


Access


House Type 6 (3B6P) M4(2)

Occurs within Building D





















House Type 8 (3B6P) M4(2)

Occurs within Building C























8.0

Introduction

Context

Design process

Design response

Landscape

Technical design

Access

Appendices

Appendices

8.1 Appendix 1 - Consultation comments record

Pre-application 1 proposal - 12th September 2017

A new residential development that represents an efficient reuse of an existing site in a highly sustainable and well connected location, designed in line with the principles of national, regional and local policy.

The pre-application 1 proposal consisted of:

- 118 homes including 48 three bed houses and 70 apartments
- Mixture of both private and affordable •
- 111 parking spaces including 17 garages
- 133,875 sq ft GIA
- 379 habitable rooms
- Density 107 Units/Ha or 344 Hr/Ha (site area 1.16Ha) •

Preliminary mix:

- 27 x 1 bed apartments
- 39 x 2 bed apartments
- 52 x 3 bed apartments

Our proposals included:

- A series of new homes with a complementary mix of unit sizes and building typologies, including terraced houses
- Apartment buildings to the north, ranging from three to six storeys
- Predominantly dual aspect homes for enhanced outlook and daylighting and to . avoid direct overlooking
- New buildings set away from eastern and western boundaries •
- Dedicated car parking spaces •
- Landscaped amenity spaces including private gardens, terraces and public yard •
- Flat, active green roofs •



Pre-application 1 proposals - artist's sketch



Pre-application sketch - mews street



Pre-application sketch - apartment buildings towards the river



Pre-application sketch - riverfront

Appendices

Appendices

The following pages identify the main themes commented on at the pre-application 1 meeting, subsequent notes and how we have responded.

Comments

Scale and Massing

- . The proposal for a six storey building at the northern end of the site was considered excessive and over-development
- The scheme should avoid impacting on views from: across Crane Valley; on . the small scale surroundings; and on the Hamilton Road Conservation Area





Architectural Approach

- A more organic appearance . was suggested
- The proposed apartment building was considered to step up excessively





Our response


Scale and Massing

- Massing of taller building was reduced from six to five storeys •
- Top floor of townhouses redesigned as a pitched/mansard roof to reflect neighbouring loft conversions and to reduce visual impact from the new street










Architectural Approach

- We reviewed the building forms, roofscapes and materiality to break down the massing from local and distant views and to embed the design in the context
- A variety of different materials give identity to each building while pitched roofs respond successfully to the context and reflect the industrial forms currently found on the • site.
- The diagrams below illustrate the massing evolution and design iterations explored •



Comments


Overlooking and privacy

Overlooking and privacy is to be considered where there is less than 13.5m . between blank wall or bathroom windows and 20m between habitable rooms and existing houses

Our response

Overlooking and privacy

- Townhouse designs mitigate direct overlooking of habitable rooms between the new terraced houses and adjoining properties on Norcutt Road with the introduction of upper level terraces. This facilitates windows for daylight and visual aspect and any proposed windows on the east elevation will be obscure
- The proposed terraced houses that back onto neighbouring properties along Crane Road are in excess of 20m overlooking distance



Edwin Road houses:

- The houses fronting Edwin Road were reduced to two storeys, stepping up behind to three storey houses .
- Floor heights were also reduced to respond to the existing adjacent houses along Edwin Road .
- An alternative option was explored that continued the line of houses along Edwin Road even further in an attempt to activate the street. This was however discounted as the existing condition of ending a row of terraces with a gable wall was more in keeping with the area, as already proposed on the proposed eastern terraces
- Further design evolution to emulate the gable end condition was reviewed for the houses fronting Edwin Road which resulted in a more successful, contemporary response










Edwin Road houses:

• The development should relate to the Victorian terraces of 50 & 52 Edwin Road and not be more than three storeys



Comments

Landscaping

"softened"

Our response

Landscaping

- We explored a series of options to maximise landscaped public realm along the river
- These options included: moving the apartment northwards with the access road to the south; concealing parking within a podium in the apartment building; and moving external parking away from the river edge (illustrated in the diagrams below)
- Option 3 was the preferred option as it maximises the amount of green space, without increasing the impact of the buildings in views from the north

Option 1

Option 2









Parking and cycle


• 114 spaces required, 10% of which should be disabled standard

The current parking edge adjacent to the River Crane needs to be

- The addition of car clubs are encouraged
- 114 cycle spaces would be required to accommodate the development

Parking and cycle

- We reviewed the opportunity to provide additional spaces and were able to achieve 114 spaces on site including 10% accessible
- We enquired with car club providers and proposed accommodating one car club space at the entrance of the site on Edwin Road
- The scheme was updated to provide cycle parking as required by the Draft London Plan 2017
- Garages were increased to accommodate cycles. Other houses were provided with a specific cycle and bin store
- A total of 220 residential cycle spaces were provided



)% accessible on Edwin Road

8.2 Public Exhibition - 3rd & 5th December 2018

A two-day public exhibition was held at the Crane Community Centre (3rd December) and the Twickenham United Reformed Church (5th December). Members of the design team were present to discuss the proposals and answer any questions.

Across both days, 105 residents, stakeholders and interested parties attended. The scheme presented was a mixture of 118 private and affordable houses and apartments, including 52 three bed houses and 66 apartments.

Key themes that were mentioned at the public exhibition and are addressed in the supporting planning documents include:

Land use

Generally, those who attended the exhibition were supportive of the principle of redeveloping the site for residential use. However, some regarded the delivery of 118 homes as too intensive for the site and expressed a desire to see some commercial or light industrial use incorporated into the overall masterplan.

Transport & Access

Generally, those who provided feedback considered this issue to be very important. There were also questions raised around traffic and the impact on the recently implemented Controlled Parking Zone.

Construction management

Generally, feedback highlighted this as a very important issue, particularly with regards to construction vehicle/heavy vehicle movements on local roads.




Consultation underway at Crane Community Centre





Appendices

Consultation underway at Twickenham United Reformed church



Appendices

This page identifies specific design considerations relating to adjoining neighbours and shows how we have addressed these:

Comments


Gould Road residents' privacy and aspect

- Residents who live towards the eastern end of Gould Road were concerned about potentially being overlooked by new residents
- There was also concern over the proximity of the proposed apartment building backing onto their gardens and loss of views, light and overshadowing

Crane Road residents' privacy and aspect

- Some residents who live towards the northern end of Crane Road were concerned about potentially being overlooked by new residents
- There was also concern over the height of the proposed apartment buildings and the impact that would have on their views and aspect

The below diagram outlines the key updates to the proposals following exhibition feedback.



Public exhibition scheme markup with areas to be amended


Our response

Gould Road residents' privacy and aspect

This small apartment building was designed to change the configuration to directly address these concerns.

- The building footprint was moved off the site boundary edge with back-to-back gardens similar to the condition elsewhere in the area
- The massing of the proposals was considerably reduced so that it is now just one storey adjacent to the boundary with accommodation in the first floor roof, losing an entire floor from the previous proposal
- A low pitch ensures no overlooking towards adjoining houses and only views northwards from first floor or towards the sky through the rooflights









Crane Road residents' privacy and aspect

We met with two residents on Crane Road at their homes to better understand their concerns and discuss opportunities to address them.

The following amendments were subsequently made to the proposals to address concerns about overlooking and aspect:

- The saw-tooth roof along the western edge (backing onto Crane road gardens) was removed and the eastern edge reduced
- The size and number of windows overlooking Crane Road gardens from apartment buildings were reduced in size or removed altogether where possible
- The accessible area of roof terraces were set-back from the roof edge to avoid overlooking
- Floor to floor heights were reduced to minimise the . overall building height
- Existing boundary factory walls where on the red-line boundary have been retained where structurally possible to maintain existing condition for neighbours
- Look at improving privacy further by introducing a trellis to boundary walls







Revised south elevation

144

Revised west elevation

8.3 Pre-application 2 - 10th January 2019

The same scheme that was presented at the public exhibition was presented to the London Borough of Richmond upon Thames to ensure feedback was based on the same proposals.

Our proposal included:

• 118 homes with a mix of private and affordable tenures

Density:

- Site Area 1.16 Ha
- Density 102 Units/Ha or 344 Hr/Ha
- London Plan Density Matrix: within Urban area PTAL 2/3 target 55-145 u/ha or 200- 450 hr/ha

Mix:

- 32 x 1 bed (29%)
- 35 x 2 bed (28%)
- 38 x 3 bed (34%)
- 11 x 4 bed (9%)

Additional responses to pre-app 2 were incorporated into the DRP response as this coincided with the receipt of the formal pre-app response.



Visualisation of Edwin Road entrance



Proposed CGI down the mews street

Appendices