

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 22/2204/FUL

**Address:** St Clare Business Park And7 - 11 Windmill RoadHampton Hill

**Proposal:** Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 1no. mixed use building between three and five storeys plus basement in height, comprising 98no. residential flats (Class C3) and 1,172sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 1no. three storey building comprising 893sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 14no. residential houses (Class C3); and, associated access, external landscaping and car parking.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Ms. Jude Legg

**Address:** 48 Wolsey Road Hampton Hill TW12 1QW

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I don't object to a development of the St Clare Business Park however the revised planning application is still out of proportion and style for the surrounding village of Hampton Hill and still contravenes many local planning policies and guidelines. I object for the following reasons:

The planned development is of excessive height and completely out of character for the area.

The development as planned will have a negative impact on local infrastructure including health services, schools and public transport. There is inadequate parking provision for the proposed number of dwellings/inhabitants and the traffic/parking impact on a densely concentrated area and in particular Holly Road and Windmill Road will be disastrous. The roads all around Holly Road up to Uxbridge Road, Park Road and Hampton Hill High St are already very congested - parking is almost impossible and since Richmond council are expanding double yellow marking and due to travel restrictions on Burtons and Albert Roads we have a recipe for total gridlock.

The grossly high 5 storey block planned will cause overlooking, increased sense of enclosure, loss of privacy and loss of daylight and sunlight to the surrounding homes and streets.

Whilst the revised application plans to increase commercial space it hasn't addressed the points for prior rejection from Richmond council, notably inadequate affordable housing ratio and sustainability requirements and is non compliant with local planning policies LP1, LP2 and LP39. Until the applicant proposes a plan that meet these policies and is more proportionate in scale and design Richmond council must reject it again.