. 75%) located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area (DLO33459) as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames.
- 2.17 This desk based assessment therefore aims to meet the national, strategic and local council policy and policy guidance as set out above, in clarifying the archaeological potential of the study sites and the need or otherwise for further mitigation measures.

3 GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY

Geology

- 3.1 The solid geology of the study site is shown by the British Geological Survey (2016) as London Clay, forming the London Basin, overlain by superficial geological deposits of the Kempton Park Gravel Formation. The London Clay comprises Clay and Silt, the Kempton Gravel Formation comprises Sand and Gravel.
- 3.2 A geotechnical investigation (AP Geotechnics, 2019) undertaken on the site indicated made ground measuring between 0.8m to 1.4m in thickness on the northern half of the site, overlying sandy clays and sandy gravels. On the southern half of the site the made ground was found to measure between 0.4 to 0.8m in thickness and overlay sandy clays and sandy gravels.

Topography

- 3.3 The study site is approximately level at a height of approximately 9m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD). The site is completely developed with no original topography observed during the site visit (Plates 1 to 13).
- 3.4 The River Crane, canalised, forms the northern boundary of the study site. The River Thames lies approximately 1km to the south-east of the site.

4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND WITH ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

Timescales used in this report

Prehistoric

Palaeolithic	900,000 -	12,000 BC
Mesolithic	12,000 -	4,000 BC
Neolithic	4,000 -	1,800 BC
Bronze Age	1,800 -	600 BC
Iron Age	600 -	AD 43

Historic

Roman	AD 43 -	410
Saxon/Early Medieval	AD 410 -	1066
Medieval	AD 1066 -	1485
Post Medieval	AD 1486 -	1799
Modern	AD 1800 -	Present

Introduction

- 4.1 What follows comprises a review of archaeological findspots within a 1km radius of the study site, also referred to as the study area or search radius, held on the Greater London Historic Environment Record (GLHER), together with a historic map regression exercise charting the development of the study area from the seventeenth century onwards until the present day.
- 4.2 In terms of designated heritage assets, as defined above and as shown on Figure 2, no designated Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Historic Wrecks or Historic Battlefields lie within the study area.
- 4.3 The site is located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA (HER ref: DLO33459) covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and the manufacture of gunpowder. The APA is shaded blue on Figure 2).
- 4.4 APA ref DLO33460 is located to the south of the study site with its northern edge stopping on the south side of Edwin Road. The APA covers areas where evidence for the Early Medieval settlement of Twickenham and Marble Hill might be found.
- 4.5 In general the GLHER findspots within the study area are characterised by a scatter of Prehistoric finds, often found redeposited in association with the River Thames.
- 4.6 The map regression exercise and a review of documentary evidence and secondary sources demonstrate that the site remained undeveloped until the modern period. During the Post-Medieval period the site remained as open ground/agricultural land until the site was first developed in the early-20th century.

Prehistoric

- 4.7 The earliest evidence for human activity recorded on the GLHER within the study area relates to the isolated recovery of a Palaeolithic flint flake (MLO11161, TQ 15 73). The artefact is given a very general grid reference and it is likely that the artefact has been redeposited within a secondary context.
- 4.8 Mammalian fossils, dated to the Palaeolithic period, are noted at TQ 15410 72993 (MLO103166) 225m south of the study site however, no evidence for human interaction was found at the location.
- 4.9 The fossilised bones of a deer are noted at TQ 16 73 (MLO13287) however these, along with a raft of other artefacts from various periods, are given a general grid reference for artefacts dredged from or retrieved from the banks of the River Thames.
- 4.10 Evidence for Mesolithic activity within the study area is limited to the recovery of a Mesolithic flint axe or adze found c550m to the south-west of the study site (MLO18273, TQ 1530 7270).
- 4.11 From around 4000 BC the mobile hunter-gathering economy of the Mesolithic gradually gave way to a more settled agriculture-based subsistence. The pace of woodland clearance to create arable and pasture-based agricultural land varied regionally and locally, depending on a wide variety of climatic, topographic, social and other factors. The trend was one of a slow, but gradually increasing pace of forest clearance.
- 4.12 A residual Neolithic flint adze have been found in the study area in the vicinity of the Thames foreshore 800m to the south-east (MLO19093, TQ 16 73).
- 4.13 By the 1st millennium, i.e. 1000 BC, the landscape was probably a mix of extensive tracts of open farmland, punctuated by earthwork burial and ceremonial monuments from distant generations, with settlements, ritual areas and defended locations reflecting an increasingly hierarchical society.
- 4.14 A number of Bronze Age artefacts have been recorded on the GLHER as deriving from the River Thames or its foreshore within the study area. The Bronze Age artefacts recovered consist of a flint knife (MLO3123, TQ 1615 7275), bone dagger (MLO11691, TQ 1770 7460) and a bronze sword (MLO3124, TQ 1610 7274).
- 4.15 A collection of Bronze Age artefacts is recorded under the generic grid reference TQ 16 73 all derived from the River Thames and its foreshore. The collection includes a bronze leaf shaped sword (MLO189610) and a bronze spearhead (MLO18967). Due to the location of their discovery such artefacts are likely to have been transported from their primary context by fluvial action.
- 4.16 No archaeological assets associated with the Iron Age period are recorded within the 1km search radius.
- 4.17 It is possible that the course of the River Crane may have been exploited throughout the prehistoric periods as a source of fresh water and flora and fauna along its banks. In the later prehistoric periods it is also possible that the lush grasses may have been exploited for seasonal grazing however due to the complete lack of evidence for Iron Age settlement this remains as a remote possibility. Seasonal use leaves very ephemeral traces on the landscape and aside from the occasional residual flint tool very little remains in the archaeological record. Such stray finds would be regarded as of local significance only.
- 4.18 Overall the potential of the study site for the Prehistoric period can be identified as low, although the presence of isolated artefacts from this period cannot be precluded. It is highly probable that throughout this period the focus of settlement would have been adjacent to the River Thames 1km to the south-east which provided a bountiful supply of fresh water and plenty of game.

Roman

- 4.19 No evidence for either Roman settlement or transport routes is recorded within the 1km search radius. The nearest known Roman road is located c. 2.75km north of the study site.
- 4.20 The only evidence for Roman activity within the 1km search radius comprises a single coin found c700m to the south-west of the site (MLO19110, TQ 1510 7270).
- 4.21 Due to the distance of known Roman activity from the site, the archaeological potential of the study site for this period can be defined as generally low.

Anglo-Saxon/Early Medieval

- 4.22 The place name *Tuicanham* is noted in 704AD which translated means the river-bend lands of a man called Twicca. Twickenham is not mentioned in Domesday and no archaeological assets from the Saxon or early Medieval period are recorded within the 1km search radius. The potential for the Saxon period within the study site is considered to be low.
- 4.23 Within the 1km search radius no archaeological assets of Medieval date are recorded despite the Twickenham and Marble Hill APA being designated as providing evidence for Early Medieval settlement. The APA's designation DLO33460 is presented as the orange shaded area on Figure 2. The Whitton APA (DLO33470) located 1km north-west of the study site (shaded light blue on Figure 2) also marks the site of potential early medieval settlement.
- 4.24 The potential for the Medieval period can be identified as low at this time. Writers of the period describe the area as large expanses of countryside with large estates and landscaped gardens along the banks of the Thames.

Post Medieval & Modern (including map regression exercise)

- 4.25 The earliest map presented in this report, Rocque's Map of Middlesex (Figure 3, dated 1754) shows the study site located within open ground to the north-east of Twickenham Green. The study site is due south of the River Crane which at this time is not canalised and lies slightly further north than its present course.
- 4.26 Roque's Survey of the Cities of London (Figure 4, dated 1766) provides slightly more detail and identifies the site within meadows to the west of Stacon Lane.
- 4.27 The 1845 Twickenham Tithe Map (Figure 5) and associated Award identify the site within Market Gardens (Plots 326 and 328). The River Crane retains its natural channel to the north of the site. Residential development has expanded eastward from Twickenham Green and a new road now forms the study sites southern boundary.
- 4.28 The layout of the site remains unaltered in 1866 (Figure 6, Ordnance Survey), the north-west portion of the site is planted out as either orchard or a hop field. The eastern part of the site is shown as open land with a central footpath running north to south through the field. The canalised River Crane now forms most of the study sites northern boundary with the newly constructed railway forming the remainder.
- 4.29 Bacons Map of Victorian London (Figure 7, dated 1888) depicts open land and shows residential development creeping toward the study site from the east.
- 4.30 GLHER records within the 1km search radius reference, predominantly, post-medieval and modern parks (MLO102892 at TQ 14809 73324), gardens (MLO104410 at TQ 15287 72947), cemeteries (MLO103978 at TQ 1617 7330) and sites of demolished country houses (Gifford's Lodge, MLO99306 at TQ 15433 72891 and Richmond House, MLO67375 at TQ 1624 7316).

- 4.31 Police called archaeologists after (ELO12988 at TQ 15182 72802) human bone was found in the garden of 20 Hampton Road (MLO105364). Comprising parts of 3 individuals with a skull evidencing craniotomy the bones are considered to be Post-medieval in date. As no hospital or morgue was ever constructed on this site it is assumed a student of medicine brought the bones to this location and disposed of them.
- 4.32 The 1898 Ordnance Survey (Figure 8) reverts to the same site use as shown in 1866. The field adjacent to the eastern boundary of the site contains a gravel pit.
- 4.33 The 1915 Ordnance Survey (Figure 9) shows the north-west part of the site developed. A building complex, of unknown function is identified midway along the eastern boundary of the eastern part of the site. In the intervening 17 years since publication of the 1898 survey the area to the east and west of the site has been infilled with residential properties. The current road layout has been established although Norcutt Road, to the east, is only half its current length and ends in a track leading to a laundry.
- 4.34 The 1934 Ordnance Survey identifies remodelling of some of the buildings in the north-west part of the site. In the eastern part of the site, adjacent to Edwin Road a laundry has been established. The remainder of this portion of the study site is annotated as Allotment Gardens (Figure 10).
- 4.35 By 1961 (Figure 11) the buildings in the north-west part of the site have been demolished and a bakery constructed over them. The footprint of the bakery extends into the eastern portion of the site. The laundry noted in 1934 remains in the southern part of the site which has been further developed with a works opposite and another building of unknown function constructed to the north. The layout of the site appears unaltered in 1973 (Figure 12) although there are some modifications within the central area.
- 4.36 Subsequent to the Ordnance Survey Map, dated 1973, the buildings in the north-west corner have again been remodelled including demolition of the building first observed in 1934 and its replacement with a larger building. The remainder of the site remains unchanged as shown on the Google Earth Views in 2003 and 2015 (Figures 13 and 14) and on the latest Ordnance Survey (Figure 15).
- 4.37 The archaeological potential for the Post-Medieval and Modern periods is solely invested in any remains of the buildings in the north-west part of the site, where not impacted by construction of the later bakery.

Negative Evidence

- 4.38 Archaeological evaluations conducted within the search area, although not entirely negative, have only produced records for the late 18th, 19th and 20th centuries (ELO8607 at TQ 1543 7288, ELO13168 at TQ 1595 7363 and ELO15978).
- 4.39 An archaeological evaluation undertaken in July 2018 (ELO19008 at TQ 1567 7310) 300m south-east of the study site and located within the Twickenham and Marble Hill APA found no archaeological features or artefacts.

Assessment of Significance (Designated Assets)

- 4.40 Existing national policy guidance for archaeology (the NPPF as referenced in section 2) enshrines the concept of the 'significance' of heritage assets. Significance as defined in the NPPF centres on the value of an archaeological or historic asset for its 'heritage interest' to this or future generations.
- 4.41 The assessment has identified no remains of non-designated heritage assets are located on the site.

- 4.42 The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and for the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise has demonstrated that no such structures existed on the site which remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- 4.43 The assessment has identified a low potential for all periods of activity occurring within the site, with the balance of probability indicating that any features present will be of local importance. There is a high potential within the site for the modern period however, this relates solely to the sites use as a bakery.

Assessment of Significance (Non-Designated Assets)

- 4.44 Existing national policy guidance for archaeology (the NPPF as referenced in section 2) enshrines the concept of the 'significance' of heritage assets. Significance as defined in the NPPF centres on the value of an archaeological or historic asset for its 'heritage interest' to this or future generations.
- 4.45 The assessment has identified no remains of non-designated heritage assets are located on the site.
- 4.46 The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and for the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise had demonstrated that no such structures existed on the site which remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- 4.47 The assessment has identified a low potential for all periods of activity occurring within the site, with the balance of probability indicating that any features present will be of local importance. There is a high potential within the site for the modern period however, this relates solely to the sites use as a bakery.

5 SITE CONDITIONS, THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT & REVIEW OF POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSETS

Site Conditions

- 5.1 The site is currently occupied by a suite of buildings comprising the former Greggs Bakery, the site was taken over from Allied Bakeries in 1994. Access to the site is from Edwin Road (Plate 1), which formed the delivery and operational entrance, and via an entrance adjacent to 2 Gould Road (Plate 2). Gould Road was the entrance to the administration and reception blocks (Plates 2 to 5). The site is covered with hard standing throughout in areas not supporting buildings.
- 5.2 The hard standing leading from Edwin Road to the bakery is particularly robust as this was constructed to support the weight of vehicles delivering ingredients and vehicles transporting the finished products to the outlets (Plates 1, 12 and 13).
- 5.3 Within the site the buildings range in height from single storey to two or three storey brick buildings, the majority of which comprise the office and administration buildings in the north-west portion of the site. The study site also houses warehouses, cold storage areas and two flour silos (Plates 1 and 13) and a range of large commercial ovens arranged along the eastern edge of the main processing area (Plates 7 and 8).
- 5.4 The construction, and subsequent demolition, of the 20th century buildings within the north-west corner of the site will have had a severe localised negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits through the cycles of cutting foundations, grubbing out of foundations and the cutting of new foundations and insertion of services.
- 5.5 The multiple phases of construction within the site during the later 20th century will have had a further cumulative severe widespread negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits due to the cutting of additional foundations and services.
- 5.6 Agricultural/horticultural activity, prior to development, is likely to have had a low, but widespread, negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits.

Proposed Development

- 5.7 The development proposals comprise the demolition of existing buildings (with retention of a single dwelling – No. 2 Gould Road (Plate 2)) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175 sqm commercial floorspace (Use Class E) with associated hard and soft landscaping, car parking and highways works and other associated works (Figure 16). No basements or underground car park are proposed.
- 5.8 The residential properties have been sympathetically designed to blend in with the surrounding pattern of terraced streets. The main thoroughfare through the site, leading from Edwin Road, will be retained with terraced houses constructed either side (within the footprints of the existing buildings). The north-west corner of the site will contain up to five storey buildings overlooking the River Crane, these are largely constructed within the footprints of former buildings and are set back from the edge of the river.

Review of Potential Development Impacts on Heritage Assets

- 5.9 In view of the study site's low archaeological potential and previous cycles of development, the proposed development is unlikely to have a widespread or substantial negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits.
- 5.10 The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise has demonstrated that no such structures existed on the site which remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- 5.11 The site visit established that no archaeological structures predating the development of the site for a bakery were present.
- 5.12 However, due to the size of the site and its location within the APA, the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames's archaeological advisor at the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) may take a precautionary approach and require further archaeological mitigation measures in conjunction with the proposed development.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 6.1 The site of Greggs Bakery, Gould Road, Twickenham, London has been reviewed for its below ground archaeological potential.
- 6.2 In accordance with central and local government planning policy and guidance, a desk based assessment has been undertaken to clarify the archaeological potential of the study area.
- 6.3 In terms of relevant designated heritage assets, no World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments, Historic Battlefield or Historic Wreck Sites lie within the 1km search radius.
- 6.4 The site is partly located within the Crane Valley Archaeological Priority Area as designated by the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames. The APA covers the course of the River Crane which supported 18th century Oil and Paper Mills, a brewery and the manufacture of gunpowder. The historical map regression exercise has demonstrated that no such structures existed on the site which remained undeveloped until the 20th century.
- 6.5 The site is considered to have a low archaeological potential for remains associated with all periods of human activity.
- 6.6 Agricultural/horticultural activity, prior to development, is likely to have had a low, but widespread, negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits.
- 6.7 The site wide 20th century construction comprising the construction of the laundry and subsequent bakery within the site will have had a severe localised negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits due to the cutting of foundations and services.
- 6.8 The multiple phases of remodelling of the bakery buildings within the site throughout the 20th century can be considered to have had a further cumulative severe widespread negative impact on below ground archaeological deposits due to the cutting of additional foundations and services (Plates 1 to 13).
- 6.9 The development proposals comprise the demolition of existing buildings (with retention of a single dwelling – No. 2 Gould Road) and redevelopment of the site to provide up to 116 residential units and 175 sqm commercial floorspace (Use Class E) with associated hard and soft landscaping, car parking and highways works and other associated works. No basements or underground car park are proposed.
- 6.10 On the basis of the available information, the GLHER, historical mapping, past developmental impacts and the proposed development design (largely within the existing building footprints) we recommend that no further archaeological investigation is required on this site.
- 6.11 Due to the size of the site and its location within the APA, the London Borough of Richmond-upon-Thames's archaeological advisor at the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) may take a precautionary approach require further archaeological mitigation measures in conjunction with the proposed development.
- 6.12 If archaeological mitigation is required, this could be secured by a suitably worded condition attached to planning consent.

Sources Consulted

General

British Library
Greater London Historic Environment Record
Richmond Local Studies Collection

Internet

British Geological Survey – <http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringGeology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html>
British History Online – <http://www.british-history.ac.uk/>
Domesday Online – <http://www.domesdaybook.co.uk/>
Historic England: The National Heritage List for England <http://www.historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/>
National Planning Policy Framework – <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

Bibliographic

Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979, (amended by the National Heritage Act 1983 & 2002, updated in April 2014)
AP Geotechnics, Greggs Bakery/Twickenham, Phase II, Geoenvironmental Investigation, 2019
Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2021, Code of conduct: professional ethics in archaeology
Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, Standard & Guidance for historic environment desk based assessment 2014, updated 2020.
Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport, 2013, Scheduled Monuments & nationally important but non-scheduled monuments
Historic England *Archaeological Priority Area Guidelines* July 2016 unpublished document
Historic England (formerly English Heritage), Conservation Principles, Policies and Guidance for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment 2008 (new draft 2017)
Historic England, Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 1 The Historic Environment in Local Plans July 2015 unpublished document
Historic England, Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 2 Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment July 2015 unpublished document
Historic England, Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets December 2017 unpublished document
Historic England, 2015, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment: The MoRPHE Project Managers' Guide
Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2021, National Planning Policy Framework
Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government Planning practice guidance, 2019, Historic environment
Weinreb, B., Hibbert, C., Keay, J. & Keay, J. The London Encyclopaedia 2008

Wymer The Lower Palaeolithic Occupation of Britain 2 volumes 1999

Victoria County History Surrey Volume 3 1911

Cartographic

1635 Glover

1754 Rocque

1766 Rocque

1804 Ordnance Survey Drawing

1819 Denton

1845 Twickenham Tithe Map

1879 Ordnance Survey

1896 Ordnance Survey

1915 Ordnance Survey

1935 Ordnance Survey

1960 Ordnance Survey


1973 Ordnance Survey

2003 Google Earth View

2015 Google Earth View



FIGURES




Site Boundary



0 100 200m
Scale at A4: 1:10,000



Figure 1
Site Location



Legend

- Site Boundary
- Search Radius 1km
- Designated Heritage Assets:**
- Registered Parks and Gardens
- Non-designated Heritage Assets:**
- HER Records Points**
- Monuments
- + Findspots
- ⊙ Thames Archaeological Survey
- Whitton Brook Historic Parish Boundary - MLO101207
- HER Records Polygons**
- Monuments
- Buildings
- Parks
- Archaeological Priority Areas**
- DLO33459 - Crane Valley
- DLO33458 - Strawberry Hill
- DLO33481 - Thames Foreshore and
- DLO33460 - Twickenham and Marble
- DLO33470 - Whitton
- Previous Archaeological Work:**
- HER Events Points
- HER Events Polygons
- Whitton Brook Historic Survey - ELO10979






Figure 2
HER Plot (Data from Greater London HER)

Project Ref: N127000-279991/27995 - Greggs Bakery, Twickenham Figures Mapping GIS/Projects/figure 2.mxd



 Approximate site location





0 150 300 450m
Scale at A4: 1:15,000



Figure 3

1754 Rocque Map of Middlesex



 Approximate site location





0 150 300 450m
Scale at A4: 1:15,000



Figure 4

1766 Rocque Survey of the Cities of London




 Site Boundary



0 25 50 75m
Scale at A4: 1:2,500



Figure 5
1845 Twickenham Tithe Map



 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 6

1866 Ordnance Survey



 Site Boundary





0 25 50 75m
Scale at A4: 1:2,500



Figure 7

1888 Bacon (A – Z of Victorian London)



 Site Boundary




0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 8
1898 Ordnance Survey



 Site Boundary




0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 9

1915 Ordnance Survey



 Site Boundary




0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 10

1934 Ordnance Survey




 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 11
1961 Ordnance Survey



 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 12
1973 Ordnance Survey



 Site Boundary




0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 13
2003 Google Earth View



 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 14
2015 Google Earth View



 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,500



Figure 15

Site as Existing



 Site Boundary



0 10 20 30m
Scale at A4: 1:1,250



Figure 16
Proposed Development



PLATES



Plate 1: Edwin Road entrance viewed North with flour silo's mid distance.



Plate 2: Gould Road entrance looking North with 2 Gould Road West of the gates.



Plate 3: Gould Road administration block viewed from south-west.



Plate 4: Gould Road northern range of administration buildings viewed from the south. Canalized River Crane immediately behind.



Plate 5: Gould Road office parking viewed East to West.



Plate 6: Main processing plant western half viewed North to South.



Plate 7: Main processing building eastern half viewed North to South.



Plate 8: Oven rank along eastern edge of the site viewed from the north-west.



Plate 9: River frontage viewed from the east to west. River crane immediately north of the fence line.



Plate 10: Eastern site boundary viewed North to South with residential properties along Norcutt Road to the East.



Plate 11: Eastern Site boundary viewed South to North.



Plate 12: Edwin Road loading bays viewed from the South.



Plate 13: Northern flour Silo viewed from the North.



rpsgroup.com