

Comment on a planning application

Application Details

Application: 22/2204/FUL

Address: St Clare Business Park And7 - 11 Windmill RoadHampton Hill

Proposal: Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 1no. mixed use building between three and five storeys plus basement in height, comprising 98no. residential flats (Class C3) and 1,172sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 1no. three storey building comprising 893sq.m of commercial floorspace (Class E); 14no. residential houses (Class C3); and, associated access, external landscaping and car parking.

Comments Made By

Name: Mr. Edward Earl

Address: 35 Cardinals Walk Hampton TW12 2TT

Comments

Type of comment: Object to the proposal

Comment: The two access roads to the proposed site cannot safely cater for the increase in traffic for the proposed additional 112 residential units (and commercial units).

Holly Road and Windmill Road are both primary pedestrian routes for Hampton Hill Junior school, and these roads already pose safety risks to pedestrians.

Holly Road is effectively a single lane road with the parking bays on either side of the road, and the road to the West is a single lane bridge with limited visibility. Here the pedestrian path is also single width with pedestrians having to walk in the road to pass each other. This already poses considerable danger to pedestrians and is not suitable for an increase in traffic.

Windmill Road also currently presents danger to school children and pedestrians. The current site access point on this road frequently sees car driving on the pavement to pass vehicles causing pedestrians to take evasive action. It is an accident currently waiting to happen, and the risk will be increased with further traffic. Windmill Road to the West of the site is reduced to a single lane at points and is already severely congested at times. With Hampton Junior school on this stretch, further traffic would put school children further at risk.

With the creation of the School Street zones in the borough, it would be ludicrous if a development of this size with its additional traffic requirements was granted planning permission so close to a school which already poses considerable safety concerns.

Many Thanks,

Edward Earl