



Stag Brewery, Temporary Application for Filming

Arboricultural Survey Report and Impact Assessment

July 2022

Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited

Merchants House, Wapping Road, Bristol BS1 4RW, United Kingdom
www.watermangroup.com

Client Name: Reselton Properties Limited
Document Reference: WIE18671-113-R-12-3-2-ASR&IA
Project Number: WIE18671-113

Quality Assurance – Approval Status

This document has been prepared and checked in accordance with Waterman Group's IMS (BS EN ISO 9001: 2008, BS EN ISO 14001: 2004 and BS OHSAS 18001:2007)

Issue	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
First	April 2020	Richard Harris MArborA Principal Consultant	Robyn Ablitt MArborA Senior Consultant	Steve Brindle MIEMA CEnv Associate Director
Second	April 2020	Richard Harris MArborA Principal Consultant	Robyn Ablitt MArborA Senior Consultant	Steve Brindle MIEMA CEnv Associate Director
				
Third	May 2022	Ellen Smith Principal Consultant	Ellen Smith Principal Consultant	Steve Brindle MIEMA CEnv Associate Director
	June 2022	James Malone Consultant	Ellen Smith Principal Consultant	 Steve Brindle MIEMA CEnv Associate Director
	July 2022	Ellen Smith Principal Consultant	Ellen Smith Principal Consultant	 Steve Brindle MIEMA CEnv Associate Director
				

Comments

Third Revised for renewal of temporary use application
 July 2022: Update Figure 2 with revised external filming use area



Disclaimer

This report has been prepared by Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited, with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporation of our General Terms and Condition of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to us by agreement with the client.

We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.

This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at its own risk.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Tree Survey Methodology	3
3. Fieldwork Observations	7
4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment	9
5. Summary	14

Tables

Table 1: Category Grading of Trees and Groups within the Survey Area	7
---	---

Drawings

Drawing 1: Baseline Tree Survey (ref. 18671-113-WIE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-7702-P02)	15
--	----


Appendices

- A. Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment (extract from BS5837:2012)
- B. Tree Survey Table
- C. Extract from BS5837:2012 – Examples of Above-Ground Stabilizing Systems
- D. Example Specification for Tree Protection Barrier.
- E. Tree Protection Signage (Example)

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Ltd (Waterman IE) was commissioned by Reselton Properties Ltd to produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) for the former Stag Brewery Site in Mortlake ('the Site') within the London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames ('LBRuT').
- 1.2. This AIA has been prepared to support the update and renewal of the approved temporary use planning application (planning application 19/3870/FUL) for film production operations and ancillary activities within the Site (hereafter referred to as the 'Development'), which seeks to extend the existing two year permission by a further five years. There are no changes to the existing operating conditions other than the incorporation of the Sports Pavilion (Building 14) and an external film set created in the space adjacent to the Maltings. All buildings are being applied for use, with full details provided at this stage for Buildings 11, 12, 14 and 15 (with the other buildings only to be used following the submission of further details via condition). For full details of the proposed operations and activities as part of the Development please refer to the Environmental Assessment Report submitted with the planning application.

Figure 1: Planning Application Boundary



2. Tree Survey Methodology

- 2.1. The arboricultural survey is based upon existing topographical information relating to the Site provided by APR services (Job no. 915213, dated July 2015 and 916061, dated February 2017) and was otherwise conducted in accordance with the principles outlined within BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations¹ (BS5837) (refer to **Appendix A**).
- 2.2. Fieldwork was undertaken on the Site and immediately adjacent areas (the 'Survey Area') in December 2021. The tree survey methodology followed the recommendations set out in BS5837.
- 2.3. The tree survey fieldwork was undertaken in support of several linked planning applications that pertain to an area wider than that covered by this report.
- 2.4. The survey involved collecting the following information on all trees (both on and off-site) with a stem diameter over 75mm.

Tree Numbers

- 2.5. Individual trees surveyed were given the prefix 'T'. Trees have been grouped where they form cohesive aerodynamic (i.e. companion shelter), visual (i.e. screening) or cultural (i.e. parkland) arboreal features of similar quality, as identified by the prefix 'G'. Hedges and Woodland groups were given the prefixes 'H' and 'W' respectively.

Species

- 2.6. Species are listed by their common and Latin names, both in the schedule and in the report text.

Height

- 2.7. Tree heights are approximate and estimated in metres.

Stem Diameter

- 2.8. The stem diameter of single stemmed trees is measured at 1.5m above ground level and given in millimetres. The diameter measurement of multi-stemmed trees is taken as a combined measurement of all the major stems. Where stems fork or swell the measurement is taken at the narrowest point below the fork or swelling. Where access to the trunk of a tree is not available, an estimation of the stem diameter is made and identified by '**' on the accompanying tree survey table.

Crown Spread

- 2.9. Radial crown spread is measured in metres to the nearest decimal (rounded up). These are recorded for each of the four cardinal points where access allows. Where access is not available the spread is estimated and identified by '**' on the accompanying tree survey table. The canopy shape for surveyed trees depicted on the accompanying plans accurately represents the canopy spread as measured on Site.

¹ BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations, 2012, British Standards Institution.

Height of Crown Clearance and Canopy

- 2.10. The height of crown clearance is the height above ground in metres of the first significant branch and the direction of growth. The height of canopy is the height above ground in metres of the main canopy. These are measured to the nearest decimal point (rounded up) for dimensions up to 10m and the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.

Age Class

- 2.11. The age of each tree is defined as follows:

- Young (Y): Within the first 1/4 of useful life expectancy.
- Semi-mature (SM): Within the second 1/4 of useful life expectancy.
- Early Mature (EM): Within the third 1/4 of useful life expectancy.
- Mature (M): Within the fourth 1/4 of useful life expectancy.
- Over Mature (OM): Tree has exceeded normal life expectancy.
- Veteran (V): Tree displaying veteran characteristics 2.

Physiological and Structural Condition

- 2.12. The physiological or structural condition of each tree group is described, highlighting specific features. The survey involved ground level examination of the external features of the trees. The structural condition for each tree is described as being Good, Fair or Poor and the physiological condition is described as Good, Fair, Poor, Moribund or Dead.
- 2.13. Where appropriate, notes on the structural integrity are provided on form, taper, forking habit, storm damage, decay, fungi, pests, etc. Where identified, signs of substantial defects or debility have been recorded. Where access to a tree was not possible, an estimation of physiological and structural condition has been made.

Estimated Remaining Contribution (ERC) in Years

- 2.14. The Estimated Remaining Contribution (ERC) for each tree is based on species and existing physiological and structural condition of the tree. The ERC may affect proposed development layout because the longer the tree is likely to live, the greater the contribution it will make and the greater the need for retention.

Category Grading

- 2.15. Each individual tree was given a Category Grading in accordance with BS5837: 2012 to reflect the overall quality and value. The Category Gradings are defined according to the following criteria, which are further divided into sub-categories based on arboriculture, landscape and/or historic value, as defined within BS5837:2012, contained at **Appendix A**:
- **Category Grading A:** Trees of high quality and value, (with a suggested remaining life expectancy exceeding 40 years);
 - **Category Grading B:** Trees of moderate quality and value, (with a suggested remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years);

² <http://www.ancienttreeforum.co.uk/ancient-trees/what-are-ancient-veteran-trees/>

- **Category Grading C:** Trees of low quality and value, (with a suggested remaining life expectancy exceeding 10 years or young / immature trees which may have the potential to attract a higher Grade as they mature); and
- **Category Grading U:** Trees which are in such a condition that they are unsuitable for retention in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.

Preliminary Management Recommendations

- 2.16. Any recommendations made for management of the trees (for example, tree surgery) prior to development are not a 'specification' for tree work. These recommendations are proposed on the basis that they are undertaken by a qualified arboricultural contractor, such as those listed in the Arboricultural Association's Approved Contractors Directory (www.trees.org.uk). Any work undertaken by the contractor should be in accordance with best practice, such as the European Tree Pruning Guide³, or required by BS3998: 2010 'Tree work – recommendations'⁴.
- 2.17. Where management recommendations are made, they are accompanied by a recommended timeframe in which they should be undertaken.

Limitations

- 2.18. All trees were visually inspected from ground level with no climbing, boring or sampling undertaken. All measurements are metric and where qualified, approximate. The comments made were based on the conditions and observable factors present at the time of inspection, including weather, seasonality and access.
- 2.19. This report is intended to assist with the planning and management of proposed site operations.
- 2.20. The Arboricultural Survey and this report does not constitute a tree risk assessment. This report is not intended to confirm the safety, (or otherwise) of surveyed trees or tree groups. References to defects or potential safety issues are not exhaustive and are intended as a guide only to inform the provision of further resources / more detailed investigations. The person(s) responsible for the management of the trees surveyed within this report are recommended to commission a separate tree condition survey by a suitably qualified and experienced person to manage the Health and Safety aspects of trees under their control and discharge their reasonable Duty of Care under the 'Duty of Care' owed under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1984⁵.

Un-assessable Risks

- 2.21. Owing to the changing nature of trees and other Site circumstances, this report and any recommendations made remains valid for a period of 18 months between authorisation of this report and commencement of the Works. Any alteration to the Site or development proposals could change the current circumstances and may invalidate this report and any recommendations made. An updated survey would therefore be required.
- 2.22. Unless otherwise stated, trees should be inspected regularly to satisfy the 'Duty of Care' owed under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1984, or directly proceeding heavy storms (i.e. force 6-7 and above on the Beaufort scale). It is recommended that advice from an ecologist is sought prior to carrying out any works to trees, in order to ensure these are carried out in accordance with (in

³ European Tree Pruning Guide, 2001, Arboricultural Association

⁴ BS3998:2010 'Tree work - recommendations', 2010, BSI

⁵ HMSO (1984); 'Occupiers' Liability Acts 1957 and 1984'. HMSO.

particular) the protection afforded to wild birds and bats under The Wildlife and Countryside Act⁶ and The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations⁷.

Root Protection Area

- 2.23. The Root Protection Area (RPA) defines the approximate underground area occupied by the tree roots based on a calculation relating to the girth of the tree, point above ground at which the trunk begins to branch out and the number of stems. BS5837 outlines the calculation of RPA as follows:

$$\text{RPA(m}^2\text{)} = \left(\frac{\text{stem diameter (mm) @ 1.5 m} \times 12}{1\,000} \right)^2 \times \pi \text{ (3.142)}$$

- 2.24. Trees with more than one stem below 1.5m above ground level are given an aggregate stem diameter using either of the following two calculations as outlined in BS5837. This diameter is then used in the above calculation to estimate RPA:

- a) For trees with two to five stems:

$$\sqrt{(\text{stem diameter } 1)^2 + (\text{stem diameter } 2)^2 \dots + (\text{stem diameter } 5)^2}$$

- b) For trees with more than five stems:

$$\sqrt{(\text{mean stem diameter})^2 \times \text{number of stems}}$$

- 2.25. The RPA of existing tree stock is an important material consideration when considering site constraints and planning development activities.
- 2.26. Construction activities, materials storage or changes in level should generally be avoided within the RPA of a tree to be retained. This is because these operations have the potential to damage or kill the tree, the safe retention of which may be a condition of planning permission. This is significant when considering construction in proximity to off-Site / third party land. Special construction techniques, i.e. no-dig construction / permeable surfacing may be considered for light loadings, e.g. pedestrian footpaths etc., within the RPA.
- 2.27. The RPA often varies in size to the physical area occupied by the canopy spread (due to particular tree species or management practices to artificially alter the canopy size). This is of particular importance when integrating new development in proximity of existing trees. Similarly, the canopy heights (as identified in the schedule of existing trees in **Appendix B**) should be considered as the usable space below a low branching tree, which will be severely restricted without specific arboricultural works to raise the canopy (which may not always be appropriate).
- 2.28. It should also be noted that BS5837 states that although RPAs should be plotted as a circle centred on the base of the stem, pre-existing site conditions or other factors may indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, and so RPAs may instead be represented as a polygon of equivalent area.

⁶ The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), OPSI

⁷ The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, OPSI

3. Fieldwork Observations

- 3.1. A total of 149 individual trees and 3 groups of trees were recorded within the Survey Area, with those within and adjacent to the Site shown in **Drawing 1**. The category grading of each feature is detailed within **Table 1**.

Table 1: Category Grading of Trees and Groups within the Survey Area

Category	Quantity	Description
A	22	T3, T29, T48, T49, T50, T51, T52, T53, T54, T55, T56, T57, T64, T74, T75, T77, T78, T82, T106, T107, T155, T321
B	56	T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T14, T15, T25, T26, T27, T31, T34, G58 ⁸ , T59, T84, T85, T86, T88, T89, T90, T93, T94, T95, T97, T98, T99, T100, T133, T134, T136, T137, T140, T145, T149, T154, T157, T163, T165, T166, T312-319, T320, T327, T329, T330, T331, T340
C	58	T2, T12, T16, T17, T19, T20, T24, T30, T33, T37, T38, T39, T40, T41, G42, T43, T44, T45, T46, T47, T60, T61, T62, T67, T70, T71, T73, T79, T81, T83, T96, T120, T144, T152, T158, G162, T171, T172, T300, T303, T304, T305, T306, T309, T310, T322, T323, T324, T325, T326, T332, T333, T334, T335, T336, T337, T338, T339
U	16	T22, T28, T32, T35, T68, T72, T76, T121, T139, T142, T143, T302, T307, T308, T311, T328

- 3.2. Trees present within the Site are located both adjacent to its boundaries and within the internal areas of the Site (**Photographs 1 and 2**).



Photograph 1 (T67-T82)

⁸ G58 has been awarded a category B overall due to its value as a landscape feature (as prescribed in BS5837), however on Drawing 1 the individual trees within the group are identified as being within different categories in order to identify any trees whose individual merit may be lower.



Photograph 2 (T8-T11)


- 3.3. The majority of trees surveyed are broadly similar in age and are considered likely to date from the construction of the brewing facilities (circa late 19th and early 20th centuries).
- 3.4. Further details relating to the existing tree stock on or adjacent to the Site can be found in **Appendix B** and on **Drawing 1**.

4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Proposals

- 4.1. The Applicant is submitting a full planning application for the temporary use of the existing buildings and land for a period of 5 years for film production operations, the erection of external film sets, and ancillary activities and the associated use of the existing on-site parking spaces with access from Lower Richmond Road and Ship Lane. The Walt Disney Picture Company and The Story Company (TSC) Entertainment (ATB) Ltd (hereafter referred to as 'The Operator') are the proposed tenant and plan to use the Site. For The Operator, the temporary film production operations and ancillary activities would be limited to Buildings 11, 12, 14, with external filming in the open space adjacent to the Maltings (Building 9) and yard areas in the west of the Site for parking (**Figure 2**). The East Gatehouse (Building 15) would be used for security purposes.

Figure 2: Existing Buildings and Structures within the Site



Proposed Operations and Activities

- 4.2. The following describes the operations, erection of external film sets and activities associated with the proposed temporary use of the Site by The Operator:
- Other than the external area adjacent to the Maltings building which would include the erection of external film sets, filming would occur within the existing buildings within the Site.
 - For The Operator's filming activities, the Bottling Plant / Packaging Building (refer to Building 12 of **Figure 2**) would be used as 'closed set'. The Sports Pavilion Building (refer to Building 14 of **Figure 2**) would be used intermittently for set location filming. Ancillary offices will be located within the Former Engineers Store / Former Bottling Hall (refer to Building 11 of **Figure 2**);
 - No pyrotechnics or other noise or light generating effects are anticipated to be visible or audible outside the existing buildings;
 - No modifications to the existing buildings would be required;
 - No breaking of ground or vegetation clearance is required;
 - Vehicles will be parked in existing yard areas;
 - Operational hours, including servicing and deliveries, would be limited to between 06:00 - 21:00 Monday to Friday and 08:00 – 16:00 Saturday and Sunday, including Bank Holidays, 7 days per week;
 - No overnight sleeping accommodation would be required, although security attendance on Site would be 24 hours, 7 days a week;
 - Access and egress would be via the existing site access points, with car parking accessed through a gate adjacent to the East Gatehouse (refer to Building 15 of **Figure 2**), to the south of the Site off Lower Richmond Road. The intensity of vehicular movements on and off Site will vary;
 - No power generators would be required with all power supplied from the mains grid;
 - Waste would be stored in a designated waste disposal area on Site; and
 - No chemicals/paints, fuel or oil are anticipated to be stored on the Site.

Arboricultural Impacts

- 4.3. The erection of an external film set and the proposed filming use of the Site will not have any greater impact on the existing trees than those caused by the historical use of the Site as the existing roads, footpaths and areas of hardstanding will be used for vehicle and pedestrian movements and all other activities are assumed to be within existing buildings. No vehicle or pedestrian movements will be through the RPAs of trees where they are not protected by existing hard surfaces.

Site Monitoring

- 4.4. A retained Arboricultural Consultant will be appointed for the duration of the Site's use as a temporary filming location. Their purpose will be to ensure that adequate tree protection measures have been installed where needed. An initial visit will be held between the retained arboricultural consultant and a representative of the site occupiers within one month of the planning permission

being granted, and prior to any new tenants taking occupancy. The frequency of any subsequent visits will be dependent on the proximity of any proposed works to the trees.

- 4.5. A written record of all Site meetings and supervisory visits will be produced by the retained Arboricultural Consultant and will include details of any required tree protection specifications. This record will be issued to the current occupier of the Site as a point of reference and a representative of the London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames.

Construction Exclusion Zone

- 4.6. Although it is not anticipated that specific tree protection measures will be required, if the range of proposed operations change, works may be required in proximity to existing trees, and therefore tree protection will be required to prevent unauthorised access within the RPAs. The factors which most commonly result in below ground damage affecting oxygen diffusion (and therefore must be avoided) include:
- Compaction of the ground;
 - Any change in soil levels (even if temporary), including ground excavation and soil stripping;
 - Covering the root zone with impervious surfaces;
 - Changes in the water table level or ground saturation; and
 - Damage by the direct toxicity of phytotoxic materials, dust and runoff.
- 4.7. In addition to the precautions set out below, the following general precautions will also be followed within the RPAs or close to the canopies of the trees:
- No excavations, including by hand;
 - No storage of machinery;
 - No storage or handling of materials, fuel, chemicals or spoil;
 - No fires;
 - No vehicular access;
 - No pedestrian access;
 - No alteration, increase or decrease, to existing ground levels; and
 - No excavation or installation of services.
- 4.8. If deemed necessary, a **Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ)** will be established around these trees. This will be secured by means of temporary protective fencing with weatherproof signage (see **Appendix E** for an example) or adequate ground protection.

Tree Protection Barriers

- 4.9. Following the principles set out in section 6.2.2 of BS5837:2012, the tree protection barriers should be “*fit for the purpose of excluding construction activity and appropriate to the degree and proximity of the work taking place around the retained trees*”. The exact nature of these barriers will be dependent on the nature and proximity of the proposed operations in relation to the existing trees and other Site factors (such as existing surface treatments). An example of suitable fencing would



be 2m tall braced weldmesh panels secured on rubber or concrete feet (as shown in **Appendix C**) or 4' high chestnut paling (as shown in **Appendix D**).

- 4.10. All-weather notices should be secured to the barrier, examples of which are contained in **Appendix E**.



5. Summary

- 5.1. The Site and Survey Area included trees of mostly semi-mature to early-mature age; a number of which are subject to an Area Tree Preservation Order or located within the Mortlake Conservation Area. Trees are largely located along the boundaries of the Site or in the middle of the Site, open green space or the River Thames towpath. The tree survey comprised a total of 149 individual trees and 3 groups of trees which are listed in the schedule of existing trees within **Appendix B** and of those relevant to the Site shown on **Drawing 1**. The trees on Site are mostly similar in age, likely dating to the current 20th century brewery development. A summary of the trees surveyed, and their Category Grading are described in **Table 1**.
- 5.2. It is not anticipated that the proposed temporary use of the Site as a filming location will have any impact on the health or structural integrity of the existing trees if the precautions set out in Section 4 of this report are followed.



DRAWINGS

Drawing 1: Baseline Tree Survey (ref. 18671-113-WIE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-7702-P02)



	CATEGORY GRADE A Trees of high quality
	CATEGORY GRADE B Trees of moderate quality
	CATEGORY GRADE C Trees of low quality
	CATEGORY GRADE U Trees unsuitable for retention
	ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPA)
	TREES PREVIOUSLY SURVEYED BUT NO LONGER LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED BY THE PROPOSED SCHEME
	INDICATIVE EXTENT OF GROUPED FEATURE
	SITE BOUNDARY
	TREES OUTSIDE OF SURVEY AREA

NOTES:

ROOT PROTECTION AREA
 Root Protection Areas are calculated in accordance with BS5837:2012. The precise morphology and disposition of roots may not be fully reflected by these areas, particularly where there are hard standings, however they provide a good indication of potential root constraint.

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH WATERMAN ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY REPORT AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This drawing should not be scaled. Dimensions to be verified on site. Any discrepancies should be referred to the Engineer prior to work being put in hand.
 This drawing is the property of Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited, and the drawing is issued on the condition that it is not copied, reproduced, related or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the consent in writing of Waterman Infrastructure & Environment Limited
 Pickfords Wharf, Clink Street, London SE1 9DG 1 020 7928 7888 f 03333 444 501

GENERAL NOTES

1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEER'S, ARCHITECT'S OR OTHER RELEVANT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
2. ALL DIMENSIONS AND LEVELS ARE TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO PREPARING ANY WORKING DRAWINGS OR COMMENCING ON SITE.
3. THE CONTRACTOR MUST ENSURE AND WILL BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OVERALL STABILITY OF THE BUILDING/STRUCTURE/EXCAVATION AT ALL STAGES OF THE WORK.
4. ALL WORK BY THE CONTRACTOR MUST BE CARRIED OUT IN SUCH A WAY THAT ALL REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE HEALTH AND SAFETY AT WORK ACT ARE SATISFIED.
5. ALL WORK IS TO BE CARRIED OUT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE RELEVANT STATUTORY AUTHORITIES AND REGULATIONS.

PO2	25.05.22	UPDATED SITE BOUNDARY	MC
PO1	18.01.22	PLANNING ISSUE	DC
Rev	Date	Description	By

Amendments			
Project	STAG BREWERY		
Title	BASELINE TREE SURVEY		
Client	RESELTON PROPERTIES LIMITED		

Pickfords Wharf Clink Street London SE1 9DG
 1 020 7928 7888
 mail@watermangroup.com www.watermangroup.com

Drawing Status				
PLANNING				
Designed by	RH	Checked by	RH	Project No
Drawn by	DC	Date	JANUARY 2022	WIE18671
Scales @ A1				113
work to figured dimensions only				1:500
Publisher	Zone	Category	Number	Revision
WIE	ZZ	XX	7702	P02



APPENDICES

A. Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment (extract from BS5837:2012)

TREES FOR REMOVAL				
Category and Definition	Criteria			Identification on Plan
<p>Category U</p> <p>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category trees (i.e. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning); Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline; and Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality. <p>NOTE: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve.</p>			DARK RED
TREES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RETENTION				
Category and Definition	Criteria - Subcategories			Identification on Plan
	1 Mainly Arboricultural Values	2 Mainly Landscape Values	3 Mainly Cultural Values, including Conservation	
<p>Category A</p> <p>Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy minimum of at least 40 years.</p>	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and / or principal trees within an avenue).	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and / or landscape features.	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture).	LIGHT GREEN
<p>Category B</p> <p>Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.</p>	Trees that might be included in category A but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation.	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.	MID BLUE
<p>Category C</p> <p>Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.</p>	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary / transient landscape benefits.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.	GREY

Appendices



B. Tree Survey Table

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T2	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	7m	2 stems @ 230mm 190mm 220mm	NE2m SE5m SW5m NW5m	2m	2m SW	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Boundary tree; of some boundary screening value; multi-stemmed from base; unbalanced crown biased to the S due to previous pruning; hard surfacing within RPA.	C (1)	5.2m	86.1m ²
T3	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	830mm	N8m E8m S10m W10m	1.5m	4m NW	M	Good	Good	40+	Large buttress roots; hard surfacing within RPA; twin-stemmed from 3m; broad dominant crown with no significant defects.	A (1)	10.0m	311.7m ²
T4	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	10m	510mm	NE3m SE8m SW9m NW7m	2.5m	2m SW	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Boundary tree; large buttress roots; hard surfacing within RPA; unbalanced crown biased to the S due to previous pruning; bark wounds in crown.	B (1)	6.1m	117.7m ²
T5	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	560mm	N7m E8m S7m W9m	2m	2m S	SM	Good	Good	40+	Large buttress roots; hard surfacing within RPA; part of group.	B (1)	6.7m	141.9m ²
T6	Fastigate hornbeam (<i>Carpinus betulus 'Fastigiata'</i>)	10m	430mm	N5m E4m S4m W5m	3.5m	2m NE	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group; hard surfacing within RPA; minor deadwood.	B (1)	5.2m	83.6m ²
T7	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	540mm	N7m E7m S7m W10m	2.5m	4m E	SM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group; trunk leans slightly to E; hard surfacing within RPA.	B (1)	6.5m	131.9m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T8	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	8m	420mm	N8m E8m S7m W2m	2m	2.5m S	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; hard surfacing within RPA; large buttress roots, with wounding.	B (2)	5.0m	79.8m ²
T9	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	440mm	N9m E4m S7m W3m	2.5m	4m W	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; hard surfacing within RPA; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	B (2)	5.3m	87.6m ²
T10	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	470mm	N9m E4m S7m W4m	3m	4m E	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; hard surfacing within RPA; trunk leans slightly to W; multiple pruning wounds on trunk now occluded.	B (2)	5.6m	99.9m ²
T11	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	440mm	N8mE4m S7mW7 m	2m	4m S	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; hard surfacing within RPA.	B(1)	5.3m	87.6m ²
T12	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	6m	260mm	N5m E2.5m S4m W3m	2m	1m NE	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Large buttress roots, with wounding; small suppressed tree; of low value; of limited potential.	C (1)	3.1m	30.6m ²
T14	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	450mm	N9m E8m S8m W4m	2m	3m	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; broad dominant crown of trees in group; crown has been previously topped at 3m; multi-stemmed from 3m.	B (2)	5.4m	91.6m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T15	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	13m	320mm	N7m E3m S6m W3m	2.5m	2.5m SE	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; temporary building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure.	B (2)	3.8m	46.3m ²
T16	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	8m	220mm 260mm	N4m E3m S5.5m W3.5m	2m	2m W	SM	Fair	Fair	40+	Part of group; twin-stemmed from 0.5m; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	C (2)	4.1m	52.5m ²
T17	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	8m	310mm	N4m E4.5m S4.5m W4m	2m	2m S	SM	Fair	Poor	10+	Branches in contact with adjacent structure; bark wound with exposed heartwood; of low value.	C (1)	3.7m	43.5m ²
T19	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	7m	280mm	NE3.5m SE4.5m SW4m NW5m	2.5m	2.5m S	SM	Good		40+	Boundary tree; of low level screening value only.	C (1)	3.4m	35.5m ²
T20	Wild cherry (<i>Prunus avium</i>)	10m	320mm	NE6m SE4m SW5m NW6m	1.5m	3m W	EM	Good	Good	20+	Boundary tree; low hanging branches.	C (1)	3.8m	46.3m ²
T22	Whitebeam (<i>Sorbus aria</i>)	6m	130mm	N3m E4m S3m W2m	1m	2.5m SE	SM		Poor	<10	Small, recently planted tree.	U	1.6m	7.6m ²
T24	Wild cherry (<i>Prunus avium</i>)	10m	310mm	N6mE5m S5.5mW 6m	1m	2m	EM	Good	Fair	20+	Surface roots with damage on upper sides; multi-stemmed from 2.5m.	C(1)	3.7m	43.5m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T25	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	630mm	N6.5m E9m S10m W7.5m	1m	3m S	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group; building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure; lower branches pruned clear of building.	B (1)	7.6m	179.6m ²
T26	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	13m	480mm	N6.5m E8m S5m W8m	1.5m	3m S	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; hard surfacing within RPA; crown has been previously topped at 5m above ground level.	B (1)	5.8m	104.2m ²
T27	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	510mm	N5m E8m S5m W6.5m	3m	5m S	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; large buttress roots; trunk leans slightly to E; crown has been previously topped at 5m above ground level; multiple pruning wounds on trunk now occluded.	B (1)	6.1m	117.7m ²
T28	Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	20m	350mm	N7m E1m S6m W7m	5m	4m N	SM	Fair	Fair	<10	Dieback at branch ends; one-sided crown as suppressed by adjacent tree; suspected early ash dieback disease.	U	4.2m	55.4m ²
T29	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	20m	740mm	N9.5m E11m S9.5m W10.5m	1.5m	4m SW	M	Good	Good	40+	Grows in low planter; hard surfacing within RPA; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; large buttress roots; broad dominant crown.	A (1)	8.9m	247.7m ²
T30	Whitebeam (<i>Sorbus aria</i>)	6m	220mm	N1m E2m S4m W2m	2m	4m S	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	One-sided crown as suppressed by adjacent tree; of low value.	C (1)	2.6m	21.9m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T31	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	11m	440mm	N6.5m E6m S6m W5.5m	2.5m	3m N	SM	Good	Good	40+	Hard surfacing within RPA; building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure; of good form.	B (1)	5.3m	87.6m ²
T32	Swedish whitebeam (<i>Sorbus intermedia</i>)	6m	290mm	N3.5m E3.5m S3.5m W2.5m	3m	2m N	SM	Poor	Fair	<10	Suppressed as overtopped by adjacent tree; of low value.	U	3.5m	38.0m ²
T33	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	9m	210mm	N4mE5m S3mW3m	2m	3m NE	Y	Fair	Fair	40+	Hard surfacing within RPA; trunk leans heavily to E.	C(1)	2.5m	20.0m ²
T34	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	10m	280mm	N5m E5m S4m W4m	2m	3m N	Y	Good	Good	40+	Hard surfacing within RPA; of good form.	B (1)	3.4m	35.5m ²
T35	Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	8m	185mm	N1m E2m S2m W2m	5m	3m S	Y	Poor	Poor	<10	Hard surfacing within RPA; large buttress roots, with wounding; sparse leaf coverage; suppressed; of low value.	U	2.2m	15.5m ²
T37	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	15m	500mm est	N7m E7m S7m W7m	3m	4m S	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Boundary tree; twin-stemmed from 2m; tight compression forks; dense vegetation limits survey; some dimensions estimated.	C (1)	6.0m	113.1m ²
T38	Apple (<i>Malus sp.</i>)	6m					SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Suppressed as overtopped by adjacent tree; of low value; dense vegetation limits survey.	C (1)		

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T39	Elder (<i>Sambucus nigra</i>)	8m	200mm est	N3m E2m S2m W4m	3m	2.5m	EM	Fair	Fair	10+	Dense vegetation limits survey; all dimensions estimated; of low value.	C (1)	2.4m	18.1m ²
T40	Holly (<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>)	7m	210mm est	N2m E3m S3m W2.5m	2m	2.5m	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Dense vegetation limits survey; all dimensions estimated; one-sided crown as suppressed by adjacent tree.	C (1)	2.5m	20.0m ²
T41	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	4 stems @ 200mm est	N5m E6m S7m W6m	2m	1m	M	Good	Poor	10+	Multi-stemmed coppice.	C (1)	4.8m	72.4m ²
G42	Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>) and Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	18m	Avg 400mm				EM	Good	Fair	20+	Drawn-up, mutually suppressed trees; ash showing signs of ash dieback disease; dense vegetation limits survey.	C (2)	4.8m	72.4m ²
T43	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	16m	760mm	N4m E4m S3m W1m	7m	7m NE	M	Fair	Poor	20+	Crown has been previously topped; of some boundary screening value.	C (1)	9.1m	261.3m ²
T44	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	16m	400mm est 600mm est	N1m E5m S4m W5m	10m	10m N	M	Fair	Fair	40+	Crown has been previously topped; of some boundary screening value; some dimensions estimated; twin-stemmed from 1m; tight compression fork with included bark.	C(1)	8.7m	235.2m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T45	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	16m	200mm est 450mm est	N2m E5m S4m W4m	6m	6m E	M	Fair	Fair	20+	Crown has been previously topped; some dimensions estimated; dense vegetation limits survey; twin stemmed from base; of some boundary screening value.	C (1)	5.9m	109.7m ²
T46	Holly (<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>)	11m	300mm est	N2m E3m S3m W2m	2.5m	4m	EM	Good	Good	20+	Some dimensions estimated; of some boundary screening value.	C (1)	3.6m	40.7m ²
T47	Holly (<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>)	5m	2 stems @ 190mm	N3m E4m S4m W3m	2.5m	4m	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Small suppressed tree; twin stemmed from base.	C (1)	3.2m	32.7m ²
T48	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	620mm	N7m E7m S3.5m W7m	3m	6m E	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Of high amenity value; part of linear boundary group; bark wound on trunk; hard surfacing within RPA; unbalanced crown biased to the N; building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure.	A (2)	7.4m	173.9m ²
T49	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	580mm	N6m E7m S5.5m W8.5m	4m	3m E	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; crown has been previously topped at 3m; hard surfacing within RPA; large buttress roots.	A (2)	7.0m	152.2m ²
T50	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	390mm	N4m E5m S4.5m W8m	3m	5m SW	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear boundary group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; hard surfacing within RPA; bark wound on trunk; of high amenity value.	A (2)	4.7m	68.8m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T51	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	17m	640mm	N9m E8m S4.5m W6m	5m	4m NE	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; hard surfacing within RPA; western side of crown previously reduced; large buttress roots.	A (2)	7.7m	185.3m ²
T52	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	600mm	N5.5m E8m S3.5m W4m	5m	3m E	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; hard surfacing within RPA; western side of canopy previously reduced; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	A (2)	7.2m	162.9m ²
T53	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	480mm	N2.5mE8 mS2.5m W4m	5m	3m S	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; western side of canopy previously reduced; unbalanced crown biased to the E; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; hard surfacing within RPA.	A(2)	5.8m	104.2m ²
T54	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	18m	590mm	N3m E8m S6.5m W6.5m	7m	4m E	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; lower branches on western side of crown previously reduced; hard surfacing within RPA; of high amenity value; building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	A (2)	7.1m	157.5m ²
T55	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	18m	630mm	N7m E7m S5.5m W8m	6m	6m E	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; building below crown; branches in contact with adjacent structure; twin-stemmed from 4m; hard surfacing within RPA.	A (2)	7.6m	179.6m ²
T56	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	17m	510mm	N3.5m E8m S8m W7.5m	3.5m	2.5m N	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of linear boundary group; three-stemmed from 2.5m; hard surfacing within RPA; underground services within 1m of base; crown shape	A (2)	6.1m	117.7m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
											distorted due to group pressure; temporary building below crown.			
T57	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	15m	650mm	N7.5m E8m S8m W7.5m	3m	4m NE	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear boundary group; of high amenity value; temporary building below crown; large buttress roots; hard surfacing within RPA.	A (2)	7.8m	191.1m ²
G58	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>) Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>) Goat Willow (<i>Salix caprea</i>)	Max 15m	Various	N/A			SM	Good	Good	40+	Group of trees growing on riverbank; some deadwood and stubs; category grading based on overall group merit, but group shown as smaller groups and individual trees on Constraint's plan in order to identify trees of lower quality within group. Individual RPAs also plotted	B2	Various	
T59	English oak (<i>Quercus robur</i>)	9m	210mm	NE3m SE3m SW3m NW2m	3m	2.5m S	SM	Good	Good	40+	Crown shape distorted due to proximity of adjacent structure.	B (1)	2.5m	20.0m ²
T60	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoide s</i>)	7m	120mm	NE3m SE3m SW3m NW2m	3m	2m S	Y	Fair	Fair	20+	Crown shape distorted due to proximity of adjacent structure.	C (1)	1.4m	6.5m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T61	Himalayan birch (<i>Betula utilis</i>)	12m	240mm	N4m E5m S4.5m W3m	1.8m	2m E	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Roadside tree growing in raised planter within pavement; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	C (1)	2.9m	26.1m ²
T62	Himalayan birch (<i>Betula utilis</i>)	9m	230mm @1.25m	N3m E4m S4m W3m	0.5m	1.4m	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Grows in raised planter in pavement; low hanging branches.	C (1)	2.8m	23.9m ²
T64	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	400mm	N7.5mE6m S6.5m W6m	1.5m	2.5m W	SM	Good	Good	40+	Of good form.	A(1)	4.8m	72.4m ²
T67	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briotii'</i>)	7m	550mm	N4m E4.5m S4m W3m	3m	3.5m N	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Part of linear feature along busy road; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities in trunk; some bark necrosis and suspected stem decay; epicormic growth on trunk.	C (12)	6.6m	136.8m ²
T68	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briotii'</i>)	7m	480mm	N3.5m E4.5m S4m W4.3m	3m	4m	EM	Poor	Poor	<10	Fungal fruiting bodies at base; ganoderma adspersum; basal decay; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities throughout; twin-stemmed from 2.5m.	U	5.8m	104.2m ²
T70	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briotii'</i>)	8.5 m	490mm	N4m E3m S3.5m W3.5m	3m	4m	EM	Fair	Poor	10+	Part of linear feature along busy road; bark wound on trunk; decaying heartwood in trunk; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities in trunk; twin-stemmed from 2.5m.	C (12)	5.9m	108.6m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T71	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	7m	490mm	N4m E5.5m S4m W4m	1.5m	2m W	EM	Fair	Poor	10+	Part of linear feature along busy road; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities in trunk; fungal fruiting bodies on trunk; inonotus dryadeus.	C (12)	5.9m	108.6m ²
T72	Common Hawthorn (<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>)	5m	350mm @1m	3m	1.5m	2m	M	Fair	Poor	<10	Twin-stemmed from 1.5m; crown has been previously heavily reduced; significant dieback at branch ends; of limited potential.	U	4.2m	55.4m ²
T73	Common Hawthorn (<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>)	5m	350mm	N4m E3m S4m W3m	1.5m	1.5m	M	Fair	Fair	10+	Twin-stemmed from 1.5m; significant dieback at branch ends; trunk leans slightly to E.	C (1)	4.2m	55.4m ²
T74	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	8m	580mm	N4.5m E4.5m S5m W5m	2m	4m	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear feature along busy road; of high amenity value.	A (2)	7.0m	152.2m ²
T75	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	10m	460mm	N5mE4.5m S5mW4m	2m	4.5m	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear feature along busy road; of high amenity value; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities in trunk.	A(2)	5.5m	95.7m ²
T76	Common Hawthorn (<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>)	7m	420mm @1m	N4.5m E3m S5m W3m	1m	1.5m	EM	Fair	Poor	<10	Crown shape distorted due to group pressure; twin-stemmed from 1.5m; main union has begun to fail.	U	5.0m	79.8m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T77	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	11m	630mm	N5.5m E4.5m S6m W4.5m	2m	2.5m NW	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear feature along busy road; of high amenity value.	A (2)	7.6m	179.6m ²
T78	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)		630mm	N4m E5.5m S5m W4.5m	2m	4m	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear feature along busy road; of high amenity value; twin-stemmed from 3m; old pruning wounds forming pocket cavities in trunk.	A (2)	7.6m	179.6m ²
T79	Cockspur thorn (<i>Crataegus crus-galli</i>)	4.5 m	310mm	N4.5m E1m S4.5m W3m	1.5m	2m S	EM	Fair	Fair	10+	One-sided crown as suppressed by adjacent tree; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	C (1)	3.7m	43.5m ²
T81	Common Hawthorn (<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>)	3.5 m	180mm	N3m E3m S3m W2m	0.5m	0.5m	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Suppressed as overtopped by adjacent tree.	C (1)	2.2m	14.7m ²
T82	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	9m	370mm	N5.5m E4m S4.5m W5m	N1m S2.5m	2.5m NE	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of linear feature along busy road; of high amenity value; of good form.	A (2)	4.4m	61.9m ²
T83	Box elder (<i>Acer negundo</i>)	8m	580mm	N7.5m E4m S8m W9.5m	2.5m	2.5m S	M	Fair	Poor	10+	Part of group; crown has been previously topped at 5m; wounds on trunk with decay; polyporus squamosus (Dryad's Saddle) emerging from wound.	C (2)	7.0m	152.2m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T84	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	12m	550mm	N6mE6m S6mW5m	3m	2m N	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; of moderate amenity value; crown has been previously topped at 3m; roots growing between kerb stones.	B(2)	6.6m	136.8m ²
T85	Box elder (<i>Acer negundo</i>)	9m	540mm	N7.5m E6m S6.5m W5m	4m	2.5m SW	M	Fair	Fair	20+	Crown has been previously heavily reduced; part of group; of moderate amenity value.	B(2)	6.5m	131.9m ²
T86	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	13m	550mm	N6.5m E6m S6m W7m	2.5m	3m SE	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Large buttress roots; roots causing pavement deflection; branches in contact with adjacent boundary wall; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	B(2)	6.6m	136.8m ²
T88	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	370mm	N5m E6m S5m W4m	2m	3m N	Y	Good	Good	40+	Part of group.	B(2)	4.4m	61.9m ²
T89	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	10m	320mm	NE8.5m E4m S5m W7m NW1m	2m	3m W	SM	Good	Fair	20+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; minor deadwood.	B(2)	3.8m	46.3m ²
T90	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	15m	510mm	N8m E5.5m S8m W7.5m	2m	3m S	SM	Good	Good	40+	Trunk leans slightly to W; branches in contact with adjacent structure; crown has been previously topped at 4.5m; part of group; surface roots with damage on upper sides.	B(2)	6.1m	117.7m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T93	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	12m	420mm	N2m E3m S5.5m W5.5m	2.5m	3m S	EM	Good	Fair	20+	Unbalanced crown biased to the W; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; part of group; minor deadwood.	B (2)	5.0m	79.8m ²
T94	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	13m	390mm	N2m E6m S7m W8.5m	2m	3m W	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; trimmer damage.	B (2)	4.7m	68.8m ²
T95	Manna ash (<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>)	11m	300mm	N4m E0m S5m W6.5m	2.5m	3m W	Y	Good	Fair	20+	Part of group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; bark wound on trunk; unbalanced crown biased to the W.	B (2)	3.6m	40.7m ²
T96	Manna ash (<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>)	10m	300mm	N2mE3m S2.5mW 3m	3m	3.5m N	SM	Fair	Fair	20+	Part of group; crown has been previously heavily reduced.	C(2)	3.6m	40.7m ²
T97	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	10m	450mm	N4.5m E6m S7m W7m	3.5m	2.5m S	M	Good	Good	40+	Part of group; bark wound on trunk; temporary building below crown.	B (2)	5.4m	91.6m ²
T98	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	11m	400mm	N6.5m E8m S6.5m W5m	2m	2m SW	SM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group of three trees; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; branches in contact with adjacent structure.	B (2)	4.8m	72.4m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T99	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	10m	490mm	N7m E6m S8m W7m	2.5m	2m W	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group of three trees; grows around adjacent fence; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	B (2)	5.9m	108.6m ²
T100	Manna ash (<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>)	10m	370mm	N5m E6m S6m W6m	1.5m	2m SW	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Part of group of three trees; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; trunk leans slightly to S.	B (2)	4.4m	61.9m ²
T106	Common lime (<i>Tilia x europaea</i>)	7m	260mm	N4m E3.5m S3.5m W4m	2.5m	2.5m W	Y	Good	Good	40+	Street tree; of good form.	A (12)	3.1m	30.6m ²
T107	Small-leaved lime (<i>Tilia cordata</i>)	6m	370mm	N4m E4m S4.5m W5m	3m	3m	SM	Good	Good	40+	Street tree; multi-stemmed from 2m.	A (12)	4.4m	61.9m ²
T120	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoide s</i>)	12m	420mm	N4.5m E4m S4m W4m	3.5m	2m S	SM	Fair	Fair	20+	Minor deadwood; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	C (2)	5.0m	79.8m ²
T121	Wild cherry (<i>Prunus avium</i>)	4m	700mm	1m			OM		Poor	Dead	Dead stump.	U	8.4m	221.7m ²
T133	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	15m	460mm	6m	2m	1.5m N	SM	Fair	Fair	20+	Multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded; surface roots with damage on upper sides; crown shape distorted due to group pressure; twisted trunk.	B (2)	5.5m	95.7m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T134	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	15m	535mm	N5mE5m S5mW3m	2m	3m W	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Trunk leans slightly to SE; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	B(2)	6.4m	129.5m ²
T136	Red Horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus X carnea 'Briottii'</i>)	15m	300mm	4m	2.5m	3m E				20+	Swollen base of trunk; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	B(2)	3.6m	40.7m ²
T137	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	15m	330mm	N4m E4m S4m W3.5m	2.5m	3m E	SM	Fair	Fair	20+	Trunk leans slightly to S.	B(2)	4.0m	49.3m ²
T139	Unknown	5m	110mm	N0.5m E1.5m S1.5m W1m	2.5m	2m E	Y		Poor	Dead	Dead tree.	U	1.3m	5.5m ²
T140	Common Horse Chestnut (<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>)	8m	460mm @1m	N5m E5m S6m W6m	2m	2.5m	SM	Good	Fair	40+	Three-stemmed from 2m; tight compression forks with included bark; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	B(12)	5.5m	95.7m ²
T142	Elder (<i>Sambucus nigra</i>)	5m	6 stems @ 90mm est	N2m E4m S4m W4m	2m	1.5m	M	Fair	Poor	<10	Stems growing around gas cabinet resulting in extensive cankers.	U	2.6m	22.0m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T143	Chanticleer pear (<i>Pyrus calleryana Chanticleer</i>)	6m	280mm	2m	4m	4m	EM	Poor	Poor	<10	Fungal fruiting bodies at base; ganoderma adspersum; crown has been previously heavily reduced; unlikely to last more than ten years due to poor condition.	U	3.4m	35.5m ²
T144	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoide s</i>)	11m	380mm	N4m E3m S4m W4m	3m	2.5m N	EM	Fair	Fair	10+	Twin-stemmed from 2m; tight compression forks with included bark; roots causing significant pavement damage; eastern side of crown reduced to clear building.	C (1)	4.6m	65.3m ²
T145	Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	10m	250mm	N3m E4m S4m W4m	2m	2m E	SM	Good	Poor	20+	Street tree; crown biased to south due to presence of building to north.	B (1)	3.0m	28.3m ²
T149	Raywood ash (<i>Fraxinus angustifolia 'Raywood'</i>)	12m	250mm	4m	4m	2.5m S	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Street tree; multiple pruning wounds on trunk not yet occluded.	B(1)	3.0m	28.3m ²
T152	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoide s</i>)	4m	100mm	2m	0.5m	1m	Y	Good	Good	20+	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.2m	4.5m ²
T154	Common Horse Chestnut (<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>)	11m	600mm @1m	N4m E4m S5m W5m	3m	4m E	EM	Fair	Fair	40+	Crown shape distorted due to group pressure; twin-stemmed from 1.5m; one-sided crown as suppressed by adjacent tree.	B (1)	7.2m	162.9m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T155	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	20m	1100mm @1m	9m	4m	5m SE	M	Good	Good	40+	Three-stemmed from 1.5m.	A (1)	13.2m	547.4m ²
T157	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	500mm	N4m E3m S2m W3m	7m	2m N	EM	Fair	Fair	40+	Crown has been previously heavily reduced.	B (1)	6.0m	113.1m ²
T158	Common Horse Chestnut (<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>)	12m	2 stems @ 360mm	3m	1.5m	2m N	SM	Good	Fair	20+	Suppressed as overtopped by adjacent tree; twin stemmed from base.	C (1)	6.1m	117.3m ²
G162	2x False Acacia (<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>)	15m	Avg. 550mm	7.5m	4m	3m W	M	Fair	Fair	10+	Sparse leaf coverage; crossing branches; minor deadwood	C (1)	6.6m	136.8m ²
T163	Common Horse Chestnut (<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>)	15m	370mm	Avg. 5m	1.5m	2.0m W	E	Fair	Fair	20+	Within area of mown grass; exposed shallow surface roots with mower damage noted. Linear cracks to bark. Signs consistent with Bleeding Canker of Horse Chestnut (BCHC) (<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv <i>aesculi</i>).	B (1)	4.4m	60.8m ²
T165	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoides</i>)	12m	450mm	5m	1.5m	3m S	SM	Fair	Fair	40+	Part of group of three trees in park; unbalanced crown biased to the E; multi-stemmed from approx. 3m.	B (1)	5.4m	91.6m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T166	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	14m	500mm	N6m E6m S6m W4m	3m	4m E	SM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group of three trees in park; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	B (1)	6.0m	113.1m ²
T171	Apple (<i>Malus sp.</i>)	8m	250mm @1m	N4m E3m S3m W3m	2m	1.5m	EM	Good	Good	20+	Grows in grass area above low retaining wall; multi-stemmed from 1.5m above ground level.	C (2)	3.0m	28.3m ²
T172	English oak (<i>Quercus robur</i>)	6m	150mm	2.5m	1m	1.5m E	Y	Good	Good	40+	Small tree within park setting.	C (1)	1.8m	10.2m ²
T300	Sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>)	15m	300mm est	N2mE4m S4.5mW4m	4m	4m S	SM	Fair	Fair	10+	Off-site tree; crown shape distorted due to proximity of adjacent structure; all dimensions estimated; unable to view lower trunk due to boundary fence.	C(1)	3.6m	40.7m ²
T302	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>)	16m	300mm est	N6m E4m S2m W1m	4m	4m N	SM	Fair	Fair	<10	Grows against wall with limited space for future development; etiolated specimen.	U	3.6m	40.7m ²
T303	Holly (<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>)	6m	350mm est	N3m E3m S2m W3m	0m	1m	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Small suppressed tree; crown has been previously topped.	C (1)	4.2m	55.4m ²
T304	Golden rain tree (<i>Koelreuteria</i>)	16m	500mm est 400mm est	N3m E5m S3m W4m	6m	5m W	M	Fair	Fair	20+	Crown has been previously topped; dense vegetation limits survey; some dimensions estimated; twin stemmed	C (1)	7.7m	185.5m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
	<i>ia paniculata</i>)										from base; of some boundary screening value.			
T305	Sweet chestnut (<i>Castanea sativa</i>)	3m	80mm	2m	1.5m	1m	Y	Fair	Fair	40+	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.0m	2.9m ²
T306	Sweet chestnut (<i>Castanea sativa</i>)	4m	110mm	2m	1.5m	2m	Y	Fair	Fair	40+	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.3m	5.5m ²
T307	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	4m	80mm	2m	1.5m	2m	Y	Poor	Poor	<10	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm); significant dieback at branch ends; bark wound on trunk; unlikely to last more than ten years due to poor condition.	U	1.0m	2.9m ²
T308	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	4m	100mm	1m	2m	2m E	Y	Poor	Poor	<10	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm); extensive bark wounds on trunk; dieback at top of crown; unlikely to last more than ten years due to poor condition.	U	1.2m	4.5m ²
T309	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	6m	210mm	2m	2.5m	2m E	Y	Fair	Poor	10+	Bark wounds with exposed heartwood; minor deadwood.	C (12)	2.5m	20.0m ²
T310	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	6m	150mm	2m	2.5m	2m E	Y	Fair	Poor	10+	Bark wound with exposed heartwood; minor deadwood.	C (12)	1.8m	10.2m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T311	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	7m	320mm	3m	3.5m	23m	SM	Poor	Poor	<10	Bark wound with exposed heartwood; major deadwood; dieback at top of crown; unlikely to last more than ten years due to poor condition.	U	3.8m	46.3m ²
T312-319	Common lime (<i>Tilia x europaea</i>)	#T3 12 8m# T31 3 8m# T31 4 7m# T31 5 11m #T3 16 12m #T3 17 10m #T3 18 11m #T3 19 10m	#T312 490mm# T313 460mm# T314 460mm# T315 520mm# T316 510mm# T317 500mm# T318 560mm# T319 510mm	2m	4m	4m	EM	Good	Fair	40+	Row of street trees growing in small pavement planting pits; managed dense epicormic growth t base; all trees heavily reduced;#315 bark wound on trunk;#316 exudations on trunk;#317 longitudinal crack at base.	B(2)	5.9m5.5m5.5m6.2m6.1m6.0m6.7m6.1m	108.6m ² 95.7m ² 9 5.7m ² 12 2.3m ² 11 7.7m ² 11 3.1m ² 14 1.9m ² 11 7.7m ²
T320	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	16m	650mm	N7m E4m S7m W7m	3m	2m W	EM	Good	Good	40+	Part of group of three trees in park; large dominant tree in group; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	B(1)	7.8m	191.1m ²

Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T321	London plane (<i>Platanus X acerifolia</i>)	20m	1100mm	N4m E6m S7m W6m	4m	3m	M	Good	Good	40+	Three-stemmed from 3m; crown shape distorted due to group pressure.	A (1)	13.2m	547.4m ²
T322	Norway maple (<i>Acer platanoide s</i>)	4m	90mm	1m	1.5m	1.5m W	Y	Good	Good	20+	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.1m	3.7m ²
T323	Pear (<i>Pyrus communis</i>)	3m	80mm	1m	1.5m	1m NE	Y	Good	Good	20+	Young tree (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.0m	2.9m ²
T324-326	Pear (<i>Pyrus communis</i>)	#T324 6m #T325 6m #T326 5m	#T324 140mm #T325 140mm #T326 140mm	2m	2m	1.5m	Y	Fair	Fair	20+	#326 sparse leaf coverage; young trees (stem diameter less than 150mm).	C (1)	1.7m 1.7m 1.7m	8.9m ² 8.9m ² 8.9m ²
T327	Apple (<i>Malus sp.</i>)	8m	250mm	N4m E4m S2m W2m	3.5m	2m W	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Street tree; unbalanced crown biased to the N due to presence of building to south.	B (2)	3.0m	28.3m ²
T328	Whitebeam (<i>Sorbus aria</i>)	7m	250mm	N4mE4m S2mW4 m	4m	2m S	EM	Poor	Poor	Dead	Leaf buds 90% dead.	U	3.0m	28.3m ²


Appendices

No.	Species	Height	Trunk Dia.	Radial Crown Spread	Crown Clearance	Height to 1st Branch	Life Stage	Physiology	Structure	Est. Years	Comments	Category	RPA Radius	RPA m ²
T329	Raywood ash (<i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i> 'Raywood')	10m	320mm	N5m E3m S5m W4m	3m	2m S	EM	Fair	Fair	20+	Street tree; unbalanced crown biased to the N due to S; twin-stemmed from 4m.	B (1)	3.8m	46.3m ²
T330-331	English oak (<i>Quercus robur</i>)	12m	#T330 400mm #T331 400mm	6m	2.5m	3m	SM	Good	Good	40+	Two large street trees of generally good form.	B (1)	4.8m 4.8m	72.4m ² 72.4m ²
T332-338	Pear (<i>Pyrus communis</i>)	10m	250mm	2.5m	4m	2.5m	Y	Good	Good	40+	Some trees with sparse canopies.	C (2)	3.0m	28.3m ²
T339	False acacia (<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>)	10m	6 stems @ 150mm	N5m E5m S5m W4m	2m	2m N	SM	Good	Fair	20+	Multi-stemmed coppice; low retaining wall approximately 1m to east.	C (1)	4.4m	61.1m ²
T340	Common lime (<i>Tilia x europaea</i>)	10m	400mm est	4m	1.5m	2m E	EM	Fair	Fair	40+	Grows above low retaining wall; epicormic growth at base limits survey; some insignificant dieback.	B (1)	4.8m	72.4m ²

Appendices

C. Extract from BS5837:2012 – Examples of Above-Ground Stabilizing Systems


Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



D. Example Specification for Tree Protection Barrier.



E. Tree Protection Signage (Example)



UK and Ireland Office Locations

