Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 22/0900/OUT

Address: The Stag BreweryLower Richmond RoadMortlakeLondonSW14 7ET

Proposal: Hybrid application to include:1. Demolition of existing buildings (except the Maltings and the façade of the Bottling Plant and former Hotel), walls, associated structures, site clearance and groundworks, to allow for the comprehensive phased redevelopment of the site:2. Detailed application for the works to the east side of Ship Lane which comprise:a. Alterations and extensions to existing buildings and erection of buildings varying in height from 3 to 9 storeys plus a basement of one to two storeys below ground to allow for residential apartments; flexible use floorspace for retail, financial and professional services, café/restaurant and drinking establishment uses, offices, non-residential institutions and community use and boathouse; Hotel / public house with accommodation; Cinema and Offices.b. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highway worksc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and landscapinge. Flood defence and towpath worksf. Installation of plant and energy equipment3. Outline application, with all matters reserved for works to the west of Ship Lane which comprise:a. The erection of a single storey basement and buildings varying in height from 3 to 8 storeysb. Residential developmentc. Provision of on-site cycle, vehicle and servicing parking there is a storey base, amenity and play space and landscapinge. New pedestrian, vehicle and cycle accesses and internal routes, and associated highway works.

Comments Made By

Name: Ms. Emma Less

Address: 9 Waldeck Terrace Mortlake London SW14 7HE

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: The proposals show the site far too overdeveloped. The scale and character are completely out of context with the local area. The landscape provision shown is bare minimum and consist of mostly hard landscape. The urban greening calculation is abysmal and below the GLA standard, and that's with the m2 of trees and other vegetation being exaggerated. There appears to be no benefits for the existing (and proposed) community, or for public amenity. The orientation of the 'gardens' means they would receive little daylight and become miserable spaces that will be underused. The proposals conflict with the local plan in many areas including of the loss of designated open space and height of buildings.

As a sidetone, I wonder why many of the drawings are dated July yet this application is submitted the week before Christmas? I can only assume it is hoped it will go under the public radar whilst people are busy/away.