

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 22/2204/FUL

Address: St Clare Business Park And7 - 11 Windmill RoadHampton Hill

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 1no. mixed use building between three and five storeys plus basement in height, comprising 98no. residential flats (Class C3) and 1,172sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 1no. three storey building comprising 893sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 14no. residential houses (Class C3); and, associated access, external landscaping and car parking.

Comments Made By

Name: Ms. Kate Smith

Address: 14 Westbank Road Hampton Hill TW12 1QD

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

- Comment:**
1. I note the developers have slightly tweaked their original application which received a substantial number of objections [232] however, I note that the original objections will no longer stand and would ask why given the amendments made are so minor and therefore do not render the initial objections invalid!
 2. In the interest of natural justice the 232 people who made the objections should be written to directly with a summary of the changes made so they can simply copy and paste their original objection into the new application given their original objections will not have been addressed, OR
 3. All the original objections should be automatically carried forward to this latest application if the minor changes made by the developers have not addressed the concerns raised.
 4. I would like to know why the consultation for this latest application [with its minor tweaks] has such a short timescale particularly during the summer holiday period when many people will be away.
 5. LBRUT's own document summarises the objections as below:

Excessive height

Out of character

Over development

Over density

Impact on local infrastructure including health services, schools and public transport Inadequate parking provision

Traffic impact on the area generally and in particular Holly Road and Windmill Road Overlooking and loss of privacy

Loss of daylight and sunlight

Noise and disturbance

Overbearing impact and increased sense of enclosure

Inadequate soft landscaping

Impact on ecological concerns including bats and proximity to Bushy Park Site of Special Scientific Importance

Inadequate affordable housing ratio

Non-compliance with sustainability requirements

Construction impact

Excessive cycle parking provision

6. All the original objections remain valid.

7. Quite simply the development is too big, too high, too dense – the number of dwellings need to be reduced and the height of the development brought down to align with existing properties.