

WE LISTEN, WE PLAN, WE DELIVER
Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE STRATEGY

Waldegrave Mews, Waldegrave Road, TW11 8NA



Report Title: FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE STRATEGY

Report Status: Final v3.0

Job No: P3508J2313

Date: 26 July 2021

Control: Previous Release

Version	Date	Issued By
V1.0	26-07-21	A Wallace
V2.0	09-08-21	A Wallace
V3.0	26-07-22	A Wallace

Prepared by: JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD For WALDEGRAVE MEWS LTD

Should you have any queries relating to this report, please contact

JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

www.jomasassociates.com

0843 289 2187

info@jomasassociates.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
2	INTRODUCTION	8
3	SITE DESCRIPTION	9
3.2	Topography	9
4	DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND POLICY REQUIREMENTS	10
4.2	General Principles for Flooding	10
4.3	General Principles for Surface Water Drainage	10
5	FLOODING INFORMATION	12
5.1	Flood Risk from Rivers (Fluvial)	12
5.2	Coastal and Tidal Flood Risk	12
5.3	Geology and Hydrogeology	12
5.4	Surface Water Flood Risk (Overland Flows)	12
5.5	Sewer/Drainage Flood Risk	14
5.6	Reservoir Flood Risk	15
5.7	Summary of risk levels	15
6	SITE DRAINAGE INFORMATION	16
6.2	Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	16
7	SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN	18
7.1	Site Areas	18
7.2	Design Considerations	18
7.3	Greenfield Run-Off Rates	18
7.4	Existing Run-Off Rates	18
7.5	Attenuation	19
7.6	Exceedance Flooding and Overland Flow	20



7.7	Consents, Offsite Works and Diversions	20
7.8	Maintenance	20
8	WATER QUALITY	21
8.1	Post-Development Water Quality Treatment	21
9	FOUL RUN-OFF	25
9.1	Discharge to Public Sewer Network	25
10	DRAINAGE DURING CONSTRUCTION	26
10.1	Construction Run-off Management	26
10.2	Management of Construction (Including Drainage)	26
10.3	Temporary Drainage During Construction	26
10.4	Protection of Drainage Infrastructure during Construction	27
List	of Figures Figure 1: EA Flood Risk from Surface Water Map	14
List	of Tables Table 1: EA Surface Water Flood Risk Categories	12
	Table 2: Flood Risk Categories	
	Table 3: SuDS Selection Based on the SuDS Hierarchy	16
	Table 4: Site Areas	18
	Table 5: Existing Greenfield Run-off Rates	19
	Table 6: Pollution Hazard Indices from 2015 SuDS Manual (C753)	22
	Table 7: Indicative SuDS Mitigation Indices from 2015 SuDS Manual (C753)	23
	Table 8: Roof Space Water Quality Mitigation Summary	23
	Table 9: External Pavement Space Water Quality Mitigation Summary	24



List of Appendices

APPENDIX A: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT DETAILS	28
APPENDIX B: TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY	29
APPENDIX C: DRAINAGE DRAWINGS AND CALCULATIONS	30
APPENDIX D: SUDS MAINTENANCE REPORT	31

Quality Standards Control

The signatories below verify that this document has been prepared in accordance with our quality control requirements. These procedures do not affect the content and views expressed by the originator.

This document must only be treated as a draft unless it has been signed by the originators and approved by a director.

Revision	V03	
Date	26/07/2022	
Prepared by	A Wallace	
Checked by	A Alsop	
Authorised by	A Wallace	



Limitations

JOMAS ASSOCIATES Ltd ("JA") has prepared this report for the sole use of the client in accordance with the agreement under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report or any other services provided by JA.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested and that such information is accurate. Information obtained by SC has not been independently verified by JA, unless otherwise stated in the report.

The methodology adopted and the sources of information used by JA in providing its services are outlined in this report. The work described in this report was undertaken in July 2021 and is based on the conditions encountered and the information available during the said period of time. The scope of this report and the services are accordingly factually limited by these circumstances.

Where assessments of works or costs identified in this report are made, such assessments are based upon the information available at the time and where appropriate are subject to further investigations or information which may become available.

JA disclaim any undertaking or obligation to advise any person of any change in any matter affecting the report, which may come or be brought to JA's attention after the date of the report.

Certain statements made in the report that are not historical facts may constitute estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements and even though they are based on reasonable assumptions as of the date of the report, such forward-looking statements by their nature involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results predicted. JA specifically does not guarantee or warrant any estimate or projections contained in this report.

Costs may vary outside the ranges quoted. Whilst cost estimates are provided for individual issues in this report these are based upon information at the time which can be incomplete. Cost estimates for such issues may therefore vary from those provided. Where costs are supplied, these estimates should be considered in aggregate only. No reliance should be made in relation to any division of aggregate costs, including in relation to any issue, site or other subdivision.

No allowance has been made for changes in prices or exchange rates or changes in any other conditions which may result in price fluctuations in the future. Where assessments of works or costs necessary to achieve compliance have been made, these are based upon measures which, in JA's experience, could normally be negotiated with the relevant authorities under present legislation and enforcement practice, assuming a proactive and reasonable approach by site management.

Forecast cost estimates do not include such costs associated with any negotiations, appeals or other non-technical actions associated with the agreement on measures to meet the requirements of the authorities, nor are potential business loss and interruption costs considered that may be incurred as part of any technical measures.

Copyright

© This report is the copyright of JA. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Drainage Assessment reviews the existing drainage arrangement at the application site and proposes a Flood Risk Assessment in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and surface water drainage strategy in line with Local Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) guidance.

The site is currently occupied by Industrial buildings and hardstanding and is located at Waldegrave Motors, Waldegrave Road, TW11 8NA

The proposed development comprises the construction of a new 7 dwelling residential development with associated hardstanding and external works.

Flooding

The site is less than 1 hectare in size and within flood zone 1. The sources of flooding assessed and proposed mitigation measures are listed in the table below.

Source	Risk Category (after mitigation)	Comments
Fluvial (Rivers and Sea)	Very Low	Site within flood zone 1
Coastal and tidal	Negligible	Not near coast or tidal waterbody
Groundwater	Medium	Proposed finished floor levels are 150mm above external ground levels and natural topography reduces risk.
Surface water	Very Low	Low due to natural topography and presence of existing surface water drainage
Sewers	Very Low	Low due to natural topography and sewer location
Reservoirs	Very Low	Reservoir at low danger of failure

Surface Water Drainage

The proposed strategy presented in detail in this report aims to attenuate all surface water in an area of tanked permeable paving throughout the site. Discharge will be via a hydrobrake to the sewers in Waldegrave Rd.

Discharge will be limited to 2 litres/second in accordance with best practice. Attenuation and reduced discharge will be provided for all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100-year storm plus 40% allowance for climate change.

An additional 10% allowance for urban creep has been included in the sizing of surface water storage by adding 10% to the areas in the modelled calculations.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

Maintenance/management of all onsite drainage infrastructure has been considered within a separate maintenance plan appended to this report. This will be updated through the development process.

The proposed drainage strategy is entirely based on-site and therefore the only off-site works will be the foul and surface water outlet to the Thames Water sewer in the street should the existing connection not be able to be reused.

Overall, the proposed development has an acceptable flood risk within the terms and requirements of the NPPF. The proposals provide a high level of water treatment, runoff reduction and flooding protection for the proposed development and are in accordance with all requirements of the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA).

Foul Drainage

It is proposed to discharge the foul drainage from the site into the existing Thames Water sewer in the street via the existing connection if possible.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

2 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1.1 Jomas was commissioned to undertake a Drainage Assessment for the proposed development of land located at Waldegrave Motors, Waldegrave Road, TW11 8NA
- 2.1.2 This Drainage Assessment has been produced in support of a planning application and should be read in conjunction with the other planning documents.
- 2.1.3 The proposed development comprises the construction of a new 7 dwelling residential development with associated hardstanding and external works. Proposed development details are provided in Appendix A.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

3 SITE DESCRIPTION

- 3.1.1 The site is approximately 1170 square metres in size and located at Waldegrave Motors, Waldegrave Road, TW11 8NA.
- 3.1.2 Pre-development, the site is approximately 100% (1070 square metres) impermeable. Post development, the impermeable area will be reduced to approximately 1000 square metres (94% impermeable).
- 3.1.3 The site location information is as follows:
 - Nearest Postcode: TW11 8NA

3.2 Topography

Site Topography

- 3.2.1 An onsite topographic survey has been carried out and is provided in Appendix B.
- 3.2.2 The site is irregular in shape and generally flat, with a slight fall from the rear of the site to the street.

4 DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND POLICY REQUIREMENTS

- 4.1.1 Since April 2015, Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFA's) have become a statutory consultee on surface water drainage for many planning applications. For this site, the following is considered to be the required level of detail required for planning approval:
 - A Flood Risk Assessment in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and National Planning Guidance (NPG)
 - SuDS: Designs, Maintenance Plans & Calculations for SuDS proposed, the LLFA require product specifications or design drawings, <u>all supporting calculations and a maintenance plan</u>. This needs to include details of any attenuation structures and in accordance with the CIRIA C753 SuDS Manual.

4.2 General Principles for Flooding

4.2.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and only consider development appropriate in areas at risk of flooding where informed by a site-specific FRA. This assessment is required for:

"Proposals of 1 hectare (ha) or greater in Flood Zone 1, all new development (including minor development and change of use) in Flood Zones 2 and 3 and an area within Flood Zone 1, which has critical drainage problems as notified to the local planning authority by the Environment Agency (EA)."

- 4.2.2 In accordance with the March 2014 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), which supports the NPPF, the objectives of this FRA are to establish:
 - Whether a proposed development is likely to be affected by current or future flooding from any source;
 - Whether it will increase flood risk elsewhere;
 - Whether the measures proposed to deal with these effects and risks are appropriate.

4.3 General Principles for Surface Water Drainage

- 4.3.1 The DEFRA Sustainable Drainage Systems Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems (March, 2015) and LLFA Policy DM25.3 requires sustainable drainage systems in all development to reduce surface water runoff and provide water treatment on site. This includes but is not limited to addressing the following issues in order of preference:
 - store rainwater for later use
 - use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas
 - attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release
 - attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release
 - discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse
 - discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

• discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.

Consideration must be given to the direction of water flow across the site and where this may be dispersed and incorporating any features that will help reduce surface water run-off. All developments should achieve greenfield runoff rates where possible and this needs to be demonstrated as part of the planning submission.

5 FLOODING INFORMATION

5.1 Flood Risk from Rivers (Fluvial)

- 5.1.1 As the site is within Flood Zone 1, there is a low risk of fluvial flooding to the site.
- 5.1.2 Based on the above, the risk of flooding from rivers is considered very low.

5.2 Coastal and Tidal Flood Risk

5.2.1 The site is located inland and is not near any tidally influenced watercourses; therefore, there is negligible risk of flooding from this source.

5.3 Geology and Hydrogeology

- 5.3.1 Groundwater flooding occurs when the water table rises to the surface and is most likely to occur in low-lying areas underlain by permeable ground.
- 5.3.2 The Aquifer Maps on the MAGIC map identifies the area as follows:

Bedrock –nothing of note

Superficial Drift -nothing of note

Groundwater Vulnerability – Medium-Low groundwater vulnerability.

5.3.3 The British Geological Survey (BGS) indicates bedrock and superficial drift geology for the site. The strata of the site (bedrock geology) comprises London Clay described as follows:

London Clay Formation - Clay And Silt. Sedimentary Bedrock formed approximately 48 to 56 million years ago in the Palaeogene Period. Local environment previously dominated by deep seas.

The superficial geology is as follows:

Kempton Park Gravel Member - Sand And Gravel. Superficial Deposits formed up to 2 million years ago in the Quaternary Period. Local environment previously dominated by rivers (U).

- 5.3.4 The site is listed as potentially underlain by highly permeable geology. This is to be confirmed by site investigation.
- 5.3.5 While the ground may be permeable, the site is shown to be of low groundwater vulnerability and due to the site topography with a natural fall towards the street, the site is considered to be at medium risk of groundwater flooding.

5.4 Surface Water Flood Risk (Overland Flows)

5.4.1 Surface water flooding occurs when the rainwater does not drain away through the normal drainage system or infiltrate the ground, but instead lies on or flows over the ground.

- 5.4.2 The EA produced a Risk of Flooding from Surface Water Map in December 2013. The maps were produced using 'direct rainfall' modelling. Although they consider local drainage capacity, non-surface water influences such as rivers, seas or groundwater are not considered. The map is based on LIDAR topographic data which is not suitable for site specific assessment and therefore, where available, topographic survey data should be used to provide a more accurate understanding of potential flow paths.
- 5.4.3 The map shows the entire country within four different risk categories, defined below in Table 1.

Table 1: EA Surface Water Flood Risk Categories

Risk Category	Definition
High	Each year, there is a chance of flooding of greater than 1 in 30 (3.3%)
Medium	Each year, there is a chance of flooding of between 1 in 30 (3.3%) and 1 in 100 (1%)
Low	Each year, there is a chance of flooding of between 1 in 100 (1%) and 1 in 1000 (0.1%)
Very Low	Each year, there is a chance of flooding of less than 1 in 1000 (0.1%)

5.4.4 An extract of the map, provided below, shows that the area is generally not susceptible to surface water flooding. This is confirmed by the site topography which shows the levels fall away from the building towards the street.




Figure 1: EA Flood Risk from Surface Water Map

5.4.5 Based on the EA's mapping, historical data and local topography, risk of surface water flooding to the site is considered to be very low.

5.5 Sewer/Drainage Flood Risk

- 5.5.1 Sewer flooding is often caused by excess surface water entering the drainage system when there is insufficient sewer capacity to cope with this excess water, but also due to 'one off' events such as blockages.
- 5.5.2 Thames Water is the statutory undertaker for the local public sewer network. The nearest Thames Water sewers to the site are located within Waldegrave Rd at the site frontage. See Appendix C for details.
- 5.5.3 The local PFRA states that no flood events have been considered to have 'significant harmful consequences' at the site.
- 5.5.4 On the basis there is considered to be a very low risk of sewer flooding to the site.



5.6 Reservoir Flood Risk

- 5.6.1 The EA has produced a Reservoir Flood Map that shows that the site is at risk from reservoir flooding. This map indicates very low risk of reservoir flooding at this site.
- 5.6.2 It should be emphasised that the risk of flooding from reservoir breach is very small since the EA is the enforcement authority for the Reservoirs Act (1975) and all large raised reservoirs are inspected and supervised by reservoir panel engineers.
- 5.6.3 On the basis there is considered to be a very low risk of reservoir flooding to the site.

5.7 Summary of risk levels

5.7.1 Pre-development, the risk of flooding is summarised below.

Table 2: Flood Risk Categories

Source	Risk Category
Fluvial (Rivers and Sea)	Very low
Coastal and tidal	Negligible
Groundwater	Medium
Surface water	Very low
Sewers	Very low
Reservoirs	Very low



6 SITE DRAINAGE INFORMATION

- 6.1.1 The DEFRA Sustainable Drainage Systems Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems (March, 2015) states that the following options must be considered for disposal of surface water runoff in order of preference:
 - Discharge to ground
 - Discharge to a surface water body
 - Discharge to a surface water sewer
 - Discharge to a combined sewer

Discharge to Ground

- 6.1.2 The potential for surface water to discharge to ground has been assessed through a review of the likely ground conditions and possible infiltration structures.
- 6.1.3 The surface geology of this site is within an area generally underlain by permeable gravel formations and may be suitable for infiltration. This is to be confirmed by site investigation.
- 6.1.4 Further to the above it is noted that while there may be some permeable superficial geology in the area, the bedrock is impermeable clay and the site and surrounds have existing surface water sewer connections. It is also noted that as the site is narrow, there is not sufficient clearance from buildings to use soakaways (soakaways must be a minimum of 5m from buildings) and so it is assumed that infiltration is unlikely to be possible.

Discharge to Surface Water Body

6.1.5 There are no suitable surface water bodies near to the site that can be used for surface water discharge.

Discharge to Surface Water Sewer/Combined Sewer

6.1.6 Discharge to the public sewer network should only be considered once all other options for draining surface water from the site have been exhausted.

6.2 Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)

6.2.1 To maximise the potential use of SuDS at the site, a review has been undertaken as shown in Table 3 in accordance with the SuDS Hierarchy. This review highlights the components referenced in the SuDS Hierarchy and provides recommendations on whether the components could be incorporated into the development.

Table 3: SuDS Selection Based on the SuDS Hierarchy

Component	Recommendation
Green/Blue	Whilst the use of green and blue roofs provides additional environmental benefits
roofs	such as enhanced aesthetics and ecology, its exposure to wind and orientation
	must be considered. Access to undertake the construction and maintenance easily
	and safely is also a high priority.



Component	Recommendation
	If feasible, depending on the roof design, a green/blue roof will provide water quality, biodiversity and aesthetic benefits to the site. Additionally, the green/blue roof/s will offer some attenuation for run-off, reducing volumes of run-off and in higher frequency events (i.e. 1in2 year storms) will result in no run-off for the building. Green/Blue roofs are included and can be seen on the plans in Appendix A.
Basins and Ponds	Ponds and attenuation basins can provide overland storage of surface water whilst also providing additional biodiversity and aesthetic/amenity value. The rear garden area of the site is not suitable for a pond as it limited in space.
Filter Strips and Swales	Swales are linear vegetated drainage features, which provide overland conveyance and storage of surface water whilst trapping sediments and hydrocarbons within run-off. They also create biodiverse areas for planting and habitat.
	Swales are not considered suitable for this site due to the urban setting restricting the availability of space and suitability of swales and the steep fall across the site.
Infiltration Devices	Infiltration devices are likely to be suitable for the main drainage system due to the permeable nature of the existing ground.
	Infiltration may be suitable. This will be confirmed prior to detailed design
Permeable Paving	Whilst incorporating attenuation storage, permeable paving also provides treatment through filtration of silt (and attached pollutants), settlement and retention of solids, adsorption of pollutants and biodegradation of organic pollutants, including petrol and diesel.
	Extensive permeable paving is proposed for this site.
Tanked Systems	This is the least sustainable option in terms of the SuDS Hierarchy. However, the use of tanked systems would still be of benefit compared to traditional drainage systems as it does allow run-off to be slowed down to an acceptable discharge rate.
	There are no tanks proposed for the site.
Other	A number of rain gardens are included in the drainage design



7 SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN

7.1 Site Areas

7.1.1 The development area currently comprises existing buildings and hardstanding. The existing and proposed areas are summarised below.

Table 4: Site Areas

Parameter	Existing (m2)	Existing (%)	Proposed (m2)	Proposed (%)
Impermeable area	1070	100	1000	94
Permeable area	0	0	70	6
Total area	1070	100	1070	100

7.1.2 It is assumed that the surface water runoff from the site is currently picked up in the site drainage system and discharges into the sewer in Waldegrave Ave or soaks into the ground.

7.2 Design Considerations

- 7.2.1 Consideration has been given to the following when calculating the proposed impermeable areas.
 - The 2013 EA 'Rainfall Run-off Management for Developments' Report (SC030219) states that urban creep, the process of gradually increasing impermeable area within an urban area (through paving soft landscaped surfaces and constructed outbuildings etc), is an acknowledged issue. To include an allowance for urban creep, the impermeable area used in the drainage calculations has been increased by 10% in accordance with the recommendation made in SC030219.
- 7.2.2 The climate change allowance used in the Drainage Strategy is in line with updated EA guidance values published in February 2016 for increased rainfall intensities by 2115.

7.3 Greenfield Run-Off Rates

7.3.1 The greenfield run off rates have been calculated using the Wallingford method. Calculations are provided in Appendix C and summarised in the table below.

7.4 Existing Run-Off Rates

- 7.4.1 The existing run-off rates for a variety of return periods have been calculated using the Wallingford method.
- 7.4.2 The total site area is 1070 square metres and is 10% impermeable, resulting in an impermeable area of 1070 square metres. Taking conservative peak 1 year, 30 year and 100 year rainfall rates of 50mm/hr, 125mm/hr and 185mm/hr respectively, the maximum existing peak discharge rates have been calculated as follows.

Contributing Area (ha) x 1 yr Rainfall (mm/hr) x 2.78

 $1070/1000 \times 50 \times 2.78 = 14.8 \text{ l/s}$

Contributing Area (ha) x 30 yr Rainfall (mm/hr) x 2.78

 $1070/1000 \times 125 \times 2.78 = 37.2 \text{ I/s}$

Contributing Area (ha) x 100yr Rainfall (mm/hr) x 2.78

 $1070/1000 \times 185 \times 2.78 = 55.0 \text{ l/s}$

7.4.3 The discharge rates for the existing and proposed site are summarised below.

Table 5: Existing Greenfield Run-off Rates

Parameter	Existing Discharge (I/s)	Greenfield Discharge (I/s)	Proposed Discharge (I/s)
QBAR	NA	0.16	NA
1 year	14.8	0.14	1.7
30 year	37.2	0.37	1.8
100 year	55.0	0.52	1.9
100 year +40%	NA	NA	2.0

7.4.4 Site discharge should be as close to greenfield rates as possible, up to a maximum discharge rate of 50% of the existing rate. It is proposed to restrict the discharge to 2l/s into the sewer in the street for all storm events up to and including the 100 year +40% climate change storm. This is significantly lower than 50% of the existing discharge rate.

7.5 Attenuation

- 7.5.1 Significant areas of green roof and rain gardens are included in the design (See Appendix A for extent of green roofs, and Appendix C for rain gardens). For the purposes of the calculations these have been ignored to provide a conservative design.
- 7.5.2 As the greenfield rates from this site are low (See Appendix C), in accordance with best practice, outflow controls will be set to discharge at a rate of 2l/s.
- 7.5.3 A calculation of the required attenuation is provided in Appendix C. A total attenuation volume of approximately 50 cubic metres is proposed to cater for the 100 year +40% storm event.
- 7.5.4 The attenuation will be provided in tanked permeable paving throughout the site and a hydrobrake installed to limit discharge to the sewers.
- 7.5.5 Should infiltration prove to be possible after on-site testing has been completed, the permeable paving can be revised to an un-tanked system.



7.6 Exceedance Flooding and Overland Flow

- 7.6.1 The area is not subject to overland flow routes or surface water flooding as discussed in the Flooding section above.
- 7.6.2 The drainage system has been designed to cater for the 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change storm. ie in this storm event all surface water will be collected on site and slowly released. Thus, the overland flow route will only be in use in the event of drainage network failure, storms in excess of the 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change storm or flows from offsite flowing through the site.

7.7 Consents, Offsite Works and Diversions

7.7.1 The proposed surface water drainage strategy is accommodated totally on-site, with the only requirement for off-site works being the possible connection to the Thames Water Sewers should the existing connection not be suitable for reuse. A pre development enquiry has been submitted to TW and the response will be forwarded once received.

7.8 Maintenance

7.8.1 A SuDS maintenance plan has been prepared to outline the management of the potential SuDS features. The maintenance plan is provided in Appendix D along with the Local Authority Drainage Pro-forma.



8 WATER QUALITY

8.1 Post-Development Water Quality Treatment

- 8.1.1 In line with the 2015 SuDS Manual (CIRIA C753), certain criteria should be applied to manage the quality of run-off to support and protect the natural environment effectively. Treatment design, wherever practicable, should be based on good practice, comprising the following principles:
 - Manage surface water run-off close to source
 - Treat surface water run-off on the surface
 - Treat surface water run-off to remove a range of contaminants
 - Minimise risk of sediment remobilisation
 - Minimise impacts from accidental spills
- 8.1.2 Managing pollution close to the source can help keep pollutant levels and accumulation rates low, essentially allowing natural treatment processes to be effective. This in turn can help maximise the amenity and biodiversity value of downstream surface SuDS components and keep maintenance activities straightforward and cost-effective.
- 8.1.3 The proposed development comprises two types of land use; residential roofs and a car parks/low traffic driveway. These land uses are classified as having very low and low hazard pollution levels, respectively. This table is provided below in Table 6.

Table 6: Pollution Hazard Indices from 2015 SuDS Manual (C753)

Land use	Pollution hazard level	Total suspended solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydro- carbons
Residential roofs	Very low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Other roofs (typically commercial/ industrial roofs)	Low	0.3	0.2 (up to 0.8 where there is potential for metals to leach from the roof)	0.05
Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (eg cul de sacs, homezones and general access roads) and non-residential car parking with infrequent change (eg schools, offices) le < 300 traffic movements/day	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4
Commercial yard and delivery areas, non-residential car parking with frequent change (eg hospitals, retail), all roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways!	Medium	0.7	0.6	0.7
Sites with heavy pollution (eg haulage yards, lorry parks, highly frequented lorry approaches to industrial estates, waste sites), sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to be delivered, handled, stored, used or manufactured; industrial sites; trunk roads and motorways!	High	.0.8%	0.8*	0.92

- 8.1.4 The proposed drainage strategy utilises the following SuDS features:
 - Permeable Paving
- 8.1.5 The indicative SuDS mitigation indices, provided in Table 26.3 of the 2015 SuDS Manual (C753) have been reviewed for the roof and paving. This table is provided below in Table 7.



TABL 26.3

Table 7: Indicative SuDS Mitigation Indices from 2015 SuDS Manual (C753)

	Indicative SuDS mitigation indices for discharges to surface waters							
3		Mitigation indices ¹						
	Type of SuDS component	TSS	Metals	Hydrocarbons				
	Filter strip	0.4	0.4	0.5				
	Filter drain	0.42	0.4	0.4				
	Swale	0.5	0.6	0.6				
	Bioretention system	0.8	0.8	0.8				
	Permeable pavement	0.7	0.6	0.7				
	Detention basin	0.5	0.5	0.6				
	Pond ⁴	0.7°	0.7	0.5				
	Wetland	0.83	0.8					
	Proprietary treatment systems ^{6,6}	These must demonstrate that they can address each of the contaminant types to acceptable levels for frequent events up to approximately the 1 in 1 year return period event, for inflow concentrations relevant to the contributing drainage area.						

8.1.6 To deliver adequate treatment, the selected SuDS components should have a total pollution mitigation index (for each contaminant type) that equals or exceeds the pollution hazard index (for each contaminant type), as follows:

Total SuDS mitigation index ≥ pollution hazard index

(for each contaminant type) (for each contaminant type)

8.1.7 For each type of land-use, the pollution hazard indices, mitigation indices and concluding hazard have been outlined in Table 8 below.

Table 8: Roof Space Water Quality Mitigation Summary

Resi	SuDS Manual Reference					
	TSS	TSS Metals Hydrocarbo ns				
Pollution Hazard Index	0.2	0.2	0.05	Table 26.2		
Mitigation Index (Permeable Paving)	0.7	0.6	0.7	Table 26.3		
Total Mitigation index	0.7 0.6 0.7 Worst case o					
Result	Total SuDS mitigation index ≥ pollution hazard index and therefore hazard is exceeded					



Table 9: External Pavement Space Water Quality Mitigation Summary

Resi	SuDS Manual Reference					
	TSS	Metals Hydrocarbo ns				
Pollution Hazard Index	0.5	0.4	Table 26.2			
Mitigation Index (Permeable Paving)	0.7	0.6	0.7	Table 26.3		
Total Mitigation index	0.7 0.6 0.7 Worst case only					
Result	Total SuDS mitigation index ≥ pollution hazard index and therefore hazard is exceeded					

- 8.1.8 Therefore, it can be concluded that the provision of the permeable paving exceeds the required pollution mitigation indices and provides sufficient treatment as part of the surface water management train, in accordance with the 2015 SuDS Manual (CIRIA C753).
- 8.1.9 As the site is located in an area of medium groundwater vulnerability, the proposals provide a high level of treatment to the discharge and nothing further is considered necessary.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

- 9 FOUL RUN-OFF
- 9.1 Discharge to Public Sewer Network
- 9.1.1 Thames Water are the foul sewerage suppliers for the area.
- 9.1.2 The identified point of connection from the site is into the public foul sewer network in the street. A Sewer connection application will be submitted to Thames Water for approval.

10 DRAINAGE DURING CONSTRUCTION

10.1 Construction Run-off Management

- 10.1.1 Installing the surface water and foul drainage system, whilst managing temporary run-off, are key aspects of the construction works involved in any development. The information provided below is in accordance with the 'C698 Site handbook for the construction of SUDS' (CIRIA, 2007).
- 10.1.2 Please note that the measures recommended below are recommendations only and need to be confirmed at the construction stage by the client and the contractor.

10.2 Management of Construction (Including Drainage)

- 10.2.1 Drainage is typically an early activity in the construction stage of a development, taking form during the earthworks phase. However, final construction i.e. piped drainage system connections to the SuDS devices, should not take place until the end of site development work, unless a robust strategy for silt-removal is implemented prior to occupation of the site.
- 10.2.2 A plan for the management of construction (including phasing of works, details of any offsite works etc.) cannot be provided at this early stage, as construction work plans are not yet known. However, the following key points are general construction issues associated with SuDS which will be addressed when these plans are complete:
 - Silt-laden waters from construction sites represent a common form of waterborne pollution;
 - These silt-laden waters cannot enter SUDS drainage systems unless specifically designed to accept this as it can clog the systems and pollute receiving waters.
 Therefore, piped drainage systems should not be connected to the attenuation SuDS devices until the late stages of construction.
 - Any gullies and piped systems should be capped off during construction and fully jetted and cleaned prior to connection to the attenuation SuDS devices.

10.3 Temporary Drainage During Construction

- 10.3.1 The three principal aspects of drainage control during construction are trapping sediment, conveying run-off, and controlling run-off.
- 10.3.2 Sediment traps and barriers can include basin traps and sediment fences (with any necessary boundary controls). The principal basins are to be installed after the construction site is accessed. Sediment fences and barriers will then be installed as needed during grading.
- 10.3.3 Conveyance of run-off can be achieved through small ditches/stream, storm drains, channels and sloped drains with sufficient inlet/outlet protection.
- 10.3.4 Slope stability needs to be considered when using any channels to convey run-off across the site into any basins etc.
- 10.3.5 Run-off control measures will need to be implemented in order not overwhelm the temporary system and cause flooding issues. Run-off rates from the site will be managed so they are no greater than pre-development or in keeping with the best practice guidance to minimise risk of blockage. Any additional conveyance measures are to be installed as needed during grading.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

- 10.3.6 Run-off control to include provision of perimeter ditches or appropriate levels grading to direct any water from the construction site to remain on site.
- 10.3.7 Any necessary surface stabilisation measures are to be applied immediately on all disturbed areas where construction work is either delayed or incomplete.
- 10.3.8 Maintenance inspections are to be performed weekly, and maintenance repairs to be made immediately after periods of rainfall.

10.4 Protection of Drainage Infrastructure during Construction

10.4.1 All drainage infrastructure should be protected from damage by construction traffic and heavy machinery through the implementation of measures such as protective barriers, and storing construction materials away from the drainage infrastructure.



Appendix A: Proposed Development Details





General Notes:


Do not scale from this drawing. Use marked dimensions only.
Should any discrepancies be noted, please inform this office immediately.
This drawing is copyright of Matthew Allchurch Architects Limited







Commercial



the boathouse design studio 27 ferry road teddington tw11 9nn t: 020 8973 0050 e: info@maa-architects.com w: www.maa-architects.com

06.05.2021 AQ SSB 05.05.2021 AQ SSB 30.04.2021 AQ SSB

28.04.2021 AQ SSB 01.04.2021 AQ SSB **Date: Drw: Chk:**



Matthew Allchurch

Project Name: Waldegrave Mews

Proposed Site Plan: Ground Floor


Drawing Status:	Status Code:	Project No:	Scale at A1:
Preliminary	S0	MA014	1:200
Drawing No:	Revision:	Date:	Scale at A3:
MA014-MAA-XX-DR-A-01011	P05	06.05.21	1:400





General Notes:

Do not scale from this drawing. Use marked dimensions only.
Should any discrepancies be noted, please inform this office immediately.
This drawing is copyright of Matthew Allchurch Architects Limited



P02 Planning Issue
P01 Issue For Information
Rev: Description:

08.10.2021 AQ SSB 08.09.2021 AQ SSB **Date: Drw: Chk:**



the boathouse design studio 27 ferry road teddington tw11 9nn t: 020 8973 0050 e: info@maa-architects.com w: www.maa-architects.com




Waldegrave Mews Ltd

Project Name: Waldegrave Mews

Drawing Title: Proposed Block Plan: Roof

Drawing Status:	Status Code:	Project No:	Scale at A1
Planning	S1	MA014	1:200
Drawing No:	Revision:	Date:	Scale at A3

Appendix B: Topographic Survey



Appendix C: Drainage Drawings and Calculations



Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com I Greenfield runoff tool

						***************************************	ii Ciccimela ranon too
Calculated by: andrew wallace Waldegrave Site location: Waldegrave Waldegrave This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet norma practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff manafor developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) are the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on green the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites						Site Details	
						Latitude: Longitude: Reference: Date:	51.43195° N
							0.33869° W
				all runoff mar Ciria, 2015) a ation on greer	nagement nd nfield runoff rates may		344349675 Jul 25 2021 21:55
Runoff estimatio	n appr	oach	IH124				
Site characterist	ics				Notes		
Total site area (ha): 0.107				(1) Is Q _{BAR} < 2.0 I/s/ha?			
Methodology					(1) 10 GBAK 17		
Q _{BAR} estimation met	hod:	Calculate fro	m SPR and	I SAAR	When Q _{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.		
SPR estimation meth	nod:	Calculate fro	m SOIL typ	е			
Soil characterist	ics		Default	Edited			
SOIL type:			2	2	(2) Are flow ra	ates < 5.0 l/s?	
HOST class:			N/A	N/A	Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for disc		consent for discharge is
SPR/SPRHOST: 0.3 0.3			usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set whe				
Hydrological cha	ractor	ictics			the blockage ris	sk is addressed by usi	ng appropriate drainage

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year:

Growth curve factor 30 years:

Growth curve factor 100 years:

Growth curve factor 200 years:

Default	Edited
599	599
6	6
0.85	0.85
2.3	2.3
3.19	3.19
3.74	3.74

elements.

(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates

Default Edited Q_{BAR} (I/s): 0.16 0.16 1 in 1 year (I/s): 0.14 0.14 1 in 30 years (**I**/s): 0.37 0.37 1 in 100 year (I/s): 0.52 0.52 1 in 200 years (I/s): 0.61 0.61

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.

Asset location search



andrew wallace 22

HARPENDEN AL5 3AL

Search address supplied Flat

195

Waldegrave Road

Teddington TW11 8LX

Your reference Waldegrave

Our reference ALS/ALS Standard/2021_4468819

Search date 15 July 2021

Knowledge of features below the surface is essential for every development

The benefits of this knowledge not only include ensuring due diligence and avoiding risk, but also being able to ascertain the feasibility of any development.

Did you know that Thames Water Property Searches can also provide a variety of utility searches including a more comprehensive view of utility providers' assets (across up to 35-45 different providers), as well as more focused searches relating to specific major utility companies such as National Grid (gas and electric).

Contact us to find out more.



Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW DX 151280 Slough 13



searches@thameswater.co.uk www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk





Search address supplied: Flat, 195, Waldegrave Road, Teddington, TW11 8LX

Dear Sir / Madam

An Asset Location Search is recommended when undertaking a site development. It is essential to obtain information on the size and location of clean water and sewerage assets to safeguard against expensive damage and allow cost-effective service design.

The following records were searched in compiling this report: - the map of public sewers & the map of waterworks. Thames Water Utilities Ltd (TWUL) holds all of these.

This searchprovides maps showing the position, size of Thames Water assets close to the proposed development and also manhole cover and invert levels, where available.

Please note that none of the charges made for this report relate to the provision of Ordnance Survey mapping information. The replies contained in this letter are given following inspection of the public service records available to this company. No responsibility can be accepted for any error or omission in the replies.

You should be aware that the information contained on these plans is current only on the day that the plans are issued. The plans should only be used for the duration of the work that is being carried out at the present time. Under no circumstances should this data be copied or transmitted to parties other than those for whom the current work is being carried out.

Thames Water do update these service plans on a regular basis and failure to observe the above conditions could lead to damage arising to new or diverted services at a later date.

Contact Us

If you have any further queries regarding this enquiry please feel free to contact a member of the team on 0800 009 4540, or use the address below:

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches PO Box 3189 Slough SL1 4WW

Email: searches@thameswater.co.uk

Web: www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk



Waste Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public sewer map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate lines of our sewers. Our plans do not show sewer connections from individual properties or any sewers not owned by Thames Water unless specifically annotated otherwise. Records such as "private" pipework are in some cases available from the Building Control Department of the relevant Local Authority.

Where the Local Authority does not hold such plans it might be advisable to consult the property deeds for the site or contact neighbouring landowners.

This report relates only to sewerage apparatus of Thames Water Utilities Ltd, it does not disclose details of cables and or communications equipment that may be running through or around such apparatus.

The sewer level information contained in this response represents all of the level data available in our existing records. Should you require any further Information, please refer to the relevant section within the 'Further Contacts' page found later in this document.

For your guidance:

- The Company is not generally responsible for rivers, watercourses, ponds, culverts
 or highway drains. If any of these are shown on the copy extract they are shown for
 information only.
- Any private sewers or lateral drains which are indicated on the extract of the public sewer map as being subject to an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991 are not an 'as constructed' record. It is recommended these details be checked with the developer.

Clean Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public water main map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate positions of our water mains and associated apparatus. Please note that records are not kept of the positions of individual domestic supplies.

For your information, there will be a pressure of at least 10m head at the outside stop valve. If you would like to know the static pressure, please contact our Customer Centre on 0800 316 9800. The Customer Centre can also arrange for a full flow and pressure test to be carried out for a fee.



For your guidance:

- Assets other than vested water mains may be shown on the plan, for information only.
- If an extract of the public water main record is enclosed, this will show known public
 water mains in the vicinity of the property. It should be possible to estimate the
 likely length and route of any private water supply pipe connecting the property to
 the public water network.

Payment for this Search

A charge will be added to your suppliers account.



Further contacts:

Waste Water queries

Should you require verification of the invert levels of public sewers, by site measurement, you will need to approach the relevant Thames Water Area Network Office for permission to lift the appropriate covers. This permission will usually involve you completing a TWOSA form. For further information please contact our Customer Centre on Tel: 0845 920 0800. Alternatively, a survey can be arranged, for a fee, through our Customer Centre on the above number.

If you have any questions regarding sewer connections, budget estimates, diversions, building over issues or any other questions regarding operational issues please direct them to our service desk. Which can be contacted by writing to:

Developer Services (Waste Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921

Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk


Clean Water queries

Should you require any advice concerning clean water operational issues or clean water connections, please contact:

Developer Services (Clean Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921

Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk



Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
671K	n/a	n/a
671G	n/a	n/a
6711	n/a	n/a
6705	n/a	n/a
6703	n/a	n/a
6704	n/a	n/a
66ZX	n/a	n/a
66YY	n/a	n/a
66YZ	n/a	n/a
661I	n/a	n/a
66YS	n/a	n/a
661H	n/a	n/a n/a
66ZQ 66ZR	n/a n/a	n/a
66ZS	n/a	n/a
6607	11.45	-4.11
6601	n/a	n/a
56XS	n/a	n/a
661G	n/a	n/a
651G	n/a	n/a
6507	n/a	n/a
65YT	n/a	n/a
651C	n/a	n/a
651F	n/a	n/a
65YR	n/a	n/a
651D	n/a	n/a
56YW	n/a	n/a
66YW	n/a	n/a
66YX	n/a	n/a
46YV	n/a	n/a
46YY	n/a	n/a
46ZY	n/a	n/a
4601	n/a	n/a
46ZQ	n/a	n/a
46ZS	n/a	n/a
451F	n/a	n/a
56ZP	n/a	n/a
5601A	n/a	n/a
56YZ	n/a	n/a
56YY	n/a	n/a
56YX	n/a	n/a
551B	n/a	n/a
56ZS	n/a	n/a
55ZV	n/a	n/a
561E	n/a	n/a
56XX	n/a	n/a
56XV	n/a	n/a
561A	n/a	n/a
561B	n/a	n/a
561C	n/a	n/a
561D	n/a	n/a
671B	n/a	n/a
671C	n/a	n/a
671A	n/a	n/a
671F	n/a	n/a
661A	n/a	n/a
671H	n/a	n/a
671D	n/a	n/a
661F	n/a	n/a
571A	n/a	n/a
5703	11.96	9.39
5702	n/a	n/a
5714	11.92	-4.31
5708	n/a	n/a
5707	n/a	n/a
	is given without obligation and warranty, and the acc	

The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified and established on site before any works are undertaken.




Public Sewer Types (Operated & Maintained by Thames Water)

Foul: A sewer designed to convey waste water from domestic and industrial sources to a treatment works.

-- O-- Surface Water: A sewer designed to convey surface water (e.g. rain water from roofs, yards and car parks) to rivers or watercourses.

Combined: A sewer designed to convey both waste water and surface water from domestic and industrial sources to a treatment works.















Notes:

- 1) All levels associated with the plans are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn.
- 2) All measurements on the plans are metric.
- 3) Arrows (on gravity fed sewers) or flecks (on rising mains) indicate direction of
- 4) Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.
- 5) 'na' or '0' on a manhole level indicates that data is unavailable.

Sewer Fittings

A feature in a sewer that does not affect the flow in the pipe. Example: a vent is a fitting as the function of a vent is to release excess gas.

Air Valve

Dam Chase

Meter

Vent Column

Operational Controls

A feature in a sewer that changes or diverts the flow in the sewer. Example: A hydrobrake limits the flow passing downstream.

Control Valve

Drop Pipe

Ancillary

Weir

End Items

End symbols appear at the start or end of a sewer pipe. Examples: an Undefined End at the start of a sewer indicates that Thames Water has no knowledge of the position of the sewer upstream of that symbol, Outfall on a surface water sewer indicates that the pipe discharges into a stream or river.

Outfall



Undefined End

Inlet

Other Symbols

Symbols used on maps which do not fall under other general categories

Public/Private Pumping Station

Change of characteristic indicator (C.O.C.I.)

Summit

Areas

Lines denoting areas of underground surveys, etc.

Agreement


Operational Site

Chamber


Tunnel

Conduit Bridge

Other Sewer Types (Not Operated or Maintained by Thames Water)



6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in milimetres. Text next to a manhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a measurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology present on the plan, please contact a member of Property Searches on 0800 009 4540.



any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified and established on site before any works are undertaken.

Based on the Ordnance Survey Map with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Copyright Reserved.



Water Pipes (Operated & Maintained by Thames Water)

4"	Distribution Main: The most common pipe shown on water maps. With few exceptions, domestic connections are only made to distribution mains.
16"	Trunk Main: A main carrying water from a source of supply to a treatment plant or reservoir, or from one treatment plant or reservoir to another. Also a main transferring water in bulk to smaller water mains used for supplying individual customers.
3" SUPPLY	Supply Main: A supply main indicates that the water main is used as a supply for a single property or group of properties.
3" FIRE	Fire Main: Where a pipe is used as a fire supply, the word FIRE will be displayed along the pipe.
3" METERED	Metered Pipe: A metered main indicates that the pipe in question supplies water for a single property or group of properties and that quantity of water passing through the pipe is metered even though there may be no meter symbol shown.
	Transmission Tunnel: A very large diameter water pipe. Most tunnels are buried very deep underground. These pipes are not expected to affect the structural integrity of buildings shown on the map provided.
	Proposed Main: A main that is still in the planning stages or in the process of being laid. More details of the proposed main and its reference number are generally included near the main.

PIPE DIAMETER	DEPTH BELOW GROUND					
Up to 300mm (12")	900mm (3')					
300mm - 600mm (12" - 24")	1100mm (3' 8")					
600mm and bigger (24" plus)	1200mm (4')					

Valves Operational Sites General PurposeValve **Booster Station** Air Valve Other Pressure ControlValve Other (Proposed) Customer Valve **Pumping Station** Service Reservoir **Hydrants** Shaft Inspection Single Hydrant Treatment Works Meters Unknown Meter Water Tower **End Items Other Symbols** Symbol indicating what happens at the end of L a water main. Data Logger Blank Flange Capped End **Emptying Pit**

Undefined End Manifold

Customer Supply

Fire Supply

Other Water Pipes (Not Operated or Maintained by Thames Water) Other Water Company Main: Occasionally other water company water pipes may overlap the border of our clean water coverage area. These mains are denoted in purple and in most cases have the owner of the pipe displayed along them. Private Main: Indiates that the water main in question is not owned by Thames Water. These mains normally have text associated with them indicating the diameter and owner of the pipe.

Terms and Conditions

All sales are made in accordance with Thames Water Utilities Limited (TWUL) standard terms and conditions unless previously agreed in writing.

- 1. All goods remain in the property of Thames Water Utilities Ltd until full payment is received.
- 2. Provision of service will be in accordance with all legal requirements and published TWUL policies.
- 3. All invoices are strictly due for payment 14 days from due date of the invoice. Any other terms must be accepted/agreed in writing prior to provision of goods or service, or will be held to be invalid.
- 4. Thames Water does not accept post-dated cheques-any cheques received will be processed for payment on date of receipt.
- 5. In case of dispute TWUL's terms and conditions shall apply.
- 6. Penalty interest may be invoked by TWUL in the event of unjustifiable payment delay. Interest charges will be in line with UK Statute Law 'The Late Payment of Commercial Debts (Interest) Act 1998'.
- 7. Interest will be charged in line with current Court Interest Charges, if legal action is taken.
- 8. A charge may be made at the discretion of the company for increased administration costs.

A copy of Thames Water's standard terms and conditions are available from the Commercial Billing Team (cashoperations@thameswater.co.uk).

We publish several Codes of Practice including a guaranteed standards scheme. You can obtain copies of these leaflets by calling us on 0800 316 9800


If you are unhappy with our service you can speak to your original goods or customer service provider. If you are not satisfied with the response, your complaint will be reviewed by the Customer Services Director. You can write to her at: Thames Water Utilities Ltd. PO Box 492, Swindon, SN38 8TU.


If the Goods or Services covered by this invoice falls under the regulation of the 1991 Water Industry Act, and you remain dissatisfied you can refer your complaint to Consumer Council for Water on 0121 345 1000 or write to them at Consumer Council for Water, 1st Floor, Victoria Square House, Victoria Square, Birmingham, B2 4AJ.


Ways to pay your bill

Credit Card	BACS Payment	Telephone Banking	Cheque
Call 0800 009 4540 quoting your invoice number starting CBA or ADS / OSS	Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 A remittance advice must be sent to: Thames Water Utilities Ltd., PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW. or email ps.billing@thameswater. co.uk	By calling your bank and quoting: Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 and your invoice number	Made payable to 'Thames Water Utilities Ltd' Write your Thames Water account number on the back. Send to: Thames Water Utilities Ltd., PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW or by DX to 151280 Slough 13

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Registered in England & Wales No. 2366661 Registered Office Clearwater Court, Vastern Rd, Reading, Berks, RG1 8DB.







AW

26/07/2022

Page 1 Waldegrave Mews

Design Settings

Rainfall Methodology FEH-13
Return Period (years) 10
Additional Flow (%) 0
CV 0.750

Time of Entry (mins) 2.00

Maximum Time of Concentration (mins) 30.00

Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr) 50.0

Minimum Velocity (m/s) 1.00
Connection Type Level Soffits
Minimum Backdrop Height (m) 0.200
Preferred Cover Depth (m) 0.600
Include Intermediate Ground x
Enforce best practice design rules x

Nodes

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
1	0.000	2.00	11.500	1200	100.000	100.000	2.070
2	0.000	2.00	11.580	1200	100.000	95.000	2.080
3	0.025	2.00	11.600	1200	100.000	92.000	2.050
4	0.025	2.00	11.500	1200	97.000	62.000	1.750
5	0.025	2.00	11.500	1200	95.000	52.000	1.700
6	0.040	2.00	11.700	1200	60.000	50.000	1.500

<u>Links</u>

Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Node	Node	(m)	n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
1.004	2	1	5.000	0.600	9.500	9.430	0.070	71.4	150	3.51	50.0
1.003	3	2	3.000	0.600	9.550	9.500	0.050	60.0	150	3.44	50.0
1.002	4	3	30.150	0.600	9.750	9.550	0.200	150.7	150	3.40	50.0
1.001	5	4	10.198	0.600	9.800	9.750	0.050	204.0	150	2.79	50.0
1.000	6	5	35.057	0.600	10.200	9.800	0.400	87.6	150	2.54	50.0

Name	Vel	Cap	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
				(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.004	1.191	21.0	15.6	1.930	1.920	0.115	0.0	96	1.302
1.003	1.301	23.0	15.6	1.900	1.930	0.115	0.0	91	1.395
1.002	0.816	14.4	12.2	1.600	1.900	0.090	0.0	106	0.913
1.001	0.700	12.4	8.8	1.550	1.600	0.065	0.0	93	0.759
1.000	1.074	19.0	5.4	1.350	1.550	0.040	0.0	55	0.927

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length	Slope	Dia	Link	US CL	US IL	US Depth	DS CL	DS IL	DS Depth
	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	Type	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
1.004	5.000	71.4	150	Circular	11.580	9.500	1.930	11.500	9.430	1.920
1.003	3.000	60.0	150	Circular	11.600	9.550	1.900	11.580	9.500	1.930
1.002	30.150	150.7	150	Circular	11.500	9.750	1.600	11.600	9.550	1.900
1.001	10.198	204.0	150	Circular	11.500	9.800	1.550	11.500	9.750	1.600

Link	US	Dia	Node	MH	DS	Dia	Node	MH
	Node	(mm)	Type	Type	Node	(mm)	Type	Type
1.004	2	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	1	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.003	3	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	2	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.002	4	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	3	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	5	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	4	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Jomas

File: Waldegrave.pfd Network: Storm Network

AW

26/07/2022

Page 2

Waldegrave Mews

Pipeline Schedule

US IL Link Length Slope Dia Link US CL **US Depth** DS CL DS IL **DS Depth** (m) (1:X) Type (m) (m) (m) (m) (mm) (m) (m) 1.000 35.057 150 Circular 11.700 10.200 1.350 11.500 9.800 1.550 87.6

Link US Dia Node МН DS Dia Node МН Node (mm) Type Type Node (mm) Type Type 1.000 6 1200 Manhole Adoptable 5 1200 Manhole Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	s	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
1	100.000	100.000	11.500	2.070	1200		1	1.004	9.430	150
2	100.000	95.000	11.580	2.080	1200		1	1.003	9.500	150
						1	0	1.004	9.500	150
3	100.000	92.000	11.600	2.050	1200	0	1	1.002	9.550	150
						1	0	1.003	9.550	150
4	97.000	62.000	11.500	1.750	1200		1	1.001	9.750	150
						1	0	1.002	9.750	150
5	95.000	52.000	11.500	1.700	1200	1 —	1	1.000	9.800	150
							0	1.001	9.800	150
6	60.000	50.000	11.700	1.500	1200	○→0				
							0	1.000	10.200	150

Simulation Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FSR	Analysis Speed	Normal
FSR Region	England and Wales	Skip Steady State	Х
M5-60 (mm)	19.000	Drain Down Time (mins)	240
Ratio-R	0.400	Additional Storage (m³/ha)	20.0
Summer CV	0.750	Check Discharge Rate(s)	Х
Winter CV	0.840	Check Discharge Volume	Х

Storm Durations

15	60	180	360	600	960	2160	4320	7200	10080
30	120	240	480	720	1440	2880	5760	8640	



Jomas

File: Waldegrave.pfd Network: Storm Network

AW

26/07/2022

Page 3

Waldegrave Mews

Return Period (years)	Climate Change (CC %)	Additional Area (A %)	Additional Flow (Q %)
1	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
30	0	0	0
100	0	0	0
100	40	0	0

Node 3 Online Hydro-Brake® Control

Flap Valve	X	Objective	(HE) Minimise upstream storage
Replaces Downstream Link	\checkmark	Sump Available	\checkmark
Invert Level (m)	9.550	Product Number	CTL-SHE-0059-2000-1700-2000
Design Depth (m)	1.700	Min Outlet Diameter (m)	0.075
Design Flow (I/s)	2.0	Min Node Diameter (mm)	1200

Node 3 Depth/Area Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Safety Factor	1.0	Invert Level (m)	10.800
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Porosity	0.30	Time to half empty (mins)	172

Depth	Area	Inf Area									
(m)	(m²)	(m²)									
0.000	75.0	0.0	0.500	75.0	0.0	0.501	1.0	0.0	0.800	1.0	0.0

Node 4 Depth/Area Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Safety Factor	1.0	Invert Level (m)	10.800
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Porosity	0.30	Time to half empty (mins)	216

Depth	Area	Inf Area									
(m)	(m²)	(m²)									
0.000	75.0	0.0	0.500	75.0	0.0	0.501	1.0	0.0	0.800	1.0	0.0

Node 5 Depth/Area Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Safety Factor	1.0	Invert Level (m)	10.800
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Porosity	0.30	Time to half empty (mins)	216

Depth	Area	Inf Area									
(m)	(m²)	(m²)									
0.000	75.0	0.0	0.500	75.0	0.0	0.501	1.0	0.0	0.800	1.0	0.0

Node 6 Depth/Area Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Safety Factor	1.0	Invert Level (m)	10.800
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Porosity	0.30	Time to half empty (mins)	176

Depth	Area	Inf Area									
(m)	(m²)	(m²)									
0.000	75.0	0.0	0.500	75.0	0.0	0.501	1.0	0.0	0.800	1.0	0.0



AW

26/07/2022

Page 4

Waldegrave Mews

Results for 1 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 95.90%

Node Event	US	Peak	Level	Depth	Inflow	Node	Flood	Status
	Node	(mins)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	Vol (m³)	(m³)	
60 minute winter	1	48	9.459	0.029	1.7	0.0000	0.0000	OK
60 minute winter	2	48	9.530	0.030	1.7	0.0342	0.0000	OK
60 minute winter	3	48	10.736	1.186	3.2	1.6303	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	4	48	10.738	0.988	3.6	1.3997	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	5	48	10.738	0.938	4.5	1.3370	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	6	48	10.739	0.539	4.5	0.8967	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
60 minute winter	2	1.004	1	1.7	0.696	0.081	0.0122	11.6
60 minute winter	3	Hydro-Brake®	2	1.7				
60 minute winter	4	1.002	3	2.4	0.291	0.168	0.5308	
60 minute winter	5	1.001	4	2.5	0.473	0.199	0.1795	
60 minute winter	6	1.000	5	2.8	0.465	0.145	0.6172	



AW

26/07/2022

Page 5

Waldegrave Mews

Results for 10 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 95.90%

Node Event	US	Peak	Level	Depth	Inflow	Node	Flood	Status
	Node	(mins)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	Vol (m³)	(m³)	
60 minute winter	1	59	9.460	0.030	1.8	0.0000	0.0000	OK
60 minute winter	2	59	9.531	0.031	1.8	0.0353	0.0000	OK
60 minute winter	3	59	10.893	1.343	4.9	3.9475	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	4	59	10.895	1.145	5.0	3.7742	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	5	59	10.896	1.096	5.6	3.7226	0.0000	SURCHARGED
60 minute winter	6	58	10.896	0.696	5.3	3.3302	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
60 minute winter	2	1.004	1	1.8	0.706	0.086	0.0128	22.0
60 minute winter	3	Hydro-Brake®	2	1.8				
60 minute winter	4	1.002	3	2.2	0.328	0.150	0.5308	
60 minute winter	5	1.001	4	1.9	0.451	0.153	0.1795	
60 minute winter	6	1.000	5	3.4	0.435	0.177	0.6172	



AW

26/07/2022

Page 6

Waldegrave Mews

Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 95.90%

Node Event	US	Peak	Level	Depth	Inflow	Node	Flood	Status
	Node	(mins)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	Vol (m³)	(m³)	
120 minute winter	1	112	9.460	0.030	1.8	0.0000	0.0000	OK
120 minute winter	2	112	9.532	0.032	1.8	0.0357	0.0000	OK
120 minute winter	3	112	10.963	1.413	4.3	5.6309	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	4	114	10.966	1.216	4.3	5.4630	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	5	114	10.966	1.166	4.2	5.4132	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	6	112	10.967	0.767	4.2	5.0401	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
120 minute winter	2	1.004	1	1.8	0.711	0.088	0.0130	34.3
120 minute winter	3	Hydro-Brake®	2	1.8				
120 minute winter	4	1.002	3	1.7	0.289	0.120	0.5308	
120 minute winter	5	1.001	4	1.7	0.415	0.135	0.1795	
120 minute winter	6	1.000	5	2.0	0.376	0.106	0.6172	



AW

26/07/2022

Page 7

Waldegrave Mews

Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 95.90%

Node Event	US	Peak	Level	Depth	Inflow	Node	Flood	Status
	Node	(mins)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	Vol (m³)	(m³)	
120 minute winter	1	116	9.460	0.030	1.9	0.0000	0.0000	OK
120 minute winter	2	116	9.532	0.032	1.9	0.0363	0.0000	OK
120 minute winter	3	116	11.068	1.518	5.2	8.1265	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	4	116	11.070	1.320	5.3	7.9671	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	5	116	11.071	1.271	5.4	7.9190	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	6	116	11.072	0.872	5.5	7.5724	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
120 minute winter	2	1.004	1	1.9	0.717	0.091	0.0133	37.7
120 minute winter	3	Hydro-Brake®	2	1.9				
120 minute winter	4	1.002	3	2.1	0.302	0.146	0.5308	
120 minute winter	5	1.001	4	1.9	0.445	0.156	0.1795	
120 minute winter	6	1.000	5	2.0	0.403	0.104	0.6172	



AW

26/07/2022

Page 8

Waldegrave Mews

Results for 100 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 95.90%

Node Event	US	Peak	Level	Depth	Inflow	Node	Flood	Status
	Node	(mins)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	Vol (m³)	(m³)	
180 minute winter	1	176	9.461	0.031	2.0	0.0000	0.0000	OK
180 minute winter	2	176	9.533	0.033	2.0	0.0373	0.0000	OK
180 minute winter	3	176	11.254	1.704	5.4	12.5652	0.0000	SURCHARGED
180 minute winter	4	176	11.257	1.507	5.5	12.4184	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
180 minute winter	5	176	11.257	1.457	5.5	12.3719	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
180 minute winter	6	172	11.258	1.058	5.7	12.0680	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
180 minute winter	2	1.004	1	2.0	0.728	0.095	0.0138	45.8
180 minute winter	3	Hydro-Brake®	2	2.0				
180 minute winter	4	1.002	3	2.0	0.302	0.138	0.5308	
180 minute winter	5	1.001	4	2.0	0.437	0.161	0.1795	
180 minute winter	6	1.000	5	2.0	0.400	0.108	0.6172	

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

Appendix D: SuDS Maintenance Report



GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY



London Plan.

The London Sustainable Drainage Proforma

Introduction

This proforms is intended to accompany a drainage strategy prepared for a planning application where required by national or local planning policy. It should be used to summarise the key outputs from the strategy to allow assessing officers at the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) to quickly assess compliance with sustainable drainage (SuDS) planning

The proforms is divided into 4 sections, which are intended to be used as follows:

- 1. Site and project information Provide summary details of the development, site and drainage
- 2. Proposed discharge arrangement Summarise site ground conditions to determine potential for infiltration. Select a surface water discharge method (or mix of methods) following the hierarchical approach set out in the
- 3. Drainage strategy Prioritise SuDS measures that manage runoff as close to source as possible and contribute to the four main pillars of SuDS; amenity, biodiversity, water quality and water quantity.
- 4. Supporting information Provide cross references to the page or section of the drainage strategy report where the detailed information to support each element can be found. This may be more than one reference for each

Policy

Drainage strategies for developments in the London Borough of Richmond upon Thames need to comply with the following policies on SuDS:

- 1. London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Local Plan policy LP21
- 2. London Plan policy 5.13 and draft Mew London Plan policy 5113
- 3. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Technical Guidance

- Post-development surface water discharge rate should be limited to greenfield runoff rates. Proposals for higher discharge rates should be agreed with the LLFA ahead of submission of the Planning Application. Clear evidence should be provided with the Planning Application to show why greenfield rates cannot be achieved.
- Greenfield runoff rate is the runoff rate from a site in its natural state, prior to any development. This should be calculated using one of the runoff estimation methods set out in Table 24.1 of CIRIA C753 The SuDS Manual.

 Attenuation storage volumes required to reduce post-development discharge rates to greenfield rates should be
- calculated using one of the runoff estimation methods set out in Table 24.1 of CIRIA C753 The SuDS Manual.
- 'CC' refers to climate change allowance from the current Environment Agency guidance.
- An operation and maintenance strategy for proposed SuDS measures should be submitted with the Planning Application and include the details set out in section 32.2 of CIRIA C753 The SuDS Manual. The manual should be site-specific and not directly reproduce parts of The SuDS Manual.
- Other useful sources of guidance are:
- o Richmond upon Thames Sustainable Drainage guidance
- o The London Plan Sustainable Design and Construction SPG
- o DEFRA non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage
- Environment Agency climate change guidance
 CIRIA C753 The SuDS Manual



GREATERLONDON AUTHORITY




Г				T			m ²	m ²	m ²			1		
	Walderfave Mews	Waldegrave Ad Twill & NA	E 515607		Then residental		(070)		2901	No	Sewer's street	Andrew Wallace	CIVIL ENGINEER	Jonas
	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	Address & post code	OS Grid ref. (Easting, Northing)	LPA reference (if applicable)	Brief description of proposed work		Total site Area	Total existing impervious area	Total proposed impervious area	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	Existing drainage connection type and location	Designer Name	Designer Position	Designer Company
				slis	ect & Site De	orq	I .							

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility		
	Superficial geology classification	Ator gravel	
	Bedrock geology classification	der clay	
	Site infiltration rate	O m/s	
	Depth to groundwater level	More m below ground level	nd level
	Is infiltration feasible?		
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy		
stnen		Feasible Prop	Proposed (Y/N)
ıəBu	1 store rainwater for later use	λ γ	>
STIA 981	2 use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas	N	_
Discha	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release	>	>
cobosec	4 attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release	\ \	
2. P	5 discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse	>	>
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain	<i>></i>	
	7 discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.	. N	
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details		
	Proposed discharge location	net	
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	le s	



Lobes





	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report
	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	9) d
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	910
	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	810
	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	APPC
ofal Bai	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	AppC
	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report
doc	Detailed Development Layout	App C
	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	AppC
	Detailed landscaping plans	ADD C
	Maintenance strategy	0 000
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:	170
	a) water quality of the runoff?	170
	b) biodiversity?	7
	c) amenity?	1750



WE LISTEN, WE PLAN, WE DELIVER

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE PLAN

Waldegrave Mews, Waldegrave Road, TW11 8NA



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

Report Title: DRAINAGE AND SUDS MAINTENANCE PLAN

Report Status: Final v2.0

Job No: P3508J2313

Date: 26 July 2021

Control: Previous Release

Version	Date	Issued By
V1.0	26-07-21	A Wallace
V2.0	26-07-22	A Wallace

Prepared by: JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD For WALDEGRAVE MEWS LTD

Should you have any queries relating to this report, please contact JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

www.jomasassociates.com

0843 289 2187

info@jomasassociates.com



1.0 GENERAL

- 1.1 Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) are an environmentally friendly approach to managing rainfall. SuDS techniques use landscape features to deal with surface water with the aim to:
 - 1.1.1 Control the flow, volume and frequency of water leaving a development.
 - 1.1.2 Prevent pollution by intercepting silt and cleaning runoff from hard surfaces.
 - 1.1.3 Provide attractive surroundings for the community.
- 1.2 The surface water drainage strategy for this development utilises a soakaway as the main SUDS feature along with minor features including silt traps and sumps. The following sections provides a brief description of these features and outlines the maintenance programme that should be adopted.

2.0 CLEANING OF THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

- 2.1 Drainage systems should be inspected at regular intervals and where necessary, thoroughly cleaned out at the same time. Any defects discovered should be made good.
- 2.2 The following operations should be carried out during the periodic cleaning of a drainage system:-

Product Type	Period	Responsibility	Maintenance Methods
Silt Trap	As necessary and before wet season	Maintenance Company for communal areas	 Sediment and debris that accumulated during summer needs to be removed before the wet season. Inspect and clean out routinely prior to inlet pipework to minimise debris reaching the tank. Conduct inspections more frequently during the wet season for the area where sediment or trash accumulates more often. Clean and repair as needed.
Standard Manholes/ Inspection Chambers	As necessary	Maintenance Company for communal areas	 Remove and clean any soil and vegetation that covers the manhole cover to prevent blockage of the drainage system at the manhole.



Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

Product Type	Period	Responsibility	Maintenance Methods
			 Renew/replace any damaged/missing bolts and damaged/missing manhole covers.
Drainage Pipes	Six monthly interval	Maintenance Company for communal areas	 Inspect underground drainage pipes to ensure that the distribution pipework arrangement is operational and free from blockages. If required, take remedial action.
Permeable Paving	Monthly for 3 months	Maintenance Company for communal areas	 Inspect and identify any areas that are not operating correctly. If required, take remedial action.
	Monthly	Maintenance Company for communal areas	Debris removal from catchment surface (where may cause risks to performance).
	Annually	Maintenance Company for communal areas	Remove sediment from pre-treatment structures.
	As necessary	Maintenance Company for communal areas	Repair/rehabilitation of inlets, outlet, overflows.
Hydrobrake	Monthly for 3 months	Owner/ Maintenance Company	Inspect and identify any areas that are not operating correctly. If required, take remedial action.
	Annually	Owner/ Maintenance Company	 Remove sediment from pre-treatment structures.
	Annually and after large storms	Owner/ Maintenance Company	Inspection/check all inlets and outlets to ensure that they are in good condition and operating as designed.
Green Roof	As Required	Specialist Company	Specialist to provide regular maintenance
Rain Garden	Annually and after large storms	Owner/ Maintenance Company	Remove sediment from pre-treatment structures.
	Annually and after	Owner/ Maintenance Company	 Inspection/check all inlets and outlets to ensure that they are in good condition and operating as designed.





Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.

Product Type	Period	Responsibility	Maintenance Methods
	large storms		

3.0 SKETCHES AND PLANS

3.1 The locations of the above features can be found by examining Drawing P3508J2313-C01



WE LISTEN, WE PLAN, WE DELIVER

Geotechnical Engineering and Environmental Services across the UK.























JOMAS ASSOCIATES LTD

6-9 The Square

Stockley Park

Uxbridge

UB11 1FW

CONTACT US

Website: www.jomasassociates.com

Tel: 0843-289-2187

Fax: 0872-115-4505

Email: info@jomasassociates.com