

## Comment on a planning application

### Application Details

**Application:** 22/2204/FUL

**Address:** St Clare Business Park And7 - 11 Windmill RoadHampton Hill

**Proposal:** Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 1no. mixed use building between three and five storeys plus basement in height, comprising 98no. residential flats (Class C3) and 1,172sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 1no. three storey building comprising 893sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 14no. residential houses (Class C3); and, associated access, external landscaping and car parking.

### Comments Made By

**Name:** Miss AMINTA TOWNSHEND

**Address:** 18 Edward Road Hampton Hill TW12 1LG

### Comments

**Type of comment:** Object to the proposal

**Comment:** I strongly object to the plans in their current form for the following reasons:

The density and height of the development is still inappropriate for Hampton Hill 'village'. This site is adjacent to the Conservation Area of Hampton Hill High Street. Any block of five floors is totally out of keeping with the guidelines in the Hampton Hill Village Plan SPD as published by LBRuT in March 2017.

There is a worrying under provision of parking spaces for the amount of commercial and residential units in the design. This suggests vehicles will overspill into neighbouring roads where there are no CPZs, eg. Windmill, Holly and Eastbank Road.

Local roads are already under strain as a result of the effect of local low traffic neighbourhoods, which have displaced traffic on to Windmill Road. Windmill road will be the main point of entry for the St Clare's development, which is concerning given there is a primary school on the street - an increase in traffic in this road needs very careful management.

Impact on local infrastructure including health services, schools and public transport will be considerable and there is no plan in place to mitigate the impact.

Since the last application came to planning the only change has been to REDUCE car parking and to INCREASE the number of commercial units.

I support the redevelopment of this site to build affordable houses, however I object to the current proposals.

This would be over development for commercial purposes in its most naked form.