

PLANNING PERMISSION/LISTED BUILDING CONSENT
CONSERVATION AREA CONSENT

Contact: Mr J Brown

Extn.: 4585

Ward:
App Rec'd: 02/02/2004

APPLICANT: ST MARY'S COLLEGE

AGENT: ASH DESIGN CONSULTANTS
Site: ST. MARYS UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, WALDEGRAVE ROAD
TWICKENHAM

Proposal: PROPOSED PROVISION OF REFUSE STORAGE COMPOUNDS IN ⁴ 5 LOCATIONS
ON CAMPUS WITH CENTRAL CONNECTION POINT. ACCESSIBLE FROM
SITE'S
INTERNAL ROADS.

* EH may direct		Full Planning			
Decision by R.U.T.	✓	Outline			
Listed Building Consent		Other			
C.A. Consent		Council		Committee	
RECOMMENDATION:		APPROVE/ CONSENT	REFUSE	OTHER	ENFORCEMENT/ COURT ACTION
Prepared by	JLB	Submitted for decision by			
Date:	27/4/04	PLANNING AND TRNSPT COMMITTEE	PLANNING SUB-CTTEE	DELEGATED POWERS	
TEAM LEADER	Agreed				
Date:		Date:	Date:	Date:	
PPO	Agreed	Application dealt with under delegated authority by			
Date:		Jh Brown			
		on: 27/4/04			

Visit neighbouring property YES / NO DATE:

THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES WERE CONSULTED ON 10 February, 2004

The Occupier, 11 Waldegrave Park, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, Flat 2, 11 Waldegrave Park, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, Flat 3, 11 Waldegrave Park, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, 13 Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, 15 Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, 17 Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,
The Occupier, 19 Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4TL,

THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES WERE CONSULTED AT APPEAL STAGE

STANDARD CONSULTATIONS WHERE APPLICABLE CAN BE SEEN AT THE END OF THIS REPORT

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

UDP ENV 3, 8, 11, 12, 19, (ENV 1, 9, RL 2, 3, 11) Specify

Supplementary Planning Guidance _____

Conservation Area Study/Proposal _____

Conservation Area Description: Waldegrave Park, Teddington CA54

Conservation Area Number: []

Listed Building Grade: []

Conflict with Development Plan: _____

Building of Townscape Merit: _____ Tick

Tree Preservation Order No.: _____

Advertised: []

Site Notice: []

CAAG: []

Metropolitan Open Land: _____

Area of Mixed Use: _____

Key Shopping Frontage: _____ Tick

Secondary Shopping Frontage: _____ &

Archaeological Priority Zone: _____ Specify

_____ Other

PRESENT USE (If vacant previous use if commercial give name)

Adult college.

Proposal:

Originally proposed 5 refuse stores.
Now amended to 4 (by omitting a
brick store close to LB at north of site)
+ the one near the gymnasium has been
relocated.

2 stores to be timber fence compounds
1.8m high by 6.65m x 2.77m

Store near gym to be 1.8m high + 16m x 8m.

Store near chapel to be formed by adding
brick walls to an existing cloister bay.

P. + O. Reqs: None

History: 03/2789/KLL proposed 6 stores at
different location + was refused on LB,
tree + neighbour grounds.

Pr. Comment:

① Cloister site OK subject to details.

② Store near gym not located on MCL
+ low profile - insufficient visual
impact on MCL or area to refuse.
Not close to trees.

③ South store not widely visible + would
accommodate extg wheelie-bins stored
here already.

Public & other representations
(i) Stat./Official bodies
(ii) C.A.A.C
(iii) Councillors
(iv) Adj. residents

Reconsultation
Professional comments
Background Papers

No significant detriment to character + appearance of area, setting of h't's, tree or neighbour welfare.

Some associated adjustments to existing roads/paths within site would be required, but not transport issues of substance.

Approve -

(Amendments not significant enough to warrant re-consultation, but re-registration appropriate.)